



SAN FRANCISCO PLANNING DEPARTMENT

Executive Summary Conditional Use Authorization (CONTINUED FROM THE OCTOBER 17TH HEARING) HEARING DATE: NOVEMBER 14, 2013

1650 Mission St.
Suite 400
San Francisco,
CA 94103-2479

Reception:
415.558.6378

Fax:
415.558.6409

Planning
Information:
415.558.6377

Date: November 7, 2013
Case No.: **2011.0499C**
Project Address: **2395 26th Avenue**
Current Zoning: Taraval Street Neighborhood Commercial District
Taraval Street Restaurant Subdistrict
65-A Height and Bulk District
Block/Lot: 2355/008A
Project Sponsor: AT&T Mobility represented by
Talin Aghazarian, Ericsson
430 Bush Street, 5th Floor
San Francisco, CA 94108
Staff Contact: Omar Masry – (415) 575-9116
Omar.Masry@sfgov.org

PROJECT DESCRIPTION

The proposal is to install a macro wireless telecommunication services (“WTS”) facility consisting of up to six (6) panel antennas on the roof, and equipment on the roof and in the garage, of the subject building, as part of AT&T Mobility’s telecommunications network. Based on the zoning and land use, the antennas are proposed on a Location Preference 6 Site (Limited Preference Location, Individual Neighborhood Commercial District) according to the WTS Siting Guidelines.

The proposed antennas would be located in three sectors on the roof of the approximately 32-foot tall building, with associated electronic equipment necessary to run the facility on the roof, and in the garage. The first two sectors (“A” and “C”) would feature four antennas housed within three faux chimney elements, composed of fibre-reinforced plastic (FRP), then painted and textured to mimic portions of the building. The remaining sector (“B”) would feature two antennas located within a similar FRP faux chimney. All six faux chimneys would rise seven feet and feature a maximum height of approximately 39 feet above grade. The actual antennas would measure approximately 52” high by 27” wide by 12” deep.

SITE DESCRIPTION AND PRESENT USE

The subject building is located on Assessor’s Block 2355, Lots 008A and 009, at the northwest corner of the intersection of Taraval Street and 26th Avenues. The Project site is within the Taraval Street Neighborhood Commercial District, Taraval Street Restaurant Subdistrict, and 65-A Height and Bulk District. The Project Site contains a three-story, approximately 32-foot tall, mixed-use building featuring two stories of (11) residential apartments above ground level commercial spaces.

SURROUNDING PROPERTIES AND NEIGHBORHOOD

The subject building is located along the Taraval Street neighborhood commercial corridor within the Parkside Neighborhood. The Project Site is located at the northwest corner of Taraval Street and 26th Avenue and is surrounded by similar mixed-use developments (residential ground level apartments above ground floor commercial space) to the east, southeast, and southwest. The adjacent parcel to the west features a two-story commercial building with a ground floor restaurant. The adjacent parcel to the north features a two-story, dual-family dwelling, and is similar to the project site in that it is also zoned Taraval Street NCD and located in a 65-A foot height and bulk districts. The areas further to the north and south of Taraval Street feature single-family neighborhoods, within 40-foot height districts, with predominantly two and three-story dwellings.

An existing micro WTS facility (dual omni “whip” antennas), operated by AT&T Mobility, is located approximately 900 feet away at 2395 29th Avenue. Though not a part of this project, the Project Sponsor intends to remove the micro WTS facility, in the event the macro WTS facility is approved and constructed at the Project Site.

ENVIRONMENTAL REVIEW

The project is exempt from the California Environmental Quality Act (“CEQA”) as a Class 3 categorical exemption. The categorical exemption and all pertinent documents may be found in the files of the Planning Department, as the custodian of records, at 1650 Mission Street, San Francisco.

HEARING NOTIFICATION

TYPE	REQUIRED PERIOD	REQUIRED NOTICE DATE	ACTUAL NOTICE DATE	ACTUAL PERIOD
Classified News Ad	20 days	October 25, 2013	September 26, 2013	49 days
Posted Notice	20 days	October 25, 2013	September 24, 2013	51 days
Mailed Notice	20 days	October 25, 2013	September 26, 2013	49 days

PUBLIC COMMENT

The Project Sponsor held four Community Outreach Meetings for the proposed project on June 30, 2011, November 5, 2012, December 3, 2012, and March 4, 2013. Meetings were held at the Congregation Ner Tamid (Place of Worship), located at 1250 Quintara Street. On March 4, 2013, five (5) community members attended the meeting. Members inquired about health effects of RF emissions, safety standards, noise levels created by the equipment, testing opportunities (RF exposure), the bulk and height of the facility, design alternatives, alternative sites such as nearby parks, and presence of other AT&T Mobility WTS facilities in the area.

The Department received sixteen comments from community members opposed to the Project. The Department also received a packet from David Wilner, of Wilner & Associates; a consulting firm. The packet included a petition of approximately 273 signatures in opposition to the project. The packet cited the following concerns, which are accompanied by a Planning Department response:

Wilner: Project includes non-specified industrial uses as well as the proposed WTS facility. The presence of such facilities mandates disclosures, per City Administrative Code, to residents.

Department: The project would not introduce an industrial use to the site. The proposed facility, which features antennas, electronic equipment and a limited number of back-up batteries (typically 10-16), is consistent with similar wireless facilities classified by the San Francisco Planning Code as a "Public Use." The facility would not alter the overall Project site's land use (Taraval Street Neighborhood Commercial), nor would it impede the use of the building for existing, or future residential or commercial activities.

The City's adopted Building Code typically classifies such a facility as a "U" or an un-manned occupancy (similar to the occupancy classification for garages attached to single-family homes); with final determination made at the time building permits are issued.

Of the 900+ (micro and macro) existing wireless facilities in the City and County of San Francisco, more than 150 macro (typically 3 to 16 panel antennas) WTS facilities are on parcels zoned residential or neighborhood commercial. The development of such facilities has not been demonstrated to alter the character of such sites, so as to be considered industrial in nature; with respect to impacts associated with industrial facilities, such as noise, vibration, odors, dust, chemicals, smoke, operation of machinery, or loading operations.

As conditioned (by the proposed approval motion), the project would remain a "Public Use" which is accessory in nature to the subject building. Any increases in the number of antennas, co-location requests (with other carriers), or other substantial modifications, would require a new Conditional Use Authorization, a new public hearing, and approval of building permits reflecting such changes.

City codes, including the Administrative Code, do not require the disclosure to existing or potential residents with respect to the sale, transfer, or rental of property as the facility is not considered industrial.

Furthermore, with respect to concern over "unspecified uses," the Planning Department does not make a determination to recommend approval, or denial, of a project, to the Planning Commission without determining the applicable uses and their expected impacts. No unspecified uses are proposed.

Wilner: The height and diameter of vent pipes concealing antennas would create visual blight. The antennas would change the character of the neighborhood from a residential commercial district to a more industrial look, which is inconsistent with Section 101(b) of the City's Planning Code.

Department: The project would introduce elements such as vent pipes found on similar neighborhood commercial zoned sites featuring mixed-use buildings. Staff worked with the applicant to provide a design that does not appear out of character with the subject building or surrounding neighborhood. The antennas and their screening structures would not result in shade or shadow impacts or result in massing impacts that would appear incongruous with the subject site or surrounding neighborhood.

Furthermore, while individual views from residences are not considered "protected" from further surrounding development, the faux chimneys would not significantly obscure views for adjacent residences of the surrounding neighborhood or panoramic vistas such as the Pacific Ocean.

The existing Sprint WTS facility at 1633 Taraval Street is proposed for a modification to swap three panel antennas with three larger panel antennas housed in faux vent pipes or penthouse structures. However, neither the existing, nor the likely proposed screened antennas, in such proximity to the Project site so as to result in the appearance of the antenna farm when both buildings are in view. The separation afforded by Taraval Street, which runs between the two project sites, and the intended Sprint design, which would

concentrate Sprint's largest antennas on the opposite (southern) edge of the building (as compared to the Project site), will temper the visual impact of both facilities.

Wilner: Failure to consider alternate location.

Department: The carrier submitted an extensive alternate site analysis, which demonstrated the absence of higher preference locations (per the WTS Guidelines), such as publicly used structures, co-location sites, wholly commercial buildings, or mixed-use buildings in a higher density zoning district. The proposed site at 1551 Taraval Street, is considered the same "Limited Preference" location by the WTS Guidelines, and is also a mixed-use (three residential floors above ground floor commercial) building located in the same zoning and height and bulk district as the Project site. The Project Sponsor has indicated this site features challenges related to signal propagation due to the presence of a four-story building immediately to the east.

Wilner: Planning Code Section 790.80 prohibits installation in the garage. Batteries are unsafe.

Department: Planning Code Section 790.80 does not prohibit installation in the garage. This code section defines certain land uses as "public uses." This includes wireless transmissions, but specifically excludes a service yard, a parking garage, or garage. The placement of equipment in the garage is not expected to impact circulation or parking. A "Public Use" is allowed in the Taraval Street NCD, with a Conditional Use Authorization.

The placement of electronic equipment and batteries in the garage will avoid having them placed in a more visually noticeable location. The Federal Communications Commission requires wireless facilities to provide a source of temporary backup power in the event electricity is cut off due to an emergency such as a power outage or earthquake. Such backup power is typically provided by either diesel generators (not typically permitted at small-scale residential sites such as the Project site) or the use of battery systems, featuring individually sealed batteries. The Building and Fire Departments have determined that such facilities, if properly permitted, installed, inspected, and maintained; are safe and do not present unique or significant risks. It should be noted that there has been no demonstrated pattern of incidents involving these back-up battery systems amongst existing wireless facilities in the City and County of San Francisco.

Wilner: The RF Report and DPH Approval are defective. AT&T is exceeding power limits established by the FCC. Use of overlapping frequencies in violation of FCC rules.

Department: The project report was prepared by a qualified professional radio-frequency engineer, and reviewed and approved by the Department of Public Health. In the event the facility is constructed, field testing will be required to determine conformance with FCC limits as they relate to public and occupational RF exposure limits. RF testing instruments, used to determine conformance with FCC limits, do not discriminate between RF output created by antennas, or that of any support equipment required to run the facility.

The City and County of San Francisco does not have jurisdiction over the assignment or use of frequency spectrum as they relate to such services, as such jurisdiction is pre-empted by Federal law and managed by the FCC. In the event that the operation of the facility cause interference to electronics used by residents or interferes with the operation of commercial and public communication systems, the carrier is required to make efforts to remedy such interference to the satisfaction of the FCC.

Wilner: LTE Service is not subject to FCC Jurisdiction (or preemption).

Department: The FCC regulates the use of airwaves for both voice (cell/digital) and data (Long Term Evolution or "4G") services; whether transferring data between customers in the same network, or ultimately connecting to a router allowing for internet access by users.

The City's wireless guidelines do not limit their regulation to only voice portions of personal consumer services such as those used by carriers including AT&T Mobility. The Wireless Guidelines specifically recognize the use of such services for data (e.g. e-mail, video, internet, and paging), and are the vehicle to also regulate the exterior installations associated with commercial Wi-Fi services (including Wi-Fi only antennas operated elsewhere by AT&T Mobility), when such facilities require equipment to be installed in areas outside, or on top of a building.

Furthermore, as carriers and handset manufacturers seek to more efficiently utilize assigned spectrum (by the FCC) and enhance call quality, voice services are expected to transition to carry voice transmissions over LTE ("VoLTE"), which uses data capacity/channels to carry voice signals (akin to landline based services such as Vonage).

Wilner: Affordable housing not preserved or enhanced. Facility will require disclosure per City codes.

Department: There has been no demonstrated pattern of complaints received by the City, specifically the San Francisco Rent Board, in which tenants have claimed that they are being evicted, or feel they are being "constructively evicted", due to the installation of such facilities. The facility is not an industrial use, and the presence of such facilities, similar to the proposed facility, has not been demonstrated to deter potential tenants.

The City's Administrative Code, does not apply with respect to Mr. Wilner's assertion that disclosure is required as the facility is not classified as an industrial use. It has not been demonstrated that such facilities, when installed in a similar manner in other areas of the City, have diminished property values. Furthermore, the City is prohibited by Federal law, from denying such a facility based on a fear of reduced property values as they relate to health concerns over RF emissions.

Wilner: Non-Permitted work.

Department: The previously installed equipment cabinet is not a part of the proposed facility. The carrier has indicated no construction activity has occurred at the site. The equipment has been removed by the property owner, and there are no active Planning or Building Code violations at the Project site.

ISSUES AND OTHER CONSIDERATIONS

- Health and safety aspects of all wireless projects are reviewed under the Department of Public Health and the Department of Building Inspections.
- An updated Five Year Plan with approximate longitudinal and latitudinal coordinates of proposed locations, including the subject site is on file with the Planning Department.
- All required public notifications were conducted in compliance with the City's code and policies.

REQUIRED COMMISSION ACTION

Pursuant to Section 741.83 of the Planning Code, Conditional Use authorization is required for a WTS facility in the Taraval Street Neighborhood Commercial District Zoning District.

BASIS FOR RECOMMENDATION

This project is necessary and/or desirable under Section 303 of the Planning Code for the following reasons:

- The Project complies with the applicable requirements of the Planning Code.
- The Project is consistent with the objectives and policies of the General Plan.
- The Project is consistent with the 1996 WTS Facilities Siting Guidelines, Planning Commission Resolution No. 14182 and Resolutions No. 16539 and No. 18523 supplementing the 1996 WTS Guidelines.
- Health and safety aspects of all wireless projects are reviewed under the Department of Public Health and the Department of Building Inspections.
- The expected RF emissions fall well within the limits established by the FCC.
- The project site is considered a Location Preference 6, (Limited Preference Location, Individual Neighborhood Commercial District) according to the Wireless Telecommunications Services (WTS) Siting Guidelines.
- Based on propagation maps provided by AT&T Mobility, the project would provide coverage in an area that currently experiences several gaps in coverage and capacity.
- Based on the analysis provided by AT&T Mobility, the project would provide additional capacity in an area that currently experiences insufficient service during periods of high data usage.
- Based on independent third-party evaluation, the maps, data, and conclusions about service coverage and capacity provided by AT&T Mobility are accurate.
- The use of screening methods for antennas, such as faux chimneys, would ensure the proposed facility would not appear out of character with the subject building, nor have a negative impact on surrounding views.
- Electronic equipment necessary for the facility would be located in a portion of the garage of the subject building and will not impact aesthetics, parking, or the use of the building for residents and commercial tenants.
- The proposed project has been reviewed by staff and found to be categorically exempt from further environmental review. The proposed changes to the subject building do not result in a significant impact on the resource. The proposed antenna project is categorically exempt from further environmental review pursuant to the Class 3 exemptions of California Environmental Quality Act.
- A Five Year Plan with approximate longitudinal and latitudinal coordinates of proposed locations, including the subject site, was submitted.
- All required public notifications were conducted in compliance with the City's code and policies.

RECOMMENDATION:	Approval with Conditions
------------------------	---------------------------------

- | | |
|---|---|
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Executive Summary | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Project sponsor submittal |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Draft Motion | Drawings: <u>Proposed Project</u> |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Zoning District Map | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Check for legibility |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Height & Bulk Map | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Photo Simulations |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Parcel Map | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Coverage Maps |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Sanborn Map | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> RF Report |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Aerial Photo | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> DPH Approval |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Context Photos | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Community Outreach Report |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Site Photos | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Independent Evaluation |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Opposition Packet | |

Exhibits above marked with an "X" are included in this packet _____ on _____ Planner's Initials



SAN FRANCISCO PLANNING DEPARTMENT

Planning Commission Motion No. XXXXX

(CONTINUED FROM THE OCTOBER 17TH HEARING)

HEARING DATE: NOVEMBER 14, 2013

1650 Mission St.
Suite 400
San Francisco,
CA 94103-2479

Reception:
415.558.6378

Fax:
415.558.6409

Planning
Information:
415.558.6377

Date: November 7, 2013
Case No.: **2011.0499C**
Project Address: **2395 26th Avenue**
Current Zoning: Taraval Street Neighborhood Commercial District
Taraval Street Restaurant Subdistrict
65-A Height and Bulk District
Block/Lot: 2355/008A & 009
Project Sponsor: AT&T Mobility represented by
Talin Aghazarian, Ericsson
430 Bush Street, 5th Floor
San Francisco, CA 94108
Staff Contact: Omar Masry – (415) 575-9116
Omar.Masry@sfgov.org

ADOPTING FINDINGS RELATING TO THE APPROVAL OF A CONDITIONAL USE AUTHORIZATION UNDER PLANNING CODE SECTION 303(c) AND 741.83 TO INSTALL A WIRELESS TELECOMMUNICATIONS SERVICES FACILITY CONSISTING OF UP TO SIX SCREENED PANEL ANTENNAS LOCATED ON THE ROOFTOP AND ELECTRONIC EQUIPMENT ON THE ROOF AND IN THE GARAGE OF AN EXISTING MIXED-USE BUILDING AS PART OF AT&T MOBILITY'S WIRELESS TELECOMMUNICATIONS NETWORK WITHIN THE TARAVAL STREET NEIGHBORHOOD COMMERCIAL DISTRICT, TARAVAL STREET RESTAURANT SUBDISTRICT, AND 65-A HEIGHT AND BULK DISTRICT.

