Memo to the Planning Commission

HEARING DATE: APRIL 21, 2011

In Reference to the March 17, 2011 Commission Hearing

1650 Mission St. Suite 400 San Francisco, CA 94103-2479

Reception: 415.558.6378

Fax:

415.558.6409

Planning Information: 415.558.6377

Date: April 14, 2011
Case No.: 2010.1040D

Project Address: 353 SAN JOSÉ AVENUE

Zoning: RM-2 (Residential, Mixed, Moderate Density)

40-X Height and Bulk District

Block/Lot: 6531/022 Project Sponsor: Gabriel Ng

> 1360 9th Avenue, #210 San Francisco, CA 94122

Staff Contact: Diego R Sánchez – (415) 575-9082

diego.sanchez@sfgov.org

BACKGROUND

The Proposed Project, the demolition of an existing single family dwelling and the new construction of a three story, four-unit multifamily dwelling, was originally heard by the Planning Commission on December 16, 2010. The Planning Commission did not take action on the Mandatory Discretionary Review, but instead continued the case to February 10, 2011 to give the Project Sponsor the opportunity to alter the design of the Proposed Project in accordance with the direction given by the Planning Commission. The case was continued again from February 10, 2011 to March 17, 2011 to provide the Project Sponsor additional time to alter the design.

At the March 17, 2011 hearing the Planning Commission approved Case 2009.0173D, the new construction of the four-unit multifamily dwelling, by a vote of 7-0 and intended to take action on Case 2010.1040D, the demolition of the existing single family dwelling. Due to a clerical error Case 2010.1040D did not appear on March 17, 2011 Planning Commission Calendar and no action was taken on the demolition case.

REQUIRED COMMISSION ACTION

In order for the project to proceed, the Commission must not take Discretionary Review on Case 2010.1040D and approve the proposed demolition of the existing single family dwelling.

BASIS FOR RECOMMENDATION

The Department recommendation is to not take Discretionary Review and approve the demolition of the existing single-family dwelling.

Executive Summary CASE NO. 2010.1040D Hearing Date: April 21, 2011 353 San José Avenue

The Planning Commission intended to act on the Mandatory Discretionary Review to demolish
the existing single family home in conjunction with the Mandatory Discretionary Review on the
new construction of the replacement structure.

- The Planning Commission approved the Mandatory Discretionary Review on the new construction of the replacement structure by a vote of 7-0 due to the Project Sponsor altering the design of the replacement structure in accordance with Planning Commission direction.
- The Zoning Administrator granted the associated variance for the Proposed Project.

RECOMMENDATION:

Case No. 2010.1040D – Do not take DR and approve the demolition.

Attachments:

March 17, 2011 Memorandum to the San Francisco Planning Commission

Memo to the Planning Commission

HEARING DATE: MARCH 17, 2011 Continued from the December 16, 2010 Hearing

Reception:

1650 Mission St. Suite 400

San Francisco, CA 94103-2479

415.558.6378

Fax:

415.558.6409

Planning Information: 415.558.6377

Date: March 10, 2011

 Case No.:
 2009.0173DV/2010.1040D

 Project Address:
 353 SAN JOSÉ AVENUE

Zoning: RM-2 (Residential, Mixed, Moderate Density)

40-X Height and Bulk District

Block/Lot: 6531/022 Project Sponsor: Gabriel Ng

> 1360 9th Avenue, #210 San Francisco, CA 94122

Staff Contact: Diego R Sánchez – (415) 575-9082

diego.sanchez@sfgov.org

BACKGROUND

The Proposed Project was originally heard by the Planning Commission on December 16, 2010. The Planning Commission did not take action on the Mandatory Discretionary Review, but instead continued the case to February 10, 2011 to give the Project Sponsor the opportunity to alter the design of the Proposed Project in accordance with the direction given by the Planning Commission. The case was continued again at the request of the Project Sponsor from February 10, 2011 to March 17, 2011 to provide the Project Sponsor additional time to alter the design.

The Planning Commission provided both specific and general directions for the re-design. A summary of the direction follows:

- The design must be more responsive to the surrounding context, especially with respect to scale.
- The internal circulation should be reconsidered to eliminate inefficiencies.
- The units should be redesigned to meet the needs of a family with elderly members, with respect to physical accessibility.
- The Proposed Project should provide additional setback or notching to reduce mass.
- The Proposed Project should not exceed three stories in scale.