PREAMBLE

On May, 12, 2011, AT&T Mobility (hereinafter "Project Sponsor"), submitted an application (hereinafter "Application"), for Conditional Use Authorization on the property at 2395 26th Avenue, Lot 008A in Assessor's Block 2355, (hereinafter "Project Site") to install a wireless telecommunications services (WTS) facility consisting of six (6) screened panel antennas located on the roof of the subject building, and equipment located on the roof and in the garage room, as part of AT&T Mobility's telecommunications network, within the Taraval Street Neighborhood Commercial District Zoning District, Taraval Street Restaurant Subdistrict, and 65-A Height and Bulk District.

The Project is exempt from the California Environmental Quality Act ("CEQA") as a Class 3 Categorical Exemption (Section 15303 of the California Environmental Quality Act). The Planning Commission has reviewed and concurs with said determination. The categorical exemption and all pertinent documents may be found in the files of the Planning Department (hereinafter "Department"), as the custodian of records, at 1650 Mission Street, San Francisco.

On November 14, 2013, the San Francisco Planning Commission (hereinafter "Commission") conducted a duly noticed public hearing at a regularly scheduled meeting on the application for a Conditional Use authorization.

The Commission has heard and considered the testimony presented to it at the public hearing and has further considered written materials and oral testimony presented on behalf of the Applicant, Department Staff, and other interested parties.

MOVED, that the Commission hereby authorizes the Conditional Use in Application No. 2011.0499C, subject to the conditions contained in "EXHIBIT A" of this motion, based on the following findings:

FINDINGS

Having reviewed the materials identified in the preamble above, and having heard all testimony and arguments, this Commission finds, concludes, and determines as follows:

1. The above recitals are accurate and constitute findings of this Commission.
2. **Site Description and Present Use.** The subject building is located on Assessor's Block 2355, Lots 008A and 009, at the northwest corner of the intersection of Taraval Street and 26th Avenues. The Project site is within the Taraval Street Neighborhood Commercial District, Taraval Street Restaurant Subdistrict, and 65-A Height and Bulk District. The Project Site contains a three-story, approximately 32-foot tall, mixed-use building featuring two stories of (11) residential apartments above ground level commercial spaces
3. **Surrounding Properties and Neighborhood.** The subject building is located along the Taraval Street neighborhood commercial corridor within the Parkside Neighborhood. The Project Site is located at the northwest corner of Taraval Street and 26th Avenue and is surrounded by similar mixed-use developments (residential ground level apartments above ground floor commercial space) to the east, southeast, and southwest. The adjacent parcel to the west features a two-story building with a ground floor restaurant.

The adjacent parcel to the north features a two-story, dual-family dwelling, and is similar to the Project site in that it is also zoned Taraval Street NCD and located in a 65-A foot Height and Bulk district. The areas further to the north and south of Taraval Street feature single-family neighborhoods with predominantly two and three-story dwellings. The Project site sits slightly upslope of those neighborhoods to the south and west.

An existing micro WTS facility (dual omni “whip” antennas), operated by AT&T Mobility, is located approximately 900 feet away at 2395 29th Avenue. Though not a part of this project, the Project Sponsor intends to remove the micro WTS facility, in the event the macro WTS facility is approved and constructed at the Project Site.

4. **Project Description.** The proposal is to install a macro wireless telecommunication services (“WTS”) facility consisting of up to six (6) panel antennas on the roof, and equipment on the roof and in the garage, of the subject building, as part of AT&T Mobility’s telecommunications network.

The proposed antennas would be located in three sectors on the roof of the approximately 32-foot tall building, with associated electronic equipment necessary to run the facility on the roof, and in the garage. The first two sectors (“A” and “C”) would feature four antennas housed within three faux chimney elements composed of fibre-reinforced plastic (FRP) painted and textured to mimic portions of the building. The remaining sector (“B”) would feature two antennas located within a similar FRP element. All six faux chimneys would rise to a maximum height of approximately 39 feet above grade. The actual antennas would measure approximately 52” high by 27” wide by 12” deep.

5. **Past History and Actions.** The Planning Commission adopted the Wireless Telecommunications Services (WTS) Facilities Siting Guidelines (“Guidelines”) for the installation of wireless telecommunications facilities in 1996. These Guidelines set forth the land use policies and practices that guide the installation and approval of wireless facilities throughout San Francisco. A large portion of the Guidelines was dedicated to establishing location preferences for these installations. The Board of Supervisors, in Resolution No. 635-96, provided input as to where wireless facilities should be located within San Francisco. The Guidelines were updated by the Commission in 2003 and again in 2012, requiring community outreach, notification, and detailed information about the facilities to be installed.

Section 8.1 of the Guidelines outlines Location Preferences for wireless facilities. There are five primary areas where the installation of wireless facilities should be located:

1. Publicly-used Structures: such facilities as fire stations, utility structures, community facilities, and other public structures;
2. Co-Location Site: encourages installation of facilities on buildings that already have wireless installations;
3. Industrial or Commercial Structures: buildings such as warehouses, factories, garages, service stations;
4. Industrial or Commercial Structures: buildings such as supermarkets, retail stores, banks; and
5. Mixed Use Buildings in High Density Districts: buildings such as housing above commercial or other non-residential space.

Section 8.1 of the WTS Siting Guidelines further stipulates that the Planning Commission will not approve WTS applications for Preference 5 or below Location Sites unless the application describes (a) what publicly-used building, co-location site or other Preferred Location Sites are located within the geographic service area; (b) what good faith efforts and measures were taken to secure these more Preferred Locations, (c) explains why such efforts were unsuccessful; and (d) demonstrates that the location for the site is essential to meet demands in the geographic service area and the Applicant's citywide networks.

Before the Planning Commission can review an application to install a wireless facility, the Project Sponsor must submit a five-year facilities plan, which must be updated biannually, an emissions report and approval by the Department of Public Health, Section 106 Declaration of Intent, an independent evaluation verifying coverage and capacity, a submittal checklist and details about the facilities to be installed.

Under Section 704(B)(iv) of the 1996 Federal Telecommunications Act, local jurisdictions cannot deny wireless facilities based on Radio Frequency (RF) radiation emissions so long as such facilities comply with the FCC's regulations concerning such emissions.

- 6. Location Preference.** The *WTS Facilities Siting Guidelines* identify different types of zoning districts and building uses for the siting of wireless telecommunications facilities. Under the *Guidelines*, and based on the zoning and land use, the antennas are proposed on a Location Preference 6 Site (Limited Preference, Individual Neighborhood Commercial District) according to the WTS Siting Guidelines.

The Project Sponsor submitted a comprehensive Alternative Site Analysis, which was evaluated by staff, and described the lack of available and feasible sites considered a Preference 1 through 5 Site.

- 7. Radio Waves Range.** The Project Sponsor has stated that the proposed wireless facility is necessary to address coverage and capacity gaps, as the existing AT&T Mobility micro-facility (dual omni "whip" antennas approximately 900 feet away at 2395 29th Avenue) is not able to provide sufficient coverage for voice services or meet network demands for 4G LTE data services. The network would operate in the 700 – 2,170 Megahertz (MHZ) bands, which are regulated by the Federal Communications Commission (FCC) and must comply with the FCC-adopted health and safety standards for electromagnetic radiation and radio frequency radiation.
- 8. Radiofrequency (RF) Emissions:** The Project Sponsor retained Hammett & Edison, Inc., a radio engineering consulting firm, to prepare a report describing the expected RF emissions from the proposed facility. Pursuant to the *Guidelines*, the Department of Public Health reviewed the report and determined that the proposed facility complies with the standards set forth in the Guidelines.

9. **Department of Public Health Review and Approval.** The proposed project was referred to the Department of Public Health (DPH) for emissions exposure analysis. Existing RF levels at ground level were around 1% of the FCC public exposure limit. There are no antennas at the project site, however there is a macro WTS facility operated by Sprint, which is approximately 84 feet away.

AT&T Mobility proposes to install six (6) panel antennas at the Project Site. The antennas will be mounted at a height of approximately 37 feet above the ground. The estimated ambient RF field from the proposed AT&T Mobility transmitters at ground level is calculated to be 0.027 mW/sq. cm., which is 4.5% of the FCC public exposure limit. The three dimensional perimeter of RF levels equal to the public exposure limit extends 57 feet and does not reach any publicly accessible areas. Warning signs must be posted at the antennas and roof access points in English, Spanish, and Chinese. Workers should not have access to the area (19 feet) directly in front of the antenna while it is in operation.

10. **Coverage and Capacity Verification.** The maps, data, and conclusion provided by AT&T, to demonstrate need for coverage and capacity have been confirmed by Hammett & Edison, an engineering consultant and independent third party to accurately represent the carrier's present and post-installation conclusions.
11. **Maintenance Schedule.** The proposed facility would operate without on-site staff but with a two-person maintenance crew visiting the property approximately once a month and on an as-needed basis to service and monitor the facility.
12. **Community Outreach.** Per the *Guidelines*, the Project Sponsor held four Community Outreach Meetings for the proposed project on June 30, 2011, November 5, 2012, December 3, 2012, and March 4, 2013. Meetings were held at the Congregation Ner Tamid (Place of Worship), located at 1250 Quintara Street. On March 4, 2013, five (5) community members attended the meeting. Members inquired about health effects of RF emissions, safety standards, noise levels created by the equipment, testing opportunities (RF exposure), the bulk and height of the facility, design alternatives, alternative sites such as nearby parks, and presence of other AT&T Mobility WTS facilities in the area.
13. **Five-year plan:** Per the *Guidelines*, the Project Sponsor submitted an updated five-year plan, as required, in October 2013.
14. **Public Comment.** As of November 7, 2013, the Department has received sixteen comments from community members, in opposition to the Project. The Department also received a packet from David Wilner, of Wilner & Associates; a consulting firm. The packet included a petition of approximately 273 signatures in opposition to the project. The packet cited the following concerns: possibility of non-specified industrial uses, required disclosure of facility per City codes, visual impact of facility, failure to consider

an alternate location, prohibitions on garage installations, unsafe nature of batteries, defective RF report and DPH approval, non-compliance with FCC power limits, use of overlapping frequencies, lack of jurisdiction for internet services, affordable housing not preserved, non-permitted work at the Project site.

15. **Planning Code Compliance.** The Commission finds that the Project is consistent with the relevant provisions of the Planning Code in the following manner:

A. **Use.** Per Planning Code Section 741.83, a Conditional Use authorization is required for the installation of Commercial Wireless Transmitting, Receiving or Relay Facility.

16. **Planning Code Section 303** establishes criteria for the Planning Commission to consider when reviewing applications for Conditional Use approval. On balance, the project does comply with said criteria in that:

A. The proposed new uses and building, at the size and intensity contemplated and at the proposed location, will provide a development that is necessary or desirable, and compatible with, the neighborhood or the community.

i. *Desirable: San Francisco is a leader of the technological economy; it is important and desirable to the vitality of the City to have and maintain adequate telecommunications coverage and data capacity. This includes the installation and upgrading of systems to keep up with changing technology and increases in usage. It is desirable for the City to allow wireless facilities to be installed.*

The proposed project at 2395 26th Avenue is generally desirable and compatible with the surrounding neighborhood because the Project will not conflict with the existing uses of the property and will be designed to be compatible with the surrounding nature of the vicinity. The placement of antennas and related support and protection features are so located, designed, and treated architecturally to minimize their visibility from public places, to avoid intrusion into public vistas, avoid disruption of the architectural design integrity of the Project site or adjacent buildings, insure harmony with the existing neighborhood character and promote public safety. The Project has been reviewed and determined to not cause the removal or alteration of any significant architectural features of the subject building.

ii. *Necessary: In the case of wireless installations, there are two criteria that the Commission reviews: coverage and capacity.*

Coverage: San Francisco does have sufficient overall wireless coverage (note that this is separate from carrier capacity). San Francisco's unique coverage issues are due to topography and building heights. The hills and buildings disrupt lines of site between WTS base stations. Thus, telecommunication carriers continue to install additional installations to make sure coverage is sufficient.

Capacity: While a carrier may have adequate coverage in a certain area, the capacity may not be sufficient. With the continuous innovations in wireless data technology and demand placed on existing infrastructure, individual telecommunications carriers must upgrade and in some instances expand their facilities network to provide proper data and voice capacity. It is necessary for San Francisco, as a leader in technology, to have adequate capacity.

The proposed project at 2395 26th Avenue is necessary in order to achieve sufficient street and in-building mobile phone coverage and data capacity. Recent drive tests in the subject area conducted by the AT&T Mobility Radio Frequency Engineering Team provide that the subject property is the most viable location, based on factors including quality of coverage and aesthetics.

- B. The proposed project will not be detrimental to the health, safety, convenience or general welfare of persons residing or working in the vicinity. There are no features of the project that could be detrimental to the health, safety or convenience of those residing or working the area, in that:
- i. Nature of proposed site, including its size and shape, and the proposed size, shape and arrangement of structures;

The Project must comply with all applicable Federal and State regulations to safeguard the health, safety and to ensure that persons residing or working in the vicinity will not be affected, and prevent harm to other personal property.

The Department of Public Health conducted an evaluation of potential health effects from Radio Frequency radiation, and has concluded that the proposed wireless transmission facilities will have no adverse health effects if operated in compliance with the FCC-adopted health and safety standards.

- ii. The accessibility and traffic patterns for persons and vehicles, the type and volume of such traffic, and the adequacy of proposed off-street parking and loading;

No increase in traffic volume is anticipated with the facilities operating unmanned, with a maintenance crew visiting the site once a month or on an as-needed basis.

- iii. The safeguards afforded to prevent noxious or offensive emissions such as noise, glare, dust and odor;

While some noise and dust may result from the installation of the antennas and transceiver equipment, noise or noxious emissions from continued use are not likely to be significantly greater than ambient conditions due to the operation of the wireless communication network.

- iv. Treatment given, as appropriate, to such aspects as landscaping, screening, open spaces, parking and loading areas, service areas, lighting and signs;

The antennas would be placed in elements designed to mimic chimneys, without significant increases in the overall bulk or dimensions of the building. The proposed antennas, screening elements, and equipment will not affect landscaping, open space, parking, lighting or signage at the Project site or surrounding area.

- C. That the use as proposed will comply with the applicable provisions of the Planning Code and will not adversely affect the General Plan.

The Project complies with all relevant requirements and standards of the Planning Code and is consistent with objectives and policies of the General Plan as detailed below.

- D. That the use as proposed would provide development that is in conformity with the purpose of the applicable Neighborhood Commercial District.

The proposed installation is a Public Use and is consistent with the purpose of the Taraval Street Neighborhood Commercial District, in that the Project is located on an existing building and would not alter the overall character of the building or surrounding area. Furthermore, the facility would not impact the primary use of the building for commercial and residential uses.

- 17. **General Plan Compliance.** The Project is, on balance, consistent with the following Objectives and Policies of the General Plan

HOUSING ELEMENT

BALANCE HOUSING CONSTRUCTION AND COMMUNITY INFRASTRUCTURE

OBJECTIVE 12 – BALANCE HOUSING GROWTH WITH ADEQUATE INFRASTRUCTURE THAT SERVES THE CITY'S GROWING POPULATION.

POLICY 12.2 – Consider the proximity of quality of life elements, such as open space, child care, and neighborhood services, when developing new housing units.

POLICY 12.3 – Ensure new housing is sustainable supported by the City's public infrastructure systems.

The Project will improve AT&T Mobility's coverage and capacity along Taraval Street, which is a primary neighborhood commercial corridor in the Parkside neighborhood.

URBAN DESIGN

HUMAN NEEDS

OBJECTIVE 4 - IMPROVEMENT OF THE NEIGHBORHOOD ENVIRONMENT TO INCREASE PERSONAL SAFETY, COMFORT, PRIDE AND OPPORTUNITY.

POLICY 4.14 - Remove and obscure distracting and cluttering elements.

The antennas would be adequately concealed to reduce their visual impact, thereby minimizing the possibility of introducing new elements considered distracting or cluttering. The height and bulk of the proposed faux chimneys will not appear distracting nor create a cluttered visual aesthetic for the subject building or surrounding neighborhood.

COMMERCE AND INDUSTRY ELEMENT

Objectives and Policies

OBJECTIVE 1:

MANAGE ECONOMIC GROWTH AND CHANGE TO ENSURE ENHANCEMENT OF THE TOTAL CITY LIVING AND WORKING ENVIRONMENT.

Policy 1:

Encourage development, which provides substantial net benefits and minimizes undesirable consequences. Discourage development, which has substantial undesirable consequences that cannot be mitigated.

Policy 2:

Assure that all commercial and industrial uses meet minimum, reasonable performance standards.

The Project would enhance the total city living and working environment by providing communication services for residents and workers within the City. Additionally, the Project would comply with Federal, State and Local performance standards.

OBJECTIVE 2:

MAINTAIN AND ENHANCE A SOUND AND DIVERSE ECONOMIC BASE AND FISCAL STRUCTURE FOR THE CITY.

Policy 1:

Seek to retain existing commercial and industrial activity and to attract new such activity to the city.

Policy 3:

Maintain a favorable social and cultural climate in the city in order to enhance its attractiveness as a firm location.

The site is an integral part of AT&T Mobility's wireless communications network that will enhance the City's diverse economic base.

OBJECTIVE 4:

IMPROVE THE VIABILITY OF EXISTING INDUSTRY IN THE CITY AND THE ATTRACTIVENESS OF THE CITY AS A LOCATION FOR NEW INDUSTRY.

Policy 1:

Maintain and enhance a favorable business climate in the City.

Policy 2:

Promote and attract those economic activities with potential benefit to the City.

The Project would benefit the City by enhancing the business climate through improved communication services for residents and workers.

VISITOR TRADE

OBJECTIVE 8 - ENHANCE SAN FRANCISCO'S POSITION AS A NATIONAL CENTER FOR CONVENTIONS AND VISITOR TRADE.

POLICY 8.3 - Assure that areas of particular visitor attraction are provided with adequate public services for both residents and visitors.

The Project will ensure that residents and visitors have adequate public service in the form of AT&T Mobility telecommunications.

COMMUNITY SAFETY ELEMENT

Objectives and Policies

OBJECTIVE 3:

ENSURE THE PROTECTION OF LIFE AND PROPERTY FROM THE EFFECTS OF FIRE OR NATURAL DISASTER THROUGH ADEQUATE EMERGENCY OPERATIONS PREPARATION.

Policy 1:

Maintain a local agency for the provision of emergency services to meet the needs of San Francisco.

Policy 2:

Develop and maintain viable, up-to-date in-house emergency operations plans, with necessary equipment, for operational capability of all emergency service agencies and departments.

Policy 3:

Maintain and expand agreements for emergency assistance from other jurisdictions to ensure adequate aid in time of need.

Policy 4:

Establish and maintain an adequate Emergency Operations Center.

Policy 5:

Maintain and expand the city's fire prevention and fire-fighting capability.

Policy 6:

Establish a system of emergency access routes for both emergency operations and evacuation.

The Project would enhance the ability of the City to protect both life and property from the effects of a fire or natural disaster by providing communication services.

18. **Planning Code Section 101.1(b)** establishes eight priority-planning policies and requires review of permits for consistency with said policies. On balance, the project does comply with said policies in that:

- A. That existing neighborhood-serving retail uses be preserved and enhanced and future opportunities for resident employment in and ownership of such businesses be enhanced.

No neighborhood-serving retail use would be displaced and the wireless communications network will enhance personal communication services.

- B. That existing housing and neighborhood character be conserved and protected in order to preserve the cultural and economic diversity of our neighborhoods.

No residential uses would be displaced or altered in any way by the granting of this authorization.

- C. That the City's supply of affordable housing be preserved and enhanced.

The Project would have no adverse impact on housing in the vicinity. There has been no demonstrated pattern of complaints received by the City, specifically the San Francisco Rent Board, in which tenants have claimed that they are being evicted, or feel they are being "constructively evicted," due to the installation of such facilities. The facility is not an industrial use, and the presence of such facilities, similar to the proposed facility, has not been demonstrated to deter potential or existing tenants.

- D. That commuter traffic not impede MUNI transit service or overburden our streets or neighborhood parking.

Due to the nature of the Project and minimal maintenance or repair, municipal transit service would not be significantly impeded and neighborhood parking would not be overburdened.

- E. That a diverse economic base be maintained by protecting our industrial and service sectors from displacement due to commercial office development, and that future opportunities for resident employment and ownership in these sectors be enhanced.

The Project would cause no displacement of industrial and service sector activity.

- F. That the City achieve the greatest possible preparedness to protect against injury and loss of life in an earthquake.

Compliance with applicable structural safety and seismic safety requirements would be considered during the building permit application review process.

- G. That landmarks and historic buildings be preserved.

The subject site is not a landmark building, nor is the site located in a designated historic district. The subject site was developed in 1975 and is considered a Potential Historic Resource. The site is surrounded by single family residences to the north, which were developed in the 1920s and are also considered Potential Historic Resources. The project would feature screening elements visible from select locations along adjacent public rights of way. However the placement and design of the screening structures would not obscure or detract from other potentially significant buildings or public views within the Parkside / Outer Sunset Neighborhood, or the Taraval Street corridor.

- H. That our parks and open space and their access to sunlight and vistas be protected from development.

The Project will have no adverse impact on parks or open space, or their access to sunlight or vistas.

19. The Project is consistent with and would promote the general and specific purposes of the Code provided under Section 101.1(b) in that, as designed, the Project would contribute to the character and stability of the neighborhood and would constitute a beneficial development.

DECISION

The Commission, after carefully balancing the competing public and private interests, and based upon the Recitals and Findings set forth above, in accordance with the standards specified in the Code, hereby approves the Conditional Use authorization under Planning Code Sections 741.83 and 303 to install up to six (6) screened (faux chimneys) panel antennas on the rooftop, and associated equipment cabinets on the roof and in the garage of the Project Site and as part of a wireless transmission network operated by AT&T Mobility on a Location Preference 6 (Limited Preference, Individual Neighborhood Commercial District) according to the Wireless Telecommunications Services (WTS) Siting Guidelines, within the Taraval Street Neighborhood Commercial District, Taraval Street Restaurant Subdistrict, and 65-A Height and Bulk District, and subject to the conditions of approval attached hereto as **Exhibit A**; in general conformance with the plans, dated November 4, 2013, and stamped "Exhibit B."

APPEAL AND EFFECTIVE DATE OF MOTION: Any aggrieved person may appeal this conditional use authorization to the Board of Supervisors within thirty (30) days after the date of this Motion No. xxxx. The effective date of this Motion shall be the date of this Motion if not appealed (after the 30-day period has expired) OR the date of the decision of the Board of Supervisors if appealed to the Board of Supervisors. For further information, please contact the Board of Supervisors at (415) 554-5184, City Hall, Room 244, 1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, San Francisco, CA 94102.

I hereby certify that the foregoing Motion was adopted by the Planning Commission on **November 14, 2013**.

JONAS P. IONIN
Commission Secretary

AYES

NAYS:

ABSENT:

ADOPTED: November 14, 2013

EXHIBIT A

AUTHORIZATION

This authorization is for a Conditional Use Authorization under Planning Code Sections 741.83 and 303 to install up to six (6) screened (faux chimneys) panel antennas on the rooftop, and associated equipment cabinets on the roof and in the garage of the Project Site and as part of a wireless transmission network operated by AT&T Mobility on a Location Preference 6 (Limited Preference, Individual Neighborhood Commercial District) according to the Wireless Telecommunications Services (WTS) Siting Guidelines, within the Taraval Street Neighborhood Commercial District, Taraval Street Restaurant Subdistrict, and 65-A Height and Bulk District, and subject to the conditions of approval attached hereto as Exhibit A; in general conformance with the plans, dated November 4, 2013, and stamped "Exhibit B."

RECORDATION OF CONDITIONS OF APPROVAL

Prior to the issuance of the building permit or commencement of use for the Project the Zoning Administrator shall approve and order the recordation of a Notice in the Official Records of the Recorder of the City and County of San Francisco for the subject property. This Notice shall state that the Project is subject to the conditions of approval contained herein and reviewed and approved by the Planning Commission on **November 14, 2013** under Motion No. xxxxx.

PRINTING OF CONDITIONS OF APPROVAL ON PLANS

The conditions of approval under the 'Exhibit A' of this Planning Commission Motion No. xxxxx shall be reproduced on the Index Sheet of construction plans submitted with the Site or Building permit application for the Project. The Index Sheet of the construction plans shall reference to the Conditional Use authorization and any subsequent amendments or modifications.

SEVERABILITY

The Project shall comply with all applicable City codes and requirements. If any clause, sentence, section or any part of these conditions of approval is for any reason held to be invalid, such invalidity shall not affect or impair other remaining clauses, sentences, or sections of these conditions. This decision conveys no right to construct, or to receive a building permit. "Project Sponsor" shall include any subsequent responsible party.

CHANGES AND MODIFICATIONS

Changes to the approved plans may be approved administratively by the Zoning Administrator. Significant changes and modifications of conditions shall require Planning Commission approval of a new Conditional Use authorization.

Conditions of Approval, Compliance, Monitoring, and Reporting PERFORMANCE

1. **Validity and Expiration.** The authorization and right vested by virtue of this action is valid for three years from the effective date of the Motion. A building permit from the Department of Building Inspection to construct the project and/or commence the approved use must be issued as this Conditional Use authorization is only an approval of the proposed project and conveys no independent right to construct the Project or to commence the approved use. The Planning Commission may, in a public hearing, consider the revocation of the approvals granted if a site or building permit has not been obtained within three (3) years of the date of the Motion approving the Project. Once a site or building permit has been issued, construction must commence within the timeframe required by the Department of Building Inspection and be continued diligently to completion. The Commission may also consider revoking the approvals if a permit for the Project has been issued but is allowed to expire and more than three (3) years have passed since the Motion was approved.

For information about compliance, contact Code Enforcement, Planning Department at 415-575-6863, www.sf-planning.org.

2. **Extension.** This authorization may be extended at the discretion of the Zoning Administrator only where failure to issue a permit by the Department of Building Inspection to perform said tenant improvements is caused by a delay by a local, State or Federal agency or by any appeal of the issuance of such permit(s).

For information about compliance, contact Code Enforcement, Planning Department at 415-575-6863, www.sf-planning.org.

DESIGN – COMPLIANCE AT PLAN STAGE

3. **Plan Drawings - WTS.** Prior to the issuance of any building or electrical permits for the installation of the facilities, the Project Sponsor shall submit final scaled drawings for review and approval by the Planning Department ("Plan Drawings"). The Plan Drawings shall describe:
 - a. **Structure and Siting.** Identify all facility related support and protection measures to be installed. This includes, but is not limited to, the location(s) and method(s) of placement, support, protection, screening, paint and/or other treatments of the antennas and other appurtenances to insure public safety, insure compatibility with urban design, architectural and historic preservation principles, and harmony with neighborhood character.
 - b. For the Project Site, regardless of the ownership of the existing facilities. Identify the location of all existing antennas and facilities; and identify the location of all approved (but not installed) antennas and facilities.
 - c. **Emissions.** Provide a report, subject to approval of the Zoning Administrator, that operation of the facilities in addition to ambient RF emission levels will not exceed adopted FCC standards with regard to human exposure in uncontrolled areas.

For information about compliance, contact the Case Planner, Planning Department at 415-575-6378, www.sf-planning.org.

4. **Screening - WTS.** To the extent necessary to ensure compliance with adopted FCC regulations regarding human exposure to RF emissions, and upon the recommendation of the Zoning Administrator, the Project Sponsor shall:
- a. Modify the placement of the facilities;
 - b. Install fencing, barriers or other appropriate structures or devices to restrict access to the facilities;
 - c. Install multi-lingual signage, including the RF radiation hazard warning symbol identified in ANSI C95.2 1982, to notify persons that the facility could cause exposure to RF emissions;
 - d. Implement any other practice reasonably necessary to ensure that the facility is operated in compliance with adopted FCC RF emission standards.
 - e. To the extent necessary to minimize visual obtrusion and clutter, installations shall conform to the following standards:
 - f. Antennas and back up equipment shall be painted, fenced, landscaped or otherwise treated architecturally so as to minimize visual effects;
 - g. Rooftop installations shall be setback such that back up facilities are not viewed from the street;
 - h. Antennas attached to building facades shall be so placed, screened or otherwise treated to minimize any negative visual impact; and
 - i. Although co location of various companies' facilities may be desirable, a maximum number of antennas and back up facilities on the Project Site shall be established, on a case by case basis, such that "antennae farms" or similar visual intrusions for the site and area is not created.

For information about compliance, contact the Case Planner, Planning Department at 415-575-6378, www.sf-planning.org.

MONITORING - AFTER ENTITLEMENT

5. **Enforcement.** Violation of any of the Planning Department conditions of approval contained in this Motion or of any other provisions of Planning Code applicable to this Project shall be subject to the enforcement procedures and administrative penalties set forth under Planning Code Section 176 or Section 176.1. The Planning Department may also refer the violation complaints to other city departments and agencies for appropriate enforcement action under their jurisdiction.

For information about compliance, contact Code Enforcement, Planning Department at 415-575-6863, www.sf-planning.org.

6. **Monitoring.** The Project requires monitoring of the conditions of approval in this Motion. The Project Sponsor or the subsequent responsible parties for the Project shall pay fees as established under Planning Code Section 351(e) (1) and work with the Planning Department for information about compliance.

For information about compliance, contact Code Enforcement, Planning Department at 415-575-6863, www.sf-planning.org.

7. **Revocation due to Violation of Conditions.** Should implementation of this Project result in complaints from interested property owners, residents, or commercial lessees which are not resolved by the Project Sponsor and found to be in violation of the Planning Code and/or the specific Conditions of Approval for the Project as set forth in Exhibit A of this Motion, the Zoning Administrator shall refer such complaints to the Commission, after which it may hold a public hearing on the matter to consider revocation of this authorization.

For information about compliance, contact Code Enforcement, Planning Department at 415-575-6863, www.sf-planning.org.

8. **Implementation Costs - WTS.**

- a. The Project Sponsor, on an equitable basis with other WTS providers, shall pay the cost of preparing and adopting appropriate General Plan policies related to the placement of WTS facilities. Should future legislation be enacted to provide for cost recovery for planning, the Project Sponsor shall be bound by such legislation.
- b. The Project Sponsor or its successors shall be responsible for the payment of all reasonable costs associated with implementation of the conditions of approval contained in this authorization, including costs incurred by this Department, the Department of Public Health, the Department of Technology, Office of the City Attorney, or any other appropriate City Department or agency. The Planning Department shall collect such costs on behalf of the City.
- c. The Project Sponsor shall be responsible for the payment of all fees associated with the installation of the subject facility, which are assessed by the City pursuant to all applicable law.

For information about compliance, contact Code Enforcement, Planning Department at 415-575-6863, www.sf-planning.org

9. **Implementation and Monitoring - WTS.** In the event that the Project implementation report includes a finding that RF emissions for the site exceed FCC Standards in any uncontrolled location, the Zoning Administrator may require the Applicant to immediately cease and desist operation of the facility until such time that the violation is corrected to the satisfaction of the Zoning Administrator.

For information about compliance, contact Code Enforcement, Planning Department at 415-575-6863, www.sf-planning.org

10. **Project Implementation Report - WTS.** The Project Sponsor shall prepare and submit to the Zoning Administrator a Project Implementation Report. The Project Implementation Report shall:

- a. Identify the three dimensional perimeter closest to the facility at which adopted FCC standards for human exposure to RF emissions in uncontrolled areas are satisfied;
- b. Document testing that demonstrates that the facility will not cause any potential exposure to RF emissions that exceed adopted FCC emission standards for human exposure in uncontrolled areas.
- c. The Project Implementation Report shall compare test results for each test point with applicable FCC standards. Testing shall be conducted in compliance with FCC

regulations governing the measurement of RF emissions and shall be conducted during normal business hours on a non-holiday weekday with the subject equipment measured while operating at maximum power.

- d. **Testing, Monitoring, and Preparation.** The Project Implementation Report shall be prepared by a certified professional engineer or other technical expert approved by the Department. At the sole option of the Department, the Department (or its agents) may monitor the performance of testing required for preparation of the Project Implementation Report. The cost of such monitoring shall be borne by the Project Sponsor pursuant to the condition related to the payment of the City's reasonable costs.
 - i. **Notification and Testing.** The Project Implementation Report shall set forth the testing and measurements undertaken pursuant to Conditions 2 and 4.
 - ii. **Approval.** The Zoning Administrator shall request that the Certification of Final Completion for operation of the facility not be issued by the Department of Building Inspection until such time that the Project Implementation Report is approved by the Department for compliance with these conditions.

For information about compliance, contact the Environmental Health Section, Department of Public Health at (415) 252-3800, www.sfdph.org.

11. **Notification prior to Project Implementation Report - WTS.** The Project Sponsor shall undertake to inform and perform appropriate tests for residents of any dwelling units located within 25 feet of the transmitting antenna at the time of testing for the Project Implementation Report.
 - a. At least twenty calendar days prior to conducting the testing required for preparation of the Project Implementation Report, the Project Sponsor shall mail notice to the Department, as well as to the resident of any legal dwelling unit within 25 feet of a transmitting antenna of the date on which testing will be conducted. The Applicant will submit a written affidavit attesting to this mail notice along with the mailing list.
 - b. When requested in advance by a resident notified of testing pursuant to subsection (a), the Project Sponsor shall conduct testing of total power density of RF emissions within the residence of that resident on the date on which the testing is conducted for the Project Implementation Report.

For information about compliance, contact Code Enforcement, Planning Department at 415-575-6863, www.sf-planning.org

12. **Installation - WTS.** Within 10 days of the installation and operation of the facilities, the Project Sponsor shall confirm in writing to the Zoning Administrator that the facilities are being maintained and operated in compliance with applicable Building, Electrical and other Code requirements, as well as applicable FCC emissions standards.

For information about compliance, contact Code Enforcement, Planning Department at 415-575-6863, www.sf-planning.org

13. **Periodic Safety Monitoring - WTS.** The Project Sponsor shall submit to the Zoning Administrator 10 days after installation of the facilities, and every two years thereafter, a certification attested to by a licensed engineer expert in the field of EMR/RF emissions, that

the facilities are and have been operated within the then current applicable FCC standards for RF/EMF emissions.

For information about compliance, contact the Environmental Health Section, Department of Public Health at (415) 252-3800, www.sfdph.org.

OPERATION

14. **Community Liaison.** Prior to issuance of a building permit application to construct the project and implement the approved use, the Project Sponsor shall appoint a community liaison officer to deal with the issues of concern to owners and occupants of nearby properties. The Project Sponsor shall provide the Zoning Administrator written notice of the name, business address, and telephone number of the community liaison. Should the contact information change, the Zoning Administrator shall be made aware of such change. The community liaison shall report to the Zoning Administrator what issues, if any, are of concern to the community and what issues have not been resolved by the Project Sponsor.