CURRENT PROPOSAL

In response to the direction by the Planning Commission, the Project Sponsor has made the following revisions to the Proposed Project:

- Executive Summary Hearing Date: March 17, 2011
 - The fourth floor is eliminated.
 - The Proposed Project is approximately 32 feet in height and three stories.
 - The front setback is increased from approximately nine feet to ten feet.
 - The ground floor unit is designed to be accessible for individuals using a wheelchair for mobility.
 - The units no longer are multi-level units and this eliminates the need for multiple staircases in the entire building.
 - The notch at the northeast corner of the building is expanded from approximately four feet to six feet.
 - The change in design reduces the number of dwelling units lacking Planning Code required Dwelling Unit Exposure from two units to one unit.

OTHER CONSIDERATIONS

The Project is seeking a Variance from the Rear Yard and Dwelling Unit Exposure requirements. Planning Code Section 134 requires a rear yard of 34' - 8'' at all levels and limits the height of the last 10 feet of building depth to 30 feet. The Replacement Structure does not provide a rear yard at the ground level, is proposing a rear yard of 22' - 6'' at all levels above the ground level and the last 10 feet of building depth exceeds 30 feet in height. Planning Code Section 140 requires that all dwelling units either face a public street or alley of at least 25 feet in width or a code complying rear yard. Unit #3 does not face a code complying rear yard or a public street or alley of at least 25 feet in width and therefore does not meet the minimum standards for Dwelling Unit Exposure.

REQUIRED COMMISSION ACTION

In order for the project to proceed, the Commission must not take Discretionary Review and approve the Proposed Project or take Discretionary Review and modify the Proposed Project.

BASIS FOR RECOMMENDATION

The Department recommendation is to not take Discretionary Review and approve the demolition of the existing single-family dwelling and to not take Discretionary Review on the replacement structure and approve as revised.

• The Project Sponsor has complied with the Planning Commission direction to produce a more responsive design for the Proposed Project by reducing the number of stories to three, by reducing the mass through an enlarged front setback and notch at the northern property line and by eliminating inefficient internal circulation.

CASE NO. 2009.0173DV/2010.1040D 353 San José Avenue

Executive Summary Hearing Date: March 17, 2011

- The Proposed Project will result in a net gain of three dwelling units.
- The Proposed Project will create three family sized dwelling units, of two and three bedrooms.
- The Proposed Project realizes the maximum allowable residential density of four units for its Zoning District and lot size, which, given the goal to locate housing near transit, is desirable.
- Given the scale of the Proposed Project, there will be no significant impact on the existing capacity of the local street system or MUNI.
- Although the structure is more than 50-years old, a review of the Historic Resource Evaluation resulted in a determination that the existing building is not an historic resource or landmark.


RECOMMENDATION:

Case No. 2010.1040D – Do not take DR and approve the demolition.

Case No. 2009.0173DV - Do not take DR and approve the new construction.

Attachments:

Revised Plans Revised Rendering



City and County of San	Francisc
Department of Building	Inspection



Gavin Newsom, Mayor Isam Hasenin, P.E., C.B.O., Director

REQUEST FOR APPROVAL OF LOCAL EQUIVALENCY FOR MODIFICATION OR ALTERNATE MATERIALS, DESIGN OR METHODS OF CONSTRUCTION

DATE SUBMITTED_	2/09/10	[Note: this form shall be recorded as part of the permanent construction records of the property]
	ication, per SFBC Table 1A-B, Item 8.	Review Fee is required for review of a request for local . Additional fees may be required by Fire Department and
If a permit application	has been filed, no additional fees are	required for this review.
Permit Application #	2007-12-12-0285	
Property Address:	353 San Jose Ave	
		(fully sprinklered) Type of Construction: V - A No. of Stories: 4
Describe Use of Build	ing 4-story four-family dwelling.	
construction, are atta Regular Code Requir	ched. ement (specify Code and Sections)	ifications or alternate materials, design or methods of
2007 SFBC, as well a San Francisco Amer		mbing Codes with
		
	1 TO	
Case-by-Case Basis code and how the pre		

Plan Review Services 1660 Mission Street – San Francisco CA 94103 Office (415) 558-6133 – FAX (415) 558-6041 – www.sfgov.org/dbi