For information about compliance, contact Code Enforcement, Planning Department at 415-575-6863, www.sf-planning.org

15. **Out of Service – WTS.** The Project Sponsor or Property Owner shall remove antennas and equipment that has been out of service or otherwise abandoned for a continuous period of six months.

For information about compliance, contact Code Enforcement, Planning Department at 415-575-6863, www.sf-planning.org

16. **Emissions Conditions – WTS.** It is a continuing condition of this authorization that the facilities be operated in such a manner so as not to contribute to ambient RF/EMF emissions in excess of then current FCC adopted RF/EMF emission standards; violation of this condition shall be grounds for revocation.

For information about compliance, contact the Environmental Health Section, Department of Public Health at (415) 252-3800, www.sfdph.org.

17. **Noise and Heat – WTS.** The WTS facility, including power source and cooling facility, shall be operated at all times within the limits of the San Francisco Noise Control Ordinance. The WTS facility, including power source and any heating/cooling facility, shall not be operated so as to cause the generation of heat that adversely affects a building occupant.

For information about compliance, contact the Environmental Health Section, Department of Public Health at (415) 252-3800, www.sfdph.org.

18. **Transfer of Operation – WTS.** Any carrier/provider authorized by the Zoning Administrator or by the Planning Commission to operate a specific WTS installation may assign the operation of the facility to another carrier licensed by the FCC for that radio frequency provided that such transfer is made known to the Zoning Administrator in advance of such operation, and all conditions of approval for the subject installation are carried out by the new carrier/provider.

For information about compliance, contact Code Enforcement, Planning Department at 415-575-6863, www.sf-planning.org

19. **Compatibility with City Emergency Services – WTS.** The facility shall not be operated or caused to transmit on or adjacent to any radio frequencies licensed to the City for emergency telecommunication services such that the City's emergency telecommunications system experiences interference, unless prior approval for such has been granted in writing by the City.

For information about compliance, contact the Department of Technology, 415-581-4000, <http://sfgov3.org/index.aspx?page=1421>

Zoning Map



SUBJECT PROPERTY



Case Number 2011.0499C
AT&T Mobility Macro WTS Facility
2395 26th Avenue

Aerial Photo



SUBJECT PROPERTY



Case Number 2011.0499C
AT&T Mobility Macro WTS Facility
2395 26th Avenue

Parcel Map



SUBJECT PROPERTY



Case Number 2011.0499C
AT&T Mobility Macro WTS Facility
2395 26th Avenue

Sanborn Map*



*The Sanborn Maps in San Francisco have not been updated since 1998, and this map may not accurately reflect existing conditions.



Case Number 2011.0499C
 AT&T Mobility Macro WTS Facility
 2395 26th Avenue

G. Contextual Photographs

The following are photographs of the surrounding buildings within 100-feet of the subject property showing the facades and heights of nearby buildings:



Looking west down Taraval Street at the northern blockface.



Looking north down 26th Avenue at the western blockface.



Looking north down 26th Avenue at the eastern blockface.



Looking east down Taraval Street at the northern blockface.



Looking south down 26th Avenue at the eastern blockface.



Looking east down Taraval Street at the southern blockface.



Looking south down 26th Avenue at the western blockface.



Looking west down 26th Avenue at the southern blockface.

Existing



Proposed



proposed AT&T antenna sectors A
& C inside new faux vents

Photo simulation as seen looking north from 26th Avenue

Existing



Proposed




proposed AT&T antenna sector
B inside new faux vents

proposed AT&T antenna sector
C inside new faux vents

Photo simulation as seen looking east from Taraval Street

Existing



Proposed



Photo simulation as seen looking south from 26th Avenue

Existing



Proposed



Photo simulation as seen looking west from Taraval Avenue

**AT&T Mobility • Proposed Base Station (Site No. CN5723G)
2395 26th Avenue • San Francisco, California**

Statement of Hammett & Edison, Inc., Consulting Engineers

The firm of Hammett & Edison, Inc., Consulting Engineers, has been retained on behalf of AT&T Mobility, a personal wireless telecommunications carrier, to evaluate the base station (Site No. CN5723G) proposed to be located at 2395 26th Avenue in San Francisco, California, for compliance with appropriate guidelines limiting human exposure to radio frequency (“RF”) electromagnetic fields.

Background

The San Francisco Department of Public Health has adopted a 10-point checklist for determining compliance of proposed WTS facilities or proposed modifications to such facilities with prevailing safety standards. The acceptable limits set by the FCC for exposures of unlimited duration are:

<u>Wireless Service</u>	<u>Frequency Band</u>	<u>Occupational Limit</u>	<u>Public Limit</u>
Microwave (Point-to-Point)	5,000–80,000 MHz	5.00 mW/cm ²	1.00 mW/cm ²
BRS (Broadband Radio)	2,600	5.00	1.00
AWS (Advanced Wireless)	2,100	5.00	1.00
PCS (Personal Communication)	1,950	5.00	1.00
Cellular	870	2.90	0.58
SMR (Specialized Mobile Radio)	855	2.85	0.57
700 MHz	700	2.40	0.48
[most restrictive frequency range]	30–300	1.00	0.20

The site was visited by Mr. Dhruva Dandekar, a qualified engineer employed by Hammett & Edison, Inc., during normal business hours on May 16, 2012, a non-holiday weekday, and reference has been made to information provided by AT&T, including zoning drawings by Streamline Engineering and Design, Inc., dated April 1, 2013.

Checklist

1. The location of all existing antennas and facilities at site. Existing RF levels.

There were observed no wireless base stations installed at the site. Existing RF levels for a person at ground near the site were less than 1% of the most restrictive public exposure limit. The measurement equipment used was a Wandel & Goltermann Type EMR-300 Radiation Meter with Type 18 Isotropic Electric Field Probe (Serial No. F-0034). The meter and probe were under current calibration by the manufacturer.

2. The location of all approved (but not installed) antennas and facilities. Expected RF levels from approved antennas.

No other WTS facilities are reported to be approved for this site but not installed.



**AT&T Mobility • Proposed Base Station (Site No. CN5723G)
2395 26th Avenue • San Francisco, California**

3. The number and types of WTS within 100 feet of proposed site and estimates of additive EMR emissions at proposed site.

There were observed antennas for use by Sprint Nextel on the three-story mixed-use building located at 1633 Taraval Street, about 100 feet away.

4. Location (and number) of Applicant's antennas and back-up facilities per building and location (and number) of other WTS at site.

AT&T proposes to install six directional panel antennas – three Andrew Model SBNH-1D6565A and three dbSpectra Model SPD2P6515XLH – within individual cylindrical enclosures, configured to resemble vent pipes, to be installed above the roof of the three-story mixed-use building located at 2395 26th Avenue. The antennas would be mounted with up to 6° downtilt at an effective height of about 37 feet above ground, 4 feet above the roof, and would be oriented in pairs (one of each) toward 30°T, 130°T, and 250°T.

5. Power rating (maximum and expected operating power) for all existing and proposed backup equipment subject to application.

The expected operating power of the AT&T transmitters is reflected in the resulting effective radiated power given in Item 6 below; the transmitters may operate at a power below their maximum rating.

6. Total number of watts per installation and total number of watts for all installations at site.

The maximum effective radiated power proposed by AT&T in any direction is 7,380 watts, representing simultaneous operation at 5,630 watts for PCS, 1,000 watts for cellular, and 750 watts for 700 MHz service.

7. Plot or roof plan showing method of attachment of antennas, directionality of antennas, and height above roof level. Discuss nearby inhabited buildings.

The drawings show the proposed antennas to be installed as described in Item 4 above. There were noted buildings nearby of similar height, located at least 65 feet from the antennas.

8. Estimated ambient RF levels for proposed site and identify three-dimensional perimeter where exposure standards are exceeded.

For a person anywhere at ground, the maximum RF exposure level due to the proposed AT&T operation is calculated to be 0.027 mW/cm², which is 4.5% of the applicable public exposure limit. Ambient RF levels at the site are therefore estimated to be below 5.5% of the limit. The three-dimensional perimeter of RF levels equal to the public exposure limit is calculated to extend up to 57 feet out from the antenna faces and to much lesser distances above, below, and to the sides; this includes large areas of the roof of the building but does not reach any publicly accessible areas.



**AT&T Mobility • Proposed Base Station (Site No. CN5723G)
2395 26th Avenue • San Francisco, California**

9. Describe proposed signage at site.

It is recommended that barricades be erected, as shown in Figure 1 attached, to preclude public access directly in front of the antennas. To prevent occupational exposures in excess of the FCC guidelines, no access within 19 feet directly in front of the antennas themselves, such as might occur during maintenance work on the roof, should be allowed while the base station is in operation, unless other measures can be demonstrated to ensure that occupational protection requirements are met. Marking “Prohibited Access Areas” with red stripes and “Worker Notification Areas” with yellow stripes within the barricaded areas on the roof of the building in front of the antennas, as shown in Figure 1, and posting explanatory warning signs* at the roof access ladder, on the barricades, and on the antenna enclosures, such that the signs would be readily visible from any angle of approach to persons who might need to work within that distance, would be sufficient to meet FCC-adopted guidelines.

10. Statement of authorship.

The undersigned author of this statement is a qualified Professional Engineer, holding California Registration Nos. E-13026 and M-20676, which expire on June 30, 2015. This work has been carried out under his direction, and all statements are true and correct of his own knowledge except, where noted, when data has been supplied by others, which data he believes to be correct.

* Warning signs should comply with OET-65 color, symbol, and content recommendations. Contact information should be provided (e.g., a telephone number) to arrange for access to restricted areas. The selection of language(s) is not an engineering matter; the San Francisco Department of Public Health recommends that all signs be written in English, Spanish, and Chinese.



**AT&T Mobility • Proposed Base Station (Site No. CN5723G)
2395 26th Avenue • San Francisco, California**

Conclusion

Based on the information and analysis above, it is the undersigned's professional opinion that operation of the base station proposed by AT&T Mobility at 2395 26th Avenue in San Francisco, California, can comply with the prevailing standards for limiting human exposure to radio frequency energy and, therefore, need not for this reason cause a significant impact on the environment. The highest calculated level in publicly accessible areas is much less than the prevailing standards allow for exposures of unlimited duration. This finding is consistent with measurements of actual exposure conditions taken at other operating base stations. Erecting barricades is recommended to establish compliance with public exposure limitations; marking roof areas and posting explanatory signs is recommended to establish compliance with occupational exposure limitations.



William F. Hammett, P.E.

707/996-5200

June 6, 2013

AT&T Mobility • Proposed Base Station (Site No. CN5723G)
2395 26th Avenue • San Francisco, California

Suggested Locations for Barricades (green)
and for Striping to Identify “Prohibited Access Areas” (red)
and “Worker Notification Areas” (yellow)



Notes:

Base drawing from Streamline Engineering and Design, dated April 1, 2013.

Barricades should be erected as shown to preclude access by the public to areas in front of the antennas.

“Prohibited Access Areas” should be marked with red paint stripes, “Worker Notification Areas” should be marked with yellow paint stripes, and explanatory warning signs should be posted outside the areas, readily visible to authorized workers needing access. See text.



Review of Cellular Antenna Site Proposals

Project Sponsor : AT&T Wireless **Planner:** Omar Masry
RF Engineer Consultant: Hammett and Edison **Phone Number:** (707) 996-5200
Project Address/Location: 2395 26TH Av
Site ID: 1784 **SiteNo.:** CN5723G

The following information is required to be provided before approval of this project can be made. These information requirements are established in the San Francisco Planning Department Wireless Telecommunications Services Facility Siting Guidelines dated August 1996. In order to facilitate quicker approval of this project, it is recommended that the project sponsor review this document before submitting the proposal to ensure that all requirements are included.

- X 1. The location of all existing antennas and facilities. Existing RF levels. (WTS-FSG, Section 11, 2b)
 Existing Antennas No Existing Antennas: 0
- X 2. The location of all approved (but not installed) antennas and facilities. Expected RF levels from the approved antennas. (WTS-FSG Section 11, 2b)
 Yes No
- X 3. The number and types of WTS within 100 feet of the proposed site and provide estimates of cumulative EMR emissions at the proposed site. (WTS-FSG, Section 10.5.2)
 Yes No
- X 4. Location (and number) of the Applicant's antennas and back-up facilities per building and number and location of other telecommunication facilities on the property (WTS-FSG, Section 10.4.1a)
- X 5. Power rating (maximum and expected operating power) for all existing and proposed backup equipment subject to the application (WTS-FSG, Section 10.4.1c)
 Maximum Power Rating: 7380 watts.
- X 6. The total number of watts per installation and the total number of watts for all installations on the building (roof or side) (WTS-FSG, Section 10.5.1).
 Maximum Effective Radiant: 7380 watts.
- X 7. Preferred method of attachment of proposed antenna (roof, wall mounted, monopole) with plot or roof plan. Show directionality of antennas. Indicate height above roof level. Discuss nearby inhabited buildings (particularly in direction of antennas) (WTS-FSG, Section 10.4.1d)
- X 8. Report estimated ambient radio frequency fields for the proposed site (identify the three-dimensional perimeter where the FCC standards are exceeded.) (WTS-FSG, Section 10.5) State FCC standard utilized and power density exposure level (i.e. 1986 NCRP, 200 $\mu\text{w}/\text{cm}^2$)
 Maximum RF Exposure: 0.027 mW/cm^2 Maximum RF Exposure Percent: 4.5
- X 9. Signage at the facility identifying all WTS equipment and safety precautions for people nearing the equipment as may be required by any applicable FCC-adopted standards. (WTS-FSG, Section 10.9.2). Discuss signage for those who speak languages other than English.
 Public_Exclusion_Area Public Exclusion In Feet: 57
 Occupational_Exclusion_Area Occupational Exclusion In Feet: 19

X 10. Statement on who produced this report and qualifications.

X **Approved.** Based on the information provided the following staff believes that the project proposal will comply with the current Federal Communication Commission safety standards for radiofrequency radiation exposure. FCC standard 1986-NCRP **Approval of the subsequent Project Implementation Report is based on project sponsor completing recommendations by project consultant and DPH.**

Comments:

There are currently no antennas operated by AT&T Wireless installed on the roof top of the building at 2395 26TH Avenue. Existing RF levels at ground level were around 1% of the FCC public exposure limit. There were observed similar antennas for use by Sprint located within 100 feet of this site. AT&T Wireless proposes to install 6 new antennas. The antennas will be mounted at a height of about 37 feet above the ground. The estimated ambient RF field from the proposed AT&T Wireless transmitters at ground level is calculated to be 0.027 mW/sq cm., which is 4.5 % of the FCC public exposure limit. The three dimensional perimeter of RF levels equal to the public exposure limit extends 57 feet and does include portions of the rooftop area. Barricades should be installed to prevent access to these areas. The nearest building of similar height is reported as being 65 feet away. Warning signs must be posted at the antennas, barricades and roof access points in English, Spanish and Chinese. Workers should not have access to within 19 feet of the front of the antennas while they are in operation. Worker prohibited access areas should be marked with red striping and worker notification zones with yellow striping on the rooftop.

 Not Approved, additional information required.

 Not Approved, does not comply with Federal Communication Commission safety standards for radiofrequency radiation exposure. FCC Standard

 1 Hours spent reviewing

Charges to Project Sponsor (in addition to previous charges, to be received at time of receipt by S)

Signed: _____



Dated: 6/10/2013

Patrick Fosdahl

Environmental Health Management Section
San Francisco Dept. of Public Health
1390 Market St., Suite 210,
San Francisco, CA. 94102
(415) 252-3904

AT&T Mobility Conditional Use Permit Application
2395 26th Avenue

STATEMENT OF GORDON SPENCER

I am the AT&T radio frequency engineer assigned to the proposed wireless communications facility at 2395 26th Avenue (the "Property"). Based on my personal knowledge of the Property and with AT&T's wireless network, as well as my review of AT&T's records with respect to the Property and its wireless telecommunications facilities in the surrounding area, I have concluded that the work associated with this permit request is needed to close a significant service coverage gap in the area roughly bordered by Ulloa and Santiago Streets and 25th and 28th Avenues.

The service coverage gap is caused by obsolete or inadequate (or, in the case of 4G LTE, non-existent) infrastructure along with increased use of wireless broadband services in the area. As explained further in Exhibit 1, AT&T's existing facilities cannot adequately serve its customers in the desired area of coverage, let alone address rapidly increasing data usage. Although there is reasonable 3G outdoor signal strength in the area, 3G coverage indoors may be weak and the quality of 3G service overall is unacceptable, particularly during high usage periods of the day. Moreover, 4G LTE service coverage has not yet been deployed in this area.

AT&T uses Signal-to-Noise information to identify the areas in its network where capacity restraints limit service. This information is developed from many sources including terrain and clutter databases, which simulate the environment, and propagation models that simulate signal propagation in the presence of terrain and clutter variation. Signal-to-Noise information measures the difference between the signal strength and the noise floor within a radio frequency channel, which, in turn, provides a measurement of service quality in an area. Although the signal level may be adequate by itself, the noise level fluctuates with usage due to the nature of the 3G technology and at certain levels of usage the noise level rises to a point where the signal-to-noise ratio is not adequate to maintain a satisfactory level of service. In other words, while the signal itself fluctuates as a function of distance of the user from the base station, the noise level fluctuates with the level of usage on the network on all mobiles and base stations in the vicinity. Signal-to-Noise information identifies where the radio frequency channel is usable; as noise increases during high usage periods, the range of the radio frequency channel declines causing the service coverage area for the cell to contract.