	400 Months and Andreas Control of the Control of th
to Administration and Administration and the second	Sente consideration and a second
	Balance Control of the Control of th
Requested by PROJECT SPONSOR	ARCHITECTIENGINEER CONTROL
	1979
Print Name Adil Shaikh	Gabriel Y. Ng. AtA
Signature July Shoul	- Ju Blown
Telephone 415-377-4131	415-682-8060 OF CAU
renduction.	[Professional sta
	The second secon
PLAN REVIEWER COMMENTS:	
Himosanda and the control of the con	
Shamanane e e e chamanan	
	ettolist vina proestoosit era varatusen kantus ett in televisia anti-
	en e
Secretary Control of C	
	Annual Control of the
	Commence of the Commence of th
I con comment setting	
	A CONTRACTOR OF THE PROPERTY O
more and the major control and the second se	
RECOMMENDATIONS: Approve	Π Approve with conditions □ Disapprove
(signed offidated by:)	
RECOMMENDATIONS: Approve [signed difficated by:] Plan Reviewer.	Π Approve with conditions Π Disapprove Date:
[signed officiated by:] Plan Reviewer Group Lisaden	Date:
[signed affidated by:] Plan Reviewer: Group Leader/ Plan Review Manager	Date:
[signed officiated by:] Plan Reviewer Group Lisaden	Date:
(signod diffdated by:) Plan Reviewer. Group Leader! Plan Review Manager for Fire Mershai (if required):	Date:
[signed affidated by:] Plan Reviewer: Group Leader/ Plan Review Manager	Date:
(signod diffdated by:) Plan Reviewer. Group Leader! Plan Review Manager for Fire Mershai (if required):	Date:
(signod diffdated by:) Plan Reviewer. Group Leader! Plan Review Manager for Fire Mershai (if required):	Date
(signod diffdated by:) Plan Reviewer. Group Leader! Plan Review Manager for Fire Mershai (if required):	Date
(signod diffdated by:) Plan Reviewer. Group Leader! Plan Review Manager for Fire Mershai (if required):	Date
(signod diffdated by:) Plan Reviewer. Group Leader! Plan Review Manager for Fire Mershai (if required):	Date



June 28, 2006

Jeff Ma, Assistant Manager Major Plan Check Division Department of Building Inspection 1660 Mission Street, 2nd Floor San Francisco, CA 94103

Re: 353 San Jose Ave. Block 6531, Lot 22

Dear Mr. Joff Ma:

Very truly yours,

Thank you for taking the time to meet with me or 6/28/06 to help clarify the requirements for the newly revised SFBC Sec. 1105A.

I would like to confirm that based on our discussion of this four-unit residential building, it was determined that:

Per SFBC Section 1105A, it is agreed that the following conditions are permitted:

- 1. Only the ground floor of townthouse Unit #1 shall be accessible.
 2. The primary entry to Unit #1 will be on an accessible route from San Jose Avenue. The secondary entry to the unit will be directly served by a lift inside the unit (per SFBC Sec. 1110A.) from the garage that is four (4) feet lower than the unit Shinish elevation due to existing grade condition.
 3. All parking spaces will be assigned for each unit the portion of accessible aisle for van parking will encreach into one of the assigned vehicle path.

If you have any questions, please feel free to contact me. Thank you.

Gabriel Y. Ng, AIA

ARCHITECTURE Agreed to the above determination:

By Jeff Na. Assistant Monager

REVISIONS BY 3-09-10 REV. PER HPC 8-27-10 REV. PER RDT 3-9-11 PLNG. COMM.



NEW 4-UNIT DWELLING 353 SAN JOSE AVENUE BLOCK 6531, LOT 022 SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94110

EQUIVALENCY LOCAL





Date 12-10-07


Scale AS NOTED Drawn ML


Job 031023


A-1.1 Of 9 Sheets















REVISIONS BY




A-7




WINDOW DETAIL - ALUMINUM

SERIES 450 ALUM. WINDOW BY BLOMBERG OR EQ., TYP.

"INTEGRITY WOOD-ULTREX" WINDOW BY MARVIN OR EQ., TYP.





REVISIONS BY 3-09-10 8-27-10 REV. PER RDT 3-9-11 PLNG. COMM.



GABRIEL Y. NG 8
ARCHITECTURE + PLA
1360 9" AVENUE, SUITE 2
SAN FRANCISCO CA 94
www.gngaia.com

NEW 4-UNIT DWELLING 353 SAN JOSE AVENUE BLOCK 6531, LOT 022 SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94110

DETAILS SECTION B / WINDOW




Date 12-10-07 Scale AS NOTED

Drawn ML Job 031023

A-8





















Subject Building 353 San Jose Ave

> Subject Building 353 San Jose Ave



VIEW NORTH















POPLAR STREET EYE LEVEL



GABRIEL Y. NG & ASSOCIATES




SOUTH EAST BIRD'S EYE VIEW




NORTH EAST BIRD'S EYE VIEW





NORTH WEST BIRD'S EYE VIEW



SOUTH WEST BIRD'S EYE VIEW