Exhibit 2 to this Statement is a map of existing service coverage (without the proposed installation at the Property) in the area at issue. It includes service coverage provided by existing AT&T sites. The green shaded areas depict areas within a Signal-to-Noise range that provide acceptable service coverage even during high demand periods. Thus, based upon current usage, customers are able to initiate and complete voice or data calls either outdoors or most indoor areas at any time of the day, independent of the number of users on the network. The yellow shaded cross-hatched areas depict areas within a Signal-to-Noise range that results in a service coverage gap during high demand periods. In this area, severe service interruptions occur during periods of high usage, but reliable and uninterrupted service may be available during low demand periods. The pink shading depicts areas within a Signal-to-Noise range in which a customer might have difficulty receiving a consistently acceptable level of service at any time, day or night, not just during high demand periods. The quality of service experienced by any individual customer can differ greatly depending on whether that customer is indoors, outdoors, stationary, or in transit. Under AT&T's wireless customer service standards, any area in the pink or yellow cross-hatched category is considered inadequate service coverage and constitutes a service coverage gap.

Exhibit 3 to this Statement depicts the current actual voice and data traffic in the immediate area. As you can see from the exhibit, the traffic fluctuates at different times of the day. In actuality, the service coverage footprint is constantly changing; wireless engineers call it "cell breathing" and during high usage periods, as depicted in the chart, the service coverage gap increases substantially. The time periods in which the existing surrounding cell sites experience highest usage conditions (as depicted in the yellow shaded cross-hatched area in Exhibit 2) is significant. Based upon my review of the maps, the Signal-to-Noise information, and the actual voice and data traffic in this area, it is my opinion that the service coverage gap shown in Exhibit 2 is significant.

Exhibit 4 to this Statement is a map that predicts service coverage based on Signal-to-Noise information in the vicinity of the Property if antennas are placed as proposed in the application. As shown by this map, placement of the equipment at the Property closes the significant 3G service coverage gap.

In addition to these 3G wireless service gap issues, AT&T is in the process of deploying its 4G LTE service in San Francisco with the goal of providing the most advanced personal wireless experience available to residents of the City. AT&T holds a license with the FCC and has a responsibility to utilize this spectrum to provide personal wireless services in the City. 4G LTE is capable of delivering speeds

up to 10 times faster than industry-average 3G speeds. LTE technology also offers lower latency, or the processing time it takes to move data through a network, such as how long it takes to start downloading a webpage or file once you've sent the request. Lower latency helps to improve the quality of personal wireless services. What's more, LTE uses spectrum more efficiently than other technologies, creating more space to carry data traffic and services and to deliver a better overall network experience. This is particularly important in San Francisco because of the likely high penetration of the new 4G LTE iPad and other LTE devices.

Exhibit 5 is a map that depicts 4G LTE service in the area surrounding the Property, and it shows a significant 4G LTE service gap in the area. After the upgrades, Exhibit 6 shows that 4G LTE service is available both indoors and outdoors in the targeted service area. This is important in part because as existing customers migrate to 4G LTE, the LTE technology will provide the added benefit of reducing 3G data traffic, which currently contributes to the significant service coverage gap on the UMTS (3G) network during peak usage periods as shown in Exhibit 2.

In order to close the 4G LTE service coverage gap shown in Exhibit 5 and provide the benefits associated with 4G LTE personal wireless service, it is necessary to include 4G LTE-specific antennas to the proposed site. Exhibit 6 shows that the work subject to this application closes the gap.

I have a Masters Degree in Electrical Engineering from the University of California (UCLA) and have worked as an engineering expert in the Wireless Communications Industry for over 25 years.


Gordon Spencer

A handwritten signature in black ink that reads "Gordon Spencer". The signature is written in a cursive, flowing style.

June 22, 2012

Service Improvement Objective (CN5723)


2395 26th Ave



- ▲ Existing Macro Sites
- + Existing Micro Sites
- ▲ Proposed Macro Site
- Improved Service Area
- Site Search Area

Exhibit 2 - Proposed Site at 2395 26th Ave (CN5723)


Service Area BEFORE site is constructed



- ▲ Existing Macro Sites
- ⊕ Existing Micro Sites
- ▲ Proposed Macro Site
- Acceptable Service Coverage during High Demand Periods
- ▨ Service Coverage Gap during High Demand Periods
- Service Coverage Gap during All Demand Periods

Exhibit 3 - Current 7-Day Traffic Profile for the Location of CN5723

— Data Traffic
— Voice Traffic



Monday

Sunday

Exhibit 3 - Current 24-Hour Traffic Profile for the Location of CN5723

— Data Traffic
— Voice Traffic





Exhibit 4 - Proposed Site at 2395 26th Ave (CN5723)

Service Area AFTER site is constructed



- ▲ Existing Macro Sites
- + Existing Micro Sites
- ▲ Proposed Macro Site
- Acceptable Service Coverage during High Demand Periods
- ▨ Service Coverage Gap during High Demand Periods
- Service Coverage Gap during All Demand Periods

September 10, 2013

Exhibit 5 - Proposed Site at 2395 26th Ave (CN5723)

4G LTE Service Area BEFORE site is constructed






Exhibit 6 - Proposed Site at 2395 26th Ave (CN5723)

4G LTE Service Area AFTER site is constructed




Existing Surrounding Sites at 2395 26th Ave CN5723



The following represents the results of this investigation, and the team's analysis of each alternative location:

1. Publicly-used structures: The following alternative locations are publicly-used structures within the defined search area:

**Alternative Location Evaluated-A
2345 24th Avenue**



The San Francisco Taraval Station Police Department, at 2345 24th Avenue, is located within the P (Public) zoning district and, therefore, a Preference 1 Location under the WTS Guidelines. This alternative is located outside of the search ring, to the east. However, the property was evaluated due to its consideration as a WTS Preferred Location. The architectural style of this alternative structure does not provide an opportunity to incorporate the proposed wireless communication facility with minimal visual impact. Additionally, the building is located outside of the search ring and, therefore, would not be able to achieve a clear signal path necessary to close the significant service coverage gap. Therefore, this building was eliminated as a viable alternative candidate.

**Alternative Location Evaluated-B
1200 Taraval Street**



The San Francisco Public Library, Parkside Branch, at 1200 Taraval Street, is located within the P (Public) zoning district and, therefore, a Preference 1 Location under the WTS Guidelines. This alternative is located outside of the search ring, to the east. However, the property was evaluated due to its consideration as a WTS Preferred Location. The building is located outside of the search ring and, therefore, would not be able to achieve a clear signal path necessary to close the significant service coverage gap. Therefore, this building was eliminated as a viable alternative candidate.

**Alternative Location Evaluated-C
1800 Taraval Street**



The United States Postal Service, Parkside Branch, 1800 Taraval Street, is located within the NCD(Taraval Street Neighborhood Commercial District) zoning district and a Preference 1 Location under the WTS Guidelines. This alternative is located outside of the search ring. However, the property was evaluated due to its consideration as a WTS Preferred Location. The building is located outside of the search ring and, therefore, would not be able to achieve a clear signal path necessary to close the significant service coverage gap. Therefore, this building was eliminated as a viable alternative candidate.

2. Co-Location Site: The following are Preference 2 Locations within the immediate vicinity of the defined search area in which to provide service to the defined service area:

**Alternative Location Evaluated -D
1515 Taraval Street**



The building at 1515 Taraval Street is a mixed-use (residential and commercial) located within the NCD (Taraval Street -Neighborhood Commercial District) zoning district. It is considered a co-location under the San Francisco WTS Guidelines due to an existing macro antenna installation. The site would potentially meet AT&T's service objective; however, the respective property owner declined AT&T's request to expand the existing facility to include another carrier. Therefore, this alternative was not a feasible candidate.

**Alternative Location Evaluated- E
1633-1637 Taraval Street**



The building at 1633-1637 Taraval Street is a mixed-use commercial and residential building located within the NCD (Taraval Street Neighborhood Commercial District) zoning district, a Preference 5 Location under the WTS Guidelines. However, the existence of Sprint antennas makes this structure a Preference 2, Colocation site. As suggested by the Planning Department, as Sprint is in the process of creating a wireless design at this location, it would be beneficial for each wireless carrier to collocate at this alternative. On January 17, 2012, the first contact was made to Maria Miller, the representative from Sprint, in order to determine a plan for collocation by both carriers at this alternative structure. Discussions continued throughout March with consultation with Hammett & Edison, radiofrequency engineers, and construction and zoning managers. Ultimately, it was determined that a design featuring collocation by both Sprint and AT&T would be unable to satisfy the 10-point checklist of the San Francisco Dept. of Public Health for determining compliance of WTS facilities. Additionally, it was not possible to create a design that allowed a clear signal path for the proposed antenna sectors, and that would not interfere with the proposed Sprint facility. Thus, this structure was determined to not be a suitable candidate and was eliminated as an alternative.

3. Industrial or Commercial Structures: There are no Preference 3 Locations within the immediate vicinity of the defined search area in which to provide service to the defined service area.
4. Industrial or Commercial Structures: The following alternative locations are wholly commercial or industrial buildings within the defined search area.

**Alternative Location Evaluated- F
1626 Taraval Street**



The building located at 1626 Taraval Street is a commercial building located within the NCD(Taraval Street Neighborhood Commercial) zoning district, a Preference 4 Location under the WTS Guidelines. This alternative is located midblock and is two stories in height with a very narrow roof. This alternative is shorter than the structures to the east and south. The available roof space does not provide an enough space to locate the antennas and associated equipment cabinets. If placed on the roof, a facility here would need to extend more than 15 feet above the existing roofline in order to achieve a clear signal path. This is a height that exceeds the permitted height limit for the district and would not be consistent with the existing mass and scale of the building or other buildings in the immediate neighborhood. Therefore, this alternative structure would not be able to achieve a clear signal path necessary to close the significant service coverage gap. Therefore, this building was eliminated as a viable alternative candidate.

**Alternative Location Evaluated-G
1634 Taraval Street**



The building located at 1634 Taraval Street is a commercial building located within the NCD (Taraval Neighborhood Commercial District) zoning district, a Preference 4 Location under the WTS Guidelines. This alternative is located midblock and is one story in height. This alternative is shorter than the structures to the east, west, and south. If placed on the roof, a facility here would need to extend more than 25 feet above the existing roofline in order to achieve a clear signal path. This design would not be consistent with the existing mass and scale of the building or other buildings in the immediate neighborhood. Therefore, this alternative structure would not be able to achieve a clear signal path necessary to close the significant service coverage gap. Therefore, this building was eliminated as a viable alternative candidate.

**Alternative Location Evaluated-H
1641 Taraval Street**



The building located at 1641 Taraval Street is a commercial building located within the NCD (Taraval Neighborhood Commercial District) zoning district, a Preference 4 Location under the WTS Guidelines. This alternative is located midblock and is one story in height. This alternative is shorter than the structures to the east and west. If placed on the roof, a facility here would need to extend more than 25 feet above the existing roofline in order to achieve a clear signal path. This design would not be consistent with the existing mass and scale of the building or other buildings in the immediate neighborhood. Therefore, this alternative structure would not be able to achieve a clear signal path necessary to close the significant service coverage gap. Therefore, this building was eliminated as a viable alternative candidate.

**Alternative Location Evaluated-I
1621-1623 Taraval Street**




The building located at 1621-1623 Taraval Street is a commercial building located within the NCD (Taraval Neighborhood Commercial District) zoning district, a Preference 4 Location under the WTS Guidelines. This alternative is located midblock and is two stories in height. This alternative is shorter than the structures to the west, and north. If placed on the roof, a facility here would need to extend more than 15 feet above the existing roofline in order to achieve a clear signal path. This design would not be consistent with the existing mass and scale of the building or other buildings in the immediate neighborhood. Therefore, this alternative structure would not be able to achieve a clear signal path necessary to close the significant service coverage gap. Therefore, this building was eliminated as a viable alternative candidate.

**Alternative Location Evaluated-J
1541 Taraval Street**



The building located at 1541 Taraval Street is a commercial building located within the NCD (Taraval Neighborhood Commercial District) zoning district, a Preference 4 Location under the WTS Guidelines. This alternative is located midblock and is one story in height. This alternative is shorter than the structures to the east, west, and north. If placed on the roof, a facility here would need to extend more than 25 feet above the existing roofline in order to achieve a clear signal path. This design would not be consistent with the existing mass and scale of the building or other buildings in the immediate neighborhood. Therefore, this alternative structure would not be able to achieve a clear signal path necessary to close the significant service coverage gap. Therefore, this building was eliminated as a viable alternative candidate.

**Alternative Location Evaluated-K
1532 Taraval Street**



The building located at 1532 Taraval Street is a commercial building located within the NCD (Taraval Neighborhood Commercial District) zoning district, a Preference 4 Location under the WTS Guidelines. This alternative is located midblock and is one story in height. This alternative is shorter than the structures to the south and west. If placed on the roof, a facility here would need to extend more than 25 feet above the existing roofline in order to achieve a clear signal path. This design would not be consistent with the existing mass and scale of the building or other buildings in the immediate neighborhood. Therefore, this alternative structure would not be able to achieve a clear signal path necessary to close the significant service coverage gap. Therefore, this building was eliminated as a viable alternative candidate.

5. Mixed Use Buildings in High Density Districts: The following alternative are mixed use buildings within the vicinity of the defined search area:

**Alternative Location Evaluated-L
1615-1617 Taraval Street**



The building located at 1615-1617 Taraval Street is a mixed-use, commercial and residential, building located within the NCD (Taraval Neighborhood Commercial District) zoning district, a Preference 5 Location under the WTS Guidelines. This alternative is located midblock and is two stories in height. This alternative is shorter than the structures to the west, east, and north. If placed on the roof, a facility here would need to extend more than 15 feet above the existing roofline in order to achieve a clear signal path. This design would not be consistent with the existing mass and scale of the building or other buildings in the immediate neighborhood. Therefore, this alternative structure would not be able to achieve a clear signal path necessary to close the significant service coverage gap. Therefore, this building was eliminated as a viable alternative candidate.

**Alternative Location Evaluated-M
1601-1605 Taraval Street**



The building located at 1601-1605 Taraval Street is a mixed-use, commercial and residential, building located within the NCD (Taraval Neighborhood Commercial District) zoning district, a Preference 5 Location under the WTS Guidelines. This alternative is located on the corner of Taraval Street and 26th Avenue and is two stories in height. This alternative is shorter than the structures to the east and north. If placed on the roof, a facility here would need to extend more than 15 feet above the existing roofline in order to achieve a clear signal path. This design would not be consistent with the existing mass and scale of the building or other buildings in the immediate neighborhood. Therefore, this alternative structure would not be able to achieve a clear signal path necessary to close the significant service coverage gap. Therefore, this building was eliminated as a viable alternative candidate.

**Alternative Location Evaluated-N
1551 Taraval Street -2401-2418 26th Avenue**



The building located at 1551 Taraval Street, 2401-2418 26th Avenue is a mixed-use, commercial and residential, building located within the NCD (Taraval Neighborhood Commercial District) zoning district, a Preference 5 Location under the WTS Guidelines. This structure is partially blocked by the adjacent buildings on its eastern side. Therefore, it was determined that this building was not the most suitable candidate within the defined search area.

Alternative Location Evaluated-O
1531-1539 Taraval Street



The building located at 1531-1539 Taraval Street is a mixed-use, commercial and residential, building located within the NCD (Taraval Neighborhood Commercial District) zoning district, a Preference 5 Location under the WTS Guidelines. This structure is partially blocked by the adjacent buildings on its eastern and western sides. Therefore, it was determined that this building was not the most suitable candidate within the defined search area.

**Alternative Location Evaluated-P
1570-1572 Taraval Street**




The building located at 1570-1572 Taraval Street is a mixed-use, commercial and residential, building located within the NCD (Taraval Neighborhood Commercial District) zoning district, a Preference 5 Location under the WTS Guidelines. This structure is partially blocked on its southern side by the four-story building across the street. Therefore, it was determined that this building was not the most suitable candidate within the defined search area.

**Alternative Location Evaluated-Q
1580-1582 Taraval Street**



The building located at 1580-1582 Taraval Street is a mixed-use, commercial and residential, building located within the NCD (Taraval Neighborhood Commercial District) zoning district, a Preference 5 Location under the WTS Guidelines. This structure is partially blocked on its southern side by the four-story building across the street. Therefore, it was determined that this building was not the most suitable candidate within the defined search area.

**Alternative Location Evaluated-R
1590 Taraval Street**



The building located at 1590 Taraval Street is a mixed-use, commercial and residential, building located within the NCD (Taraval Neighborhood Commercial District) zoning district, a Preference 5 Location under the WTS Guidelines. This structure is partially blocked on its southern side by the four-story building across the street. Therefore, it was determined that this building was not the most suitable candidate within the defined search area.

6. Limited Preference Sites: There are no Preference 6 Locations within the immediate vicinity of the defined search area in which to provide service to the defined service area.

7. Disfavored Sites: The following are disfavored buildings within the defined search area in which to provide service to the defined service area:

**Alternative Location Evaluated S
2378 26th Avenue**



The building at 2378 26th Avenue is a single-family residential building located within the RH-1 (Residential House – One Family) zoning district. Single-family residential buildings are not favored sites within the San Francisco Wireless Telecommunication Siting Guidelines. In addition, this mid-block structure would have limited line-of-site along Taraval Street. Therefore, it was determined that this building was not the most suitable candidate within the defined search area.

**Alternative Location Evaluated-T
2374 26th Avenue**



The building at 2374 26th Avenue is a single-family residential building located within the RH-1 (Residential House – One Family) zoning district. Single-family residential buildings are not favored sites within the San Francisco Wireless Telecommunication Siting Guidelines. In addition, this mid-block structure would have limited line-of-site along Taraval Street. Therefore, it was determined that this building was not the most suitable candidate within the defined search area.

**Alternative Location Evaluated-U
2370 26th Avenue**



The building at 2370 26th Avenue is a residential building located within the RH-1 (Residential House – One Family) zoning district. Low-density residential buildings are not favored sites within the San Francisco Wireless Telecommunication Siting Guidelines. In addition, this mid-block structure would have limited line-of-site along Taraval Street. Therefore, the mixed-use building located at 3682 18th Street was determined to be a more suitable candidate within the search area.

Alternative Location Evaluated-V
2426 26th Avenue



The building at 2426 26th Avenue is a single-family residential building located within the RH-1 (Residential House – One Family) zoning district. Single-family residential buildings are not favored sites within the San Francisco Wireless Telecommunication Siting Guidelines. In addition, this mid-block structure would have limited line-of-site along Taraval Street. Therefore, it was determined that this building was not the most suitable candidate within the defined search area.

**Alternative Location Evaluated-W
2422 26th Avenue**



The building at 2422 26th Avenue is a single family residential building located within the RH-1 (Residential House – One Family) zoning district and therefore considered to be a Preference 7 Location under the WTS Guidelines. This alternative is considered to be Disfavored Location under the WTS Guidelines. The Subject Location is a preferred location and, therefore, the least intrusive means by which AT&T Mobility can close the existing significant service coverage gap, as a result it was determined that this alternative was not the most suitable candidate.

**Alternative Location Evaluated-X
2371 26th Avenue**



The building at 2371 26th Avenue is a single family residential building located within the RH-1 (Residential House – One Family) zoning district and therefore considered to be a Preference 7 Location under the WTS Guidelines. This alternative is considered to be Disfavored Location under the WTS Guidelines. The Subject Location is a preferred location and, therefore, the least intrusive means by which AT&T Mobility can close the existing significant service coverage gap, as a result it was determined that this alternative was not the most suitable candidate.

**Alternative Location Evaluated-Y
2375 26th Avenue**



The building at 2375 26th Avenue is a single family residential building located within the RH-1 (Residential House – One Family) zoning district and therefore considered to be a Preference 7 Location under the WTS Guidelines. This alternative is considered to be Disfavored Location under the WTS Guidelines. The Subject Location is a preferred location and, therefore, the least intrusive means by which AT&T Mobility can close the existing significant service coverage gap, as a result it was determined that this alternative was not the most suitable candidate.

**Alternative Location Evaluated-Z
2379-2381 26th Avenue**



The building at 2379 26th Avenue is a single family residential building located within the RH-1 (Residential House – One Family) zoning district and therefore considered to be a Preference 7 Location under the WTS Guidelines. This alternative is considered to be Disfavored Location under the WTS Guidelines. The Subject Location is a preferred location and, therefore, the least intrusive means by which AT&T Mobility can close the existing significant service coverage gap, as a result it was determined that this alternative was not the most suitable candidate.

**Alternative Location Evaluated-AA
2383 26th Avenue**



The building at 2383 26th Avenue is a single family residential building located within the RH-1 (Residential House – One Family) zoning district and therefore considered to be a Preference 7 Location under the WTS Guidelines. This alternative is considered to be Disfavored Location under the WTS Guidelines. The Subject Location is a preferred location and, therefore, the least intrusive means by which AT&T Mobility can close the existing significant service coverage gap, as a result it was determined that this alternative was not the most suitable candidate.

**Alternative Location Evaluated-BB
2405-2407 26th Avenue**



The building at 2405-2407 26th Avenue is a single family residential building located within the NCD(Taraval Neighborhood Commercial District) zoning district and therefore considered to be a Preference 7 Location under the WTS Guidelines. This alternative is considered to be Disfavored Location under the WTS Guidelines. The Subject Location is a preferred location and, therefore, the least intrusive means by which AT&T Mobility can close the existing significant service coverage gap, as a result it was determined that this alternative was not the most suitable candidate.

**Alternative Location Evaluated-CC
2409-2411 26th Avenue**



The building at 2409-2411 26th Avenue is a single family residential building located within the NCD Taraval Neighborhood Commercial District zoning district and therefore considered to be a Preference 7 Location under the WTS Guidelines. This alternative is considered to be Disfavored Location under the WTS Guidelines. The Subject Location is a preferred location and, therefore, the least intrusive means by which AT&T Mobility can close the existing significant service coverage gap, as a result it was determined that this alternative was not the most suitable candidate.

**Alternative Location Evaluated-DD
2415-2417 26th Avenue**



The building at 2415-2417 26th Avenue is a single family residential building located within the NCD (Taraval Neighborhood Commercial District) zoning district and therefore considered to be a Preference 7 Location under the WTS Guidelines. This alternative is considered to be Disfavored Location under the WTS Guidelines. The Subject Location is a preferred location and, therefore, the least intrusive means by which AT&T Mobility can close the existing significant service coverage gap, as a result it was determined that this alternative was not the most suitable candidate.

**Alternative Location Evaluated-EE
2419 26th Avenue**



The building at 2419 26th Avenue is a single family residential building located within the RH-1 (Residential House – One Family) zoning district and therefore considered to be a Preference 7 Location under the WTS Guidelines. This alternative is considered to be Disfavored Location under the WTS Guidelines. The Subject Location is a preferred location and, therefore, the least intrusive means by which AT&T Mobility can close the existing significant service coverage gap, as a result it was determined that this alternative was not the most suitable candidate.

**Alternative Location Evaluated-FF
2423 26th Avenue**



The building at 2423 26th Avenue is a single family residential building located within the RH-1 (Residential House – One Family) zoning district and therefore considered to be a Preference 7 Location under the WTS Guidelines. This alternative is considered to be Disfavored Location under the WTS Guidelines. The Subject Location is a preferred location and, therefore, the least intrusive means by which AT&T Mobility can close the existing significant service coverage gap, as a result it was determined that this alternative was not the most suitable candidate.

**Alternative Location Evaluated-GG
2427 26th Avenue**



The building at 2427 26th Avenue is a single family residential building located within the RH-1 (Residential House – One Family) zoning district and therefore considered to be a Preference 7 Location under the WTS Guidelines. This alternative is considered to be Disfavored Location under the WTS Guidelines. The Subject Location is a preferred location and, therefore, the least intrusive means by which AT&T Mobility can close the existing significant service coverage gap, as a result it was determined that this alternative was not the most suitable candidate.

	Location	Block/Lot	Zoning District	Building Type	WTS Pref.
A	2345 24 th Avenue	2351/001	P	Public (Library/McCoppin Square)	1
B	1200 Taraval Street	2353/002	P	Public (Police Station)	1
C	1800 Taraval Street	2357/009	NCD	Public (U.S. Post Office)	1
D	1515 Taraval Street	2400/063-075	NCD	Commercial	2
E	1633-1637 Taraval Street	2399/041	NCD	Mixed Use (Commercial/Residential)	2
F	1626 Taraval Street	2355/010	NCD	Commercial	4
G	1634 Taraval Street	2355/035	NCD	Commercial	4
H	1641 Taraval Street	2399/040	NCD	Commercial	4
I	1621-1623 Taraval Street	2399/042	NCD	Commercial	4
J	1541 Taraval Street	2400/039	NCD	Commercial	4
K	1532 Taraval Street	2354/037	NCD	Commercial	4
L	1615-1617 Taraval Street	2399/043	NCD	Mixed Use (Commercial/Residential)	5
M	1601-1605 Taraval Street	2399/001	NCD	Mixed Use (Commercial/Residential)	5
N	1551 Taraval Street -2402-2418 26 th Avenue	2400/075 - 084 (10 lots)	NCD	Mixed Use (Commercial/Residential)	5
O	1535 Taraval Street 1531-1539 Taraval Street	2400/051-062 (10 lots)	NCD	Mixed Use (Commercial/Residential)	5
P	1570-1572 Taraval Street	2354/013	NCD	Mixed Use (Commercial/Residential)	5
Q	1580-1582 Taraval Street	2354/039	NCD	Mixed Use (Commercial/Residential)	5
R	1590 Taraval Street	2354/038	NCD	Mixed Use (Commercial/Residential)	5
S	2378 26 th Avenue	2354/016	RH-1	Residential	7
T	2374 26 th Avenue	2354/017	RH-1	Residential	7
U	2370 26 th Avenue	2354/018	RH-1	Residential	7
V	2426 26 th Avenue	2400/035	RH-1	Residential	7
W	2422 26 th Avenue	2400/036	RH-1	Residential	7
X	2371 26 th Avenue	2355/005A	RH-1	Residential	7
Y	2375 26 th Avenue	2355/006	RH-1	Residential	7
Z	2379-2381 26 th Avenue	2355/007	RH-1	Residential	7
AA	2383 26 th Avenue	2355/008	RH-1	Residential	7
BB	2405-2407 26 th Avenue	2399/001A	NCD	Residential	7
CC	2409-2411 26 th Avenue	2399/001B	NCD	Residential	7
DD	2415-2417 26 th Avenue	2399/001C	NCD	Residential	7
EE	2419 26 th Avenue	2399/002	RH-1	Residential	7
FF	2423 26 th Avenue	2399/002A	RH-1	Residential	7
GG	2427 26 th Avenue	2399/003	RH-1	Residential	7

Please see Attachment G, which is a map that identifies each of the alternative sites

discussed above. The map contains the appropriate zoning for each location.

March 6, 2013

Michelle Stahlhut, Planner
San Francisco Department of Planning
1650 Mission Street, 4th Floor
San Francisco, CA 94103

Re: Community Meeting for proposed AT&T Mobility facility at 2395 26th Avenue

Dear Ms. Stahlhut,

On March 4, 2013 AT&T Mobility conducted a community outreach meeting regarding the proposed wireless facility at 2395 26th Avenue (2011.0499C). The meeting was held at Congregation Ner Tamid at 1250 Quintara Street from 6-8:00 pm. Notification of the outreach meeting was sent out on February 18, 2013 to 349 owners and tenants and 11 neighborhood Groups within 500 feet of the proposed installation.

I conducted the meeting for AT&T Mobility as the project sponsor along with Boe Hayward of AT&T's External Affairs, Taylor Jordan representing BergDavis Public Affairs, and Raj Mathur, a radio-frequency engineer representing Hammett and Edison, Inc. Mr. Hayward began the meeting by introducing the project team and explaining the need for the proposed wireless facility. I gave a review of the proposed design and the Conditional Use application process. I also explained the geographic boundary of the determined Coverage Gap as between Ulloa and Santiago Streets and 24th and 27th Avenues. Mr. Mathur answered any questions regarding the EMF emissions from the proposed wireless facility.

There were approximately five (5) members of the community who attended the meeting. Most questions were general, including:

- Suggestions to install the AT&T Facility in a nearby park.
- Questions about safety living near an antenna.
- Sounds levels from radio equipment in parking garage.
- Location of internal/external wiring from equipment to antenna.
- Certification and compliance of equipment.
- Specs on high of new design.
- Process questions, including suggestions for design change possibilities.
- Real estate questions, including how much rent would be paid to the landlord.

Please contact me if you have any questions or concerns.

Sincerely,

Corey Alvin

Attachments:

Affidavit of Conducting a Community Outreach Meeting
Community Meeting Notice
Sign-up Sheet

NOTICE OF COMMUNITY OUTREACH MEETING ON A WIRELESS COMMUNICATION FACILITY PROPOSED IN YOUR NEIGHBORHOOD

To: Neighborhood Groups and Neighbors & Owners within 500' radius of 2395 26th Avenue

Meeting Information

Date: Monday, March 4, 2013
Time: 6:00 -8:00 p.m.
Where: Congregation Ner Tamid
1250 Quintara Street
San Francisco, CA 94116

Site Information

Address: 2395 26th Avenue
Block/Lot: 2355/008A
Zoning: NCD

Applicant

AT&T Mobility

Contact Information

AT&T Mobility Hotline
(415) 646-0972

AT&T Mobility is proposing a wireless communication facility at 2395 26th Avenue needed by AT&T Mobility as part of its San Francisco wireless network. The proposed AT&T Mobility site is an unmanned facility consisting of the installation of six (6) panel antennas. The antennas will be located on the roof and the associated equipment will be located in the garage of the existing mixed-use (commercial, residential) building. Plans and photo simulations will be available for your review at the meeting. You are invited to attend an informational community meeting located at the Congregation Ner Tamid located at 1250 Quintara Street at 6:00 p.m. to learn more about the project.

If you have any questions regarding the proposal and are unable to attend the meeting, please contact the AT&T Mobility Hotline at (415) 646-0972 and an AT&T Mobility specialist will return your call. Please contact Michelle Stahlhut, staff planner with the City of San Francisco Planning Department at (415) 575-9116 if you have any questions regarding the planning process.

NOTE: If you require an interpreter to be present at the meeting, please contact our office at (415) 646-0972 no later than 5:00pm on Thursday, February 28, 2013 and we will make every effort to provide you with an interpreter.

NOTIFICACIÓN DE REUNIÓN DE ALCANCE COMUNITARIO SOBRE UNA INSTALACIÓN DE COMUNICACIONES INALÁMBRICAS PROPUESTA PARA SU VECINDARIO

Para: Grupos del vecindario, vecinos y propietarios dentro de un radio de 500' de 2395 26th Avenue

Información de la reunión

Fecha: Lunes, 4 de marzo de 2013
Hora: 6:00 -8:00 p.m.
Dónde: Congregación Ner Tamid
1250 Quintara Street
San Francisco, CA 94116

Información del lugar

Dirección: 2395 26th Avenue
Cuadra/Lote 2355/008A
Zonificación: NCD

Solicitante

AT&T Mobility

Información de contacto

Línea directa de AT&T Mobility
(415) 646-0972

AT&T Mobility propone instalar una instalación de comunicaciones inalámbricas en 2395 26th Avenue necesaria para AT&T Mobility como parte de su red inalámbrica en San Francisco. La ubicación propuesta de AT&T Mobility es una instalación sin personal que consiste en la instalación de seis (6) antenas panel. Las antenas estarán ubicadas en el techo y el equipo asociado estará ubicado en el garaje del edificio de uso mixto (comercial, residencial) existente. Habrá planos y fotos disponibles para que usted los revise en la reunión. Se lo invita a asistir a una reunión informativa de la comunidad que se realizará en la Congregación Ner Tamid, ubicada en 1250 Quintara Street a las 6:00 p.m. para tener más información sobre el proyecto.

Si tiene preguntas relacionadas con la propuesta y no puede asistir a la reunión, por favor, llame a la Línea Directa de AT&T Mobility, (415) 646-0972, y un especialista de AT&T Mobility le devolverá el llamado. Por favor, contacte a Michelle Stahlhut, planificador del Departamento de Planificación de la Ciudad de San Francisco al (415) 575-9116 si tiene alguna pregunta relacionada con el proceso de planificación.

NOTA: Si necesita que un intérprete esté presente en la reunión, por favor, contacte a nuestra oficina al (415) 646-0972 antes del jueves 28 de febrero de 2013 a las 5:00 p.m., y haremos todos lo posible para proporcionarle un intérprete.

關於計畫在您所在街區安裝一座無線通信設施的社區資訊通報會通知

致：26 街 2395 號 (2395 26th Avenue) 周圍五百英尺內的居民組織、居民和業主

會議資訊

日期：2013 年 3 月 4 日 (星期一)
時間：下午 6:00-8:00
地點：加利福尼亞州三藩市 Quintara 街 1250 號
Congregation Ner Tamid (郵遞區號
94116)
(Congregation Ner Tamid
1250 Quintara Street
San Francisco, CA 94116)

設施地點資訊

地址：26 街 2395 號 (2395 26th Avenue)
街區/地段：2355/008A
分區：NCD

申請公司

AT&T Mobility

聯繫資訊

AT&T Mobility 公司熱線電話
(415) 646-0972

AT&T Mobility 公司計畫在 (2395 26th Avenue) 26 街 2395 號安裝一座無線通訊設施，作為 AT&T Mobility 公司在三藩市無線網路的一部分。計畫中的 AT&T Mobility 站為無人操作設施，需要安裝六(6) 根平板天線。這些天線將被安裝在屋頂，相關設備將被放置在現有混用 (商用和民用) 建築的車庫。我們在會上將提供計畫書和類比圖片供您參考。我們誠邀您參加定於下午 6:00 在 Quintara 街 1250 號 (1250 Quintara Street) Congregation Ner Tamid 召開的社區資訊通報會，以便您瞭解有關本專案的更多資訊。

如果您對該計畫有任何疑問，但是無法出席這次會議，請撥打 AT&T Mobility 公司熱線電話 (415) 646-0972，AT&T Mobility 公司的一位專業人員將會回復您的電話。如果您對本規劃程式有任何疑問，請致電 (415) 575-9116 與三藩市城市規劃局的規劃員 Michelle Stahlhut 聯繫。

注意：如果您需要一名翻譯陪同您出席會議，請在不晚於 2013 年 2 月 28 日 (星期四) 下午 5 點前致電 (415) 646-0972 與本辦公室聯繫，我們將盡力為您配備一名翻譯。



HAMMETT & EDISON, INC.
 CONSULTING ENGINEERS
 BROADCAST & WIRELESS

WILLIAM F. HAMMETT, P.E.
 DANE E. ERICKSEN, P.E.
 STANLEY SALEK, P.E.
 ROBERT P. SMITH, JR.
 RAJAT MATHUR, P.E.
 ANDREA L. BRIGHT, P.E.
 KENT A. SWISHER
 NEIL J. OLIJ

ROBERT L. HAMMETT, P.E.
 1920-2002
 EDWARD EDISON, P.E.
 1920-2009

BY E-MAIL OMAR.MASRY@SFGOV.ORG

October 4, 2013

Mr. Omar Masry, AICP
 Planner
 SF Planning Department
 1650 Mission Street, 4th Floor
 San Francisco, California 94103

Dear Mr. Masry:

Our firm was selected to conduct the review required by the City of San Francisco of the coverage maps submitted by AT&T Mobility as part of its application package for its base station proposed to be located at 2395 26th Avenue (Site No. CN5723). This is to fulfill the submittal requirements for Planning Department review.

Executive Summary

We concur with the maps, data, and conclusions provided by AT&T. The maps provided to show the before and after conditions adequately represent the carrier's present and post-installation coverage.

AT&T proposes to install six directional panel antennas – three Andrew Model SBNH-1D6565A and three dbSpectra Model SPD2P6515XLH – within individual cylindrical enclosures, configured to resemble vent pipes, to be installed above the roof of the three-story mixed-use building located at 2395 26th Avenue. The antennas would be mounted with up to 6° downtilt at an effective height of about 37 feet above ground, 4 feet above the roof, and would be oriented in pairs (one of each) toward 30°T, 130°T, and 250°T. The maximum effective radiated power proposed by AT&T in any direction is 7,380 watts, representing simultaneous operation at 5,630 watts for PCS, 1,000 watts for cellular, and 750 watts for 700 MHz service.

AT&T provided for review two pairs of coverage maps, dated September 10, 2013, attached for reference. The maps show AT&T's cellular UMTS (850 MHz) and 4G LTE (700 MHz) coverage in the area both before and after the site is operational. The before and after UMTS maps show three levels of coverage, which AT&T colors and defines as follows:

- Green Acceptable service coverage during high demand periods
- Hashed Yellow Service coverage gap during high demand periods
- Pink Service coverage gap during all demand periods

The 4G LTE maps do not differentiate between demand periods; rather they indicate, with the color blue, locations where 4G service is and would be acceptable.

Mr. Omar Masry, page 2
October 4, 2013

We undertook a two-step process in our review. As a first step, we obtained information from AT&T on the software and the service thresholds that were used to generate its coverage maps. This carrier uses commercially available software to develop its coverage maps. The thresholds that AT&T uses to determine acceptable coverage are in line with industry standards, similar to the thresholds used by other wireless service providers.

As a second step, we conducted our own drive test to measure the actual AT&T UMTS and 4G LTE signal strength in the vicinity of the proposed site. Our fieldwork was conducted on September 26, 2013, between 5:00 PM and 6:40 PM, during peak traffic times (4:00 PM to 10:30 PM) as reported by AT&T.

The field measurements were conducted using an Ascom TEMS Pocket network diagnostic tool with built-in GPS along a measurement route selected to cover all the streets within the map area that AT&T had indicated would receive improved service.

Based on the measurement data, we conclude that the UMTS and the 4G LTE AT&T coverage maps showing the service area without the proposed installation represents an area of deficiency in the carrier's present coverage. The maps submitted to show the after coverage with the proposed new base station in operation were prepared on the same basis as the maps of existing conditions and so are expected to illustrate the improvements in coverage.

We appreciate the opportunity to be of service. Please let us know if any questions arise on this matter.

Sincerely yours,



William F. Hammett, P.E.

lc

Enclosure



cc: Mr. Michael J. Caniglia - BY E-MAIL MC0763@ATT.COM
Ms. Talin Aghazarian - BY E-MAIL TALIN@TOWNCONSULTING.COM
Theadora K. Vriheas, Esq. - BY E-MAIL TV8342@ATT.COM
Mr. Tom X. Johnson - BY E-MAIL TOM.X.JOHNSON@ERICSSON.COM

Exhibit 2 - Proposed Site at 2395 26th Ave (CN5723)

Service Area BEFORE site is constructed




- ▲ Existing Macro Sites
- ⊕ Existing Micro Sites
- ▲ Proposed Macro Site
- Acceptable Service Coverage during High Demand Periods
- ▨ Service Coverage Gap during High Demand Periods
- Service Coverage Gap during All Demand Periods

September 10, 2013

Exhibit 4 - Proposed Site at 2395 26th Ave (CN5723)

Service Area AFTER site is constructed



- ▲ Existing Macro Sites
- ⊕ Existing Micro Sites
- ▲ Proposed Macro Site
- Acceptable Service Coverage during High Demand Periods
- ▨ Service Coverage Gap during High Demand Periods
- Service Coverage Gap during All Demand Periods

September 10, 2013

Exhibit 5 - Proposed Site at 2395 26th Ave (CN5723)

4G LTE Service Area BEFORE site is constructed





Exhibit 6 - Proposed Site at 2395 26th Ave (CN5723)

4G LTE Service Area AFTER site is constructed



RECEIVED

NOV 06 2013

CITY & COUNTY OF S.F.
PLANNING DEPARTMENT
RECEPTION DESK

OPPOSITION TO AT&T MOBILITY'S PROPOSED
CELLULAR BASE STATION AT 2395-26TH AVENUE
(CASE NO. 2011.0499C)
PREPARED BY WILNER & ASSOCIATES

INTRODUCTION

This is an application by AT&T Mobility ("AT&T") for a Conditional Use Permit to install a cellular base station at 2395-26th Avenue in San Francisco. The original application was filed on May 12, 2011, and has languished before the Planning Department since that time because (among other things) AT&T has not been able to offer a design for the rooftop antennas that is compatible with the neighborhood. In fact, the project was put on hold by the Planning Department on at least two occasions for this reason (see Exhibit A).

To further complicate matters, AT&T has been less than forthright with the neighbors about exactly what it is proposing. For example, the original design included six (6) antennas on the apartment building roof disguised as chimneys with vent pipes. However, after the neighbors and the Planning Department objected to the height and bulk of the installation, AT&T offered to reduce the 30" diameter vent pipes to 18" for five (5) of the antennas, if allowed to install an additional antenna for each sector bringing the total to nine (9). AT&T explained that the additional antennas were necessary to cover an alleged service gap (see Exhibit B).

On September 17 of this year, AT&T revised its proposal once again reducing the number of antennas back to 6 without explaining how it would be possible to serve the so-called service gap with fewer antennas. This is confusing because AT&T had stated earlier that this configuration would not work. In any event, the vent pipes would be from 7' to 8' tall which is still unacceptable.

As stated in a letter to the Planning Department from an experienced architect who happens to live in the apartment house, AT&T's proposed installation is inconsistent with the architectural design of building and character of the neighborhood. The architect also cited a number of other deficiencies in AT&T's design that are set forth in his letter marked Exhibit C.

In addition, there are 273 people that have signed a petition opposing the project (see Exhibit D) because it would be an eyesore in the community (see photo simulation and picture of story poles installed on the building rooftop marked Exhibits E & F). There are also 250 members of the neighborhood community group SPEAK that object to the installation for the same reason (see Exhibit G).

Before addressing specific concerns relating to the project, we must question the objectivity of the Project Planner in light of his obvious bias in favor of the applicant. This matter was scheduled for hearing on October 17, 2013, and our opposition papers were timely submitted to the Project Planner in accordance with guidelines established by the Commission. However, as stated in our e-mail (complaint) to the Commission Secretary, our documents were not distributed to the Commissioners, City Attorney, and others *as required by the City's guidelines* (see Exhibit H). Instead, they were scanned by the Project Planner, and sent to AT&T. This prompted AT&T to request a continuance of the matter for almost a month so it could respond to our comments, among other things (see Exhibit I).

In addition, the Project Planner accused us of making a false statement in our submission, and threatened to discuss this allegation in his staff report if we did not change our opposition papers according to his instructions (see Exhibit J). In view of this misconduct, we requested the Commission Secretary to take this project off the Commission's agenda until such time as our complaint was addressed. However, he declined to do so. Consideration of a Conditional Use Permit must be an open and fair process to protect the public interest. This is a situation where the process has been compromised, and the procedural due process right of those opposed to the project violated.

According to California law, when the Commission is considering an application for a Conditional Use Permit, such action is characterized as quasi-judicial as opposed to quasi-legislative which normally involves the adoption of rules or general application of broad public policy. Therefore, procedural due process principles must be observed by the Commission to ensure that opponents of the project receive a fair hearing. See *Beck Development Co. v. Southern Pacific Transportation Co.* (1996) 44 Cal.App.4th 1160, 1188 [52 Cal. Rptr. 2d 518].

SPECIFIC CONCERNS

1. AT&T's application also seeks a Conditional Use Permit for non-specified industrial uses (appl., Page 1), and states that "[t]he proposed facility is located on an existing structure consisting of industrial uses" (appl., Page 53). Although the Planning Code would not allow such uses in an NCD district, the fact that they are mentioned in the application raises concern that somehow AT&T and/or the property owner are trying to open the door for other uses in the apartment building. Under the circumstances, all references to industrial uses in AT&T's application must be deleted, and those opposed to the project assured that industrial uses are not being considered.

2. As stated in the introduction above, the proposed installation would create a visual blight in the neighborhood and is therefore inconsistent with Section 101(b) of the City's Planning Code. It should be noted that Commissioner Moore suggested during a Planning Commission meeting on August 8, 2013, that the Planning Department establish *other criteria which deal with visual impacts as they all collectively impact roof scape or how we look at roofs and buildings in San Francisco and I am particularly concerned, when there are additions of massive parapets and roof screen structures that alters the expression of the building.* The proposed installation at 2395-26th Avenue is a good example of why this type of consideration must be made as part of the review process.

Another example of the need for such criteria is shown in Exhibit K) which is the before and after photo simulation of a school on Irving Street where the marquee was expanded to accommodate cellular base station antennas. The visual impact is quite noticeable. It is also important to note that this is an NCD (Inner Sunset Neighborhood Commercial District, Sec. 730.1) where conditional uses are not allowed above the first story.

Therefore, the Planning Commission should instruct AT&T to offer an alternative proposal perhaps using much smaller antennas at key locations to provide service to its customers thereby eliminating the need for such an unreasonably large installation at the subject property.

3. According to the City's Wireless Telecommunications Services Facilities Siting Guidelines ("WTS Guidelines"), AT&T has an obligation to consider alternative locations to determine the one that is most suitable for the proposed installation. The neighbors' representative suggested in writing (see Exhibit L) that the apartment building located at 1551 Taraval Street may be more desirable because antennas on the roof would be less conspicuous.

However, AT&T contends that the building is "partially blocked by the adjacent buildings on its eastern side," and therefore would be an inappropriate location. However, a review of the picture of that building in AT&T's application (see Exhibit M) shows that it is not screened. Therefore, this site and others must be considered as an alternative to 2395-26th Avenue.

4. Summary of Architect's Opinion of AT&T's Design

- *Based on the architectural relevance to the district, architectural aesthetic and planning code requirements, the installation is unfit and does not comply with the Planning Department Requirements and Guidelines.*

- *The installation of 6 antennas within chimneys and related equipment within screen walls conflict with the urban design, scale, architectural character and visual continuity of the neighborhood.*
- *The simple and elegant definition of the building geometry defined by wood shakes and blue sky would be disrupted by the antenna cover and equipment screens.*
- *The barriers and metal cylinders would also give a hard and cold industrial aesthetic to an otherwise warm and neutral apartment building.*
- *The industrial aesthetic is foreign to this district.*
- *Chimney stacks concealing antennas are located at the edge of the roof, not in the middle of the flat roof which is inappropriate.*
- *Chimneys are also inappropriate because they are associated with single family residents not an apartment building.*
- *Adding chimneys to the apartment building does not harmonize with the existing apartment buildings in the area.*
- *Adding chimneys to the apartment building does not fit in with the urban design of the existing apartment buildings in the area.*
- *It is architecturally inappropriate to consider chimneys of the proposed size and shape for this apartment building.*
- *Classifying the antenna screens as vents is inappropriate because such vents on apartment buildings are unseen by the public at street level since they are inboard from the roof edge and are 2' above roof level.*
- *The antennas would detract from the architecture of the existing building based on the height and girth of the cylinders.*
- *The 8' high chimneys are disproportionate to the scale of the existing building and have no architecture merit or reference to an apartment building.*
- *Nowhere does one see such large cylindrical vents/chimneys on apartment buildings within SF.*
- *The proposal to install six antennas on one rooftop would make the building feel like an antenna farm.*
- *If this project is installed, there would be a total of 3 facilities within a total of 14 antennas within a one-block radius.*
- *The neighborhood would be overwhelmed by the antennas and the skyline would be visually distracting to the public and residents in their homes.*
- *This installation violates the building and siting criteria in the WTS Facilities Siting Guidelines in that the documents do not provide data relating to the heat and noise generated by the equipment on top and within the building.*

The architect also pointed out in his notes on the drawings attached to his letter that existing fire sprinklers in the garage above where the steel platform would be installed to support the equipment and batteries will be compromised, and the space below the platform will be unprotected.

It should also be noted that the FCC-required barricades around the antennas are not depicted in the plan drawings provided by AT&T. This would add more clutter on the rooftop which would also be undesirable. This, along with notes made by the architect on the design plans attached to his letter show a number of errors made by the architectural firm that prepared the drawings on behalf of the applicant.

5. An additional objection by the neighbors is the fact that the rooftop installation would detract from the corridor view on Taraval Street. It would also defeat the City's attempt to improve the skyline view on the street (and surrounding area) by requiring that electric power lines be placed underground.

6. Another concern expressed by the Planning Department is the fact that the setback required for the antennas is not met. AT&T responded to this issue by stating that the vent pipes would have to be at least 9' tall to overcome the objection (see Exhibit N). Needless to say, this is hardly a suitable solution. As such, the proposed antenna installation is not compliant with the Building Code.

7. There are other legitimate concerns raised by the neighbors in their letters to the Planning Department including those attached to our transmittal memo to the Project Planner which should be considered by the Planning Commission (see Exhibit O).

8. There is also a safety issue concerning installation of batteries in the garage of the subject building. Section 790.80 of the Planning Code states, in part:

*A publicly or privately owned use which provides public services to the community, whether conducted within a building or on an open lot, and which has operating requirements which necessitate location within the district, including civic structures (such as museums, post offices, administrative offices of government agencies), public libraries, police stations, transportation facilities, utility installations, including Internet Services Exchange, and wireless transmission facilities. **Such use shall not include** service yards, machine shops, **garages**, incinerators and publicly operated parking in a garage or lot. . . . [Emphasis added.]*

Also, see definition of "garage" in the SF Building Inspection Code:

A building or portion thereof in which a motor vehicle containing flammable or combustible liquids or gas in its tank is stored, repaired or kept.

Installing the equipment with batteries in the garage as proposed raises the following concern: The "Material Safety Data Sheet" for the Northstar lead acid batteries AT&T proposes to install states that there are:

Unusual Fire and Explosion Hazards: Hydrogen and oxygen gasses are produced in the cells during normal battery operation (hydrogen is flammable and oxygen supports combustion).

These gasses enter the air through the vent caps. To avoid the chance of a fire or explosion, keep sparks and other sources of ignition away from the battery. See page 3 under "FIRE AND EXPLOSION HAZARD DATA," dated 7/22/09 (Exhibit P).

The Planning Department contends that batteries for cellular base stations have been installed in garages throughout the City for some time, and there have not been any reports of fires or other problems. However, we believe that a source of ignition (such as a spark) could cause a fire or explosion, and that type of risk must be avoided.

9. Another concern is the fact that radio transmitters that would be utilized by AT&T exceed power limits established by the FCC. The RF report prepared by AT&T's consultant states that the service provider will use 5,630 watts effective radiated power ("ERP") for PCS service and 1,000 watts ERP for cellular service (see report, page 2). However, the FCC has established a power limit of 2,000 watts for PCS service and 500 watts for cellular service (see Table 1). Therefore, AT&T is not compliant with the FCC's regulations.

It should also be noted that the issue of high power levels came up during the Community Outreach Meeting in March of this year. AT&T was asked to explain why it was necessary to run such high power to cover a two-square-block area, and was unable to do so. AT&T's representative did agree to provide a written response to the question (which was later confirmed in writing), but failed to follow through (see Exhibit Q).

AT&T contends in its application that it is compliant with all federal, state and local laws concerning the installation of its cellular base station (see appl., Page 50). However, since this is not the case, AT&T's application must be denied.

Table 1

Proposed Service	Maximum Power According to RF Report	Maximum Effective Radiated Power (ERP) Limits*	FCC Rule
PCS (Broadband)	5,630 watts	2,000 watts	47 C.F.R. Part 24, Subpart E, § 24.232(a)(1)
Cellular	1,000 watts	500 watts	47 C.F.R. Part 22, Subpart H, § 22.913(a)

*This is the total amount of power that is authorized by the FCC regardless of the number of channels used by AT&T. See FCC "A Local Government Official's Guide to Transmitting Antenna RF Emission Safety: Rules, Procedures, and Practical Guidance" Figure B1-2 (see Exhibit R).

10. Another FCC compliance concern is the fact that AT&T claims that there is interference to its network (noise) that causes degradation to the services it offers in the so-called Significant Gap (see appl., Page 7). Therefore, it must install a cellular base station at 2395-26th Avenue to overcome the problem. It appears, however, that the interference may be caused by an overlap of channels that should not be shared by AT&T and Sprint Nextel (see Table 2). This is a licensing issue that may be a problem throughout AT&T's cellular network in the San Francisco Bay Area, and must be resolved before this proposed installation receives further consideration.

Table 2
FCC PCS Frequency Allocations
(According to FCC Web Site)

AT&T Licensed Frequencies	Sprint Nextel Licensed Frequencies	Overlap
1850-1865 MHz	1850-1865 MHz	X
1870-1885 MHz		
1930-1945 MHz	1930-1945 MHz	X
1950-1965 MHz		

11. Another concern is a jurisdictional issue. The LTE (4G) portion of AT&T's application is really considered a Point of Presence (POP) for Internet Access Service (also known as an Internet Services Exchange) which is altogether different than a wireless telecommunications service. See *Clear Wireless, LLC v. Building Department of Lynbrook*, 2012 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 32126 (E.D.N.Y. 2012). This distinction is also set forth in Section 790.80 of the Planning Code relating to public use. Therefore, AT&T must file a separate application for a Conditional Use Permit to install this service because it is not covered by the City's WTS Guidelines.

In addition, because the LTE 4G service is not subject to federal preemption under Section 704 of the Telecommunications Act of 1996, the Planning Commission has authority (and a duty) to consider the environmental effects of radio frequency emissions as part of the review process in a separate proceeding.* If this is not

* Exhibit S is a page from the FCC's Web site which clearly states that the FCC does not regulate Internet Service Providers.

handled as a separate matter, the Commission would be obligated to consider health and safety issues before the LTE 4G service could be approved.

CONCLUSION

For the foregoing reasons, 2395-26th Avenue is not a suitable location for the cellular base station, and AT&T's application for a Conditional Use Permit for the proposed project must be denied.

Respectfully submitted,



David L. Wilner
Wilner & Associates
P.O. Box 2340
Novato, CA 94948-2340
415-898-1200
DavidLWilner@aol.com

Dated: November 6, 2013

AT&T MOBILITY PROPOSED CELLULAR BASE STATION

2395-26TH AVENUE

CASE NO. 2011.0499C

RECEIVED

NOV 06 2013

CITY & COUNTY OF S.F.
PLANNING DEPARTMENT
RECEPTION DESK

EXHIBITS A-S

Prepared by Wilner & Associates

P.O. Box 2340

Novato, CA 94948-2340

415-898-1200

EXHIBIT A



SAN FRANCISCO PLANNING DEPARTMENT

NOTICE OF PLANNING DEPARTMENT REQUIREMENTS #1

June 16, 2011

Mike Marcus
KDI
855 Folsom Street, Suite 106
San Francisco, CA 94108

RE: 2395 26th Avenue (ADDRESS OF PERMIT WORK)
2011.0499C (CONDITIONAL USE APPLICATION CASE NO.)

The Planning Department has received the subject conditional use application. The application is currently on hold because the following information and materials are required before it will be accepted as complete or code complying. Time limits for review of your project will not commence until we have received the requested information or materials and have verified their accuracy.

In order to proceed with our review of your Conditional Use Application, the following is required:

1. Revised Antenna Screening. Please revise your proposal to reduce the size of the faux vent pipes used to screen the proposed antennas on the rooftop of the project site.

Please note that further comment may follow review of the requested information.

Please direct any questions concerning this notice to Adrian C. Putra at 415.575.9079 or adrian.putra@sfgov.org. An early and complete response on your part will help expedite the Department's review of your application. Thank you for your attention to this notice.

1650 Mission St.
Suite 400
San Francisco,
CA 94103-2479

Reception:
415.558.6378

Fax:
415.558.6409

Planning
Information:
415.558.6377



SAN FRANCISCO PLANNING DEPARTMENT

NOTICE OF PLANNING DEPARTMENT REQUIREMENTS #2

August 1, 2011

Mike Marcus
KDI
855 Folsom Street, Suite 106
San Francisco, CA 94108

1650 Mission S
Suite 400
San Francisco,
CA 94103-2476

Reception:
415.558.6378

Fax:
415.558.6401

Planning
Information:
415.558.6371

RE: 2395 26th Avenue (ADDRESS OF PERMIT WORK)
2011.0499C (CONDITIONAL USE APPLICATION CASE NO.)

The Planning Department has received the subject conditional use application. **The application is currently on hold** because the following information and materials are required before it will be accepted as complete or code complying. Time limits for review of your project will not commence until we have received the requested information or materials and have verified their accuracy.

In order to proceed with our review of your Conditional Use Application, the following is required:

1. **Revised Antenna Screening.** Please revise your proposal to reduce the size and/or number of the faux vent pipes used to screen the proposed antennas on the rooftop of the project site. Additionally, please provide a 1:1 setback to height ratio for the proposed antennas.
2. **Community Outreach Meeting.** Please conduct a fully noticed Community Outreach Meeting that includes a 500 foot mailing radius to owners and occupants and a posted notice at the subject building that identifies the meeting subject matter, time and place.
3. **Revised Alternative Site Location Analysis.** 1633-37 Taraval Street – Location D and 1535 Taraval Street – Location J each contains one macro WTS facility and are considered Preference 2 location. Please revise the alternative site location analysis for these locations to correct the preference ranking and provide additional input on why these locations would not be suitable candidates within the defined search area.

Please note that further comment may follow review of the requested information. Please direct any questions concerning this notice to **Adrian C. Putra** at 415.575.9079 or adrian.putra@sfgov.org. An early and complete response on your part will help expedite the Department's review of your application. Thank you for your attention to this notice.

EXHIBIT B



Mike Marcus
 <mike@kdiplanning.com>
 06/29/2011 07:55 AM

To "adrian.putra@sfgov.org" <adrian.putra@sfgov.org>
 cc
 bcc
 Subject Updated Design Alternative for AT&T Site CN5723 - 2395
 26th Avenue

Hi Adrian,

Per the voicemail that I left you earlier today, please find an updated design depicted in the attached photosims for the proposed AT&T facility located at 2395 26th Avenue.

As a quick overview, we were able to reduce the diameter of the vent pipes in the submitted design from 30" to 18" on 5 of the 6 antennas. However, to make those modifications and to close the significant coverage gap that necessitates the upgraded site, AT&T must increase the number of antennas – basically add a new antenna for every (2) antennas, making each sector include (3) antennas rather than (2).

Taking that into consideration, we have a design alternative that looks good and fits the character of the building much better than the submitted design. Essentially, a third vent/antenna has been added to each of the (2) half chimney/half vent pipe design features. This modification is more in keeping with similar rooftop features within the neighborhood.

We were not able to modify the size of the most Northern-ly located antenna that faces 26th Avenue. However, we have changed the screen from a faux vent pipe to a faux brick chimney, which matches the brick on the first floor of the structure. It seems to blend into the building much better than the previously submitted vent pipe.

Please let me know if there is a time that we can touch-base tomorrow – afternoon is best for me.

Thanks,

Mike Marcus
KDI Land Use Planning
 855 Folsom Street, Suite 106
 San Francisco, CA 94107
 805.234.2409 mobile



Please consider the environment before printing this e-mail



CN5723 Sim 1, 6-27-11.PDF



CN5723 Sim 2, 6-27-11.PDF



CN5723 Sim 3, 6-27-11.PDF

EXHIBIT C

Subj: **Case # 2011.0499C- Opposition to ATT Proposed Conditional Use WTS Facility**
Date: 10/3/2013 1:44:10 A.M. Pacific Daylight Time
From: iduka@yahoo.com
To: omar.masry@sfgov.org
CC: DavidLWilner@aol.com, lorcezann@aol.com
Mr. Omar Masry:

Attached is my letter and document with comments opposing the proposed installation for a WTS facility at 2395 26th Avenue where I live with my family. My career for the last 15 years has been in the architecture industry, so my comments are based on that perspective with reference to the planning department guidelines and code.

I appreciate and thank you for your time and attention in this matter.

Regards,

David Shiwotsuka

Attachments:

OppositionLetterCase # 2011.0499C.pdf
OppositiontoCase # 2011.0499C-Project PlansComments.pdf
OppositiontoCase # 2011.0499C-Photo Simulations Comments.pdf

Omar Masry, AICP, Planner
omar.masry@sfgov.org
1650 Mission Street, 4th Floor
San Francisco, CA 94103
p 415.575.9116 f 415.558.6409

Subject: ATT Proposed Conditional Use WTS Facility Case # 2011.0499C

October 3, 2013

To Mr Omar Masry:

My family and I reside at 2395 26th Avenue, apartment 4, the proposed location being requested for the establishment of a Wireless Telecommunication Services(WTS) facility operated by ATT Mobility. We are in total opposition to the authorization of the facility installation in and on top of the building we reside in. Based on the architectural relevance to the district, architectural aesthetic and planning code requirements the installation is unfit and does not comply with the Planning Department Requirements and Guidelines. My comments below are based on the drawings and photos produced by Streamline Engineering and Design Inc Dated 9/17/13 and attached at the end of this letter.

WTS Facilities Planning Department Guidelines

The proposed installation of 6 wireless antennas within chimneys and related equipment within screen walls conflict with the urban design, scale, architectural character and visual continuity of the neighborhood. The existing building though not a revolutionary piece of architecture does have some simple architectural moments that would be destroyed with the installation of the proposed WTS facility. As seen in the photo simulation document, there is a simple and elegant definition of the building geometry defined by the wood shakes and the blue sky. If the installation is approved and installed the architectural elegance of the building form would be disrupted not only by the antenna cover but also the equipment screens (not shown in the simulation document) and the barriers recommended in the RF safety study report (also not shown in the simulation document). The barriers and metal cylinders would also give a hard and cold industrial aesthetic (an aesthetic foreign to this district) to an otherwise warm and neutral apartment building, which is typical of the neighborhood.

Proposing chimneys stacks to conceal the antennas is inappropriate since chimneys are located at the edge of the roof, not in the middle of a flat roof. Chimneys are also elements associated with single family residents not an apartment building. Adding them to an apartment building does not harmonize with the existing apartment buildings within the area nor does it fit in with the urban design of apartment buildings. It would be architecturally inappropriate to consider chimneys of this size and shape for this apartment building. Likewise classifying the antenna screens as vents is also inappropriate since vents for apartments do not reach the scale or height proposed. Vents on apartment buildings are unseen by the public at street level since they are inboard from the roof edge and are 2' above roof level. The antennas would detract from the architecture of the existing building based on the height and girth of the cylinders. The 8'-0" high chimneys are disproportionate to the scale of the existing building and have no architecture merit or reference to an apartment building as noted above. No where does one see such large cylindrical vents/chimneys on apartment buildings within San Francisco.

The proposal to install 6 antennas on 1 rooftop would make the building feel like an antenna farm. When you consider the 2 additional installation with a 1 block radius this area would be overwhelmed by antennas. If this proposal is installed there would be a total of 3 facilities with a total of 14 antennas within 1 block radius. This neighborhood would be overwhelmed by the antennas and the skyline would become visually distracting to the public and to the residents in their homes.

This installation does not take into consideration the neighboring buildings and the radiation effect on the health and safety of the residents. Directly south east is an existing 4 story condo unit within 100 feet of the antennas. Directly north is a 4 story residential home within 40 feet of the antennas. The top level of both building are at the same elevation as the antennas. This would result with the residents being bombarded by radiation from the antennas. The RF Study does not address direct line of sight radiation levels to the residents. During public meetings, when questioned about this matter, the AT&T technical member did not address the amount of radiation exposure to the residents of these 2 buildings and what the health and safety effects would be. He merely quoted

as San Francisco, with all the hills, this is not an adequate measurement required for the safety San Francisco residents. Further investigation and data should be provided by AT&T showing the radiation exposure to the 2 neighboring residents.

This installation violates the building siting criteria noted in the Planning Department, City & County of San Francisco, Wireless Telecommunications Services (WTS), Facilities Siting Guidelines.

The documents do not provide data relating to the heat and noise generated by the equipment on top of and within the building. The proposed building is at a high point so any noise generated by the equipment would carry as far as the Great Highway. Like wise in the ground level garage the noise and heat generated by 7 large electrical/battery cabinets would have a negative effect on the 6 residential units located above the garage. There is no mention of new ventilation for the garage space and there is no existing ventilation currently in place. The installation of the 7 large electrical/battery cabinets in no way attempts to minimize the intrusion into the usable space by the tenants. As noted below the metal platform does not comply and negatively effects the existing off-street parking and use of the open space by the tenants.

San Francisco Municipal Planning Code (amended by Ordinance 183-13, File No. 130528)

a) Section 150 OFF-STREET PARKING AND LOADING REQUIREMENTS (d) Spaces to be Retained-
Once any off-street parking or loading space has been provided such off-street parking or loading space shall not thereafter be reduced, eliminated or made unusable in any manner.

The proposed steel equipment platform in the level 1 garage would make unusable 3 existing off street parking spaces. Based on Planning code sec 151 table 151 the building is required to have 11 useable parking spaces for the 11 residential units in the building. The proposal that cars can park beneath the metal platform would discriminate the types of vehicle that could park in the spaces. Also as noted in the plans the existing ceiling height is 12'-0", which would decrease the available space below the platform.

b)Section. 154. DIMENSIONS FOR OFF-STREET PARKING (a) Parking Spaces (1) - Each
independently accessible off-street parking space shall have a minimum area of 144 square feet for a standard space and 112.5 square feet for a compact space .

As noted in the drawings, the platform would decrease the size of the 3 parking spaces to less than 144 sq ft.

Based on the above non compliance and planning guideline infractions the request by ATT Mobility to establishment of a Wireless Telecommunication Services(WTS) facility operated by ATT Mobility should be denied. I hope that you agree with my assessment. I thank you for your time and attention on this matter.

Sincerely,



David Shiwotsuka
LEED AP BD+C

cc: Supervisor Katy Tang, District 4
The 26th Avenue- north Community Group (lorcezann@aol.com)
David L Wilner (DavidLWilber@aol.com)



at&t

PIANO STUDIO
2395 26TH AVE
SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94116
CN5723

Comments to
Proposed installation
10/3/2013 by David
Shiwotsuka

PIANO
STUDIO

CN5723
2395 26TH AVE
SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94116

ISSUE STATUS

A	DATE	DESCRIPTION	BY
	07/25/13	ISSUE	S.C
	04/07/13	REVISED	S.C
	07/17/13	CLIENT REV.	S.C

DRAWN BY: C. COOY
CHECKED BY: C. WATFORD
APPROVED BY: --
DATE: 09/17/13



SHEET TITLE:
TITLE
SHEET NUMBER
T-1

PROJECT DESCRIPTION

A (P) UNMANNED TELECOMMUNICATION FACILITY CONSISTING OF A (P) 137 SHEET EQUIPMENT LEASE AREA & (P) 222 SHEET ANTENNA LEASE AREA W/ A (P) 6'-0"X22'-10" BASED STEEL PLATFORM W/ (2) (P) 9847Z CABINETS, (1) (P) BELCO PURCELL CABINET, & (6) (P) PURCELL CABINETS W/ (6) (P) 6801-DUM & (1) (P) 6601-DUM RES UNITS. ALSO INSTALLING (4) (P) FAUX FRP CHIMNEYS W/ (6) (P) AT&T ANTENNAS, (24) RNP's, & (6) (P) SURGE SUPPRESSORS.

PROJECT INFORMATION

SITE NAME: PIANO STUDIO SITE #: CN5723
COUNTY: SAN FRANCISCO JURISDICTION: CITY & COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO
BLOCK/LOT: 2355-008A & 2355-009 POWER: PG&E
SITE ADDRESS: 2395 26TH AVE TELEPHONE: AXBT
SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94116
CURRENT ZONING: HO-2
CONSTRUCTION TYPE: V-B
OCCUPANCY TYPE: U, (UNMANNED COMMUNICATIONS FACILITY)
HEIGHT / BUILD: 85-A
PROPERTY OWNER: HOWARD L. WESTON
1623 TARAVAL ST
SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94116
APPLICANT: AT&T
430 BUSH ST, 8TH FLOOR
SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94108
LEASING CONTACT: AT&T COREY ALVIN
(415) 760-9763
ZONING CONTACT: AT&T COREY ALVIN
(415) 760-9763
CONSTRUCTION CONTACT: AT&T WAYNE RUTLEDGE
(754) 572-6285
RF DESIGN ENGINEER: AT&T EDGAR CHONG
(509) 551-0629
LATITUDE: N 37° 44' 34.23" NAD 83
LONGITUDE: W 122° 29' 00.50" NAD 83
AMSL: 2266.7

VICINITY MAP



DRIVING DIRECTIONS

FROM: 430 BUSH ST, 8TH FLOOR, SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94108
TO: 2395 26TH AVE, SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94116

1. HEAD EAST ON BUSH ST TOWARD CLAUKE LN 180 FT
2. TAKE THE 1ST LEFT ON KEARNEY ST 338 FT
3. TAKE THE 1ST LEFT ON PINE ST 11 MB
4. TURN RIGHT ONTO FRANKLIN ST 351 FT
5. TAKE THE 1ST LEFT ONTO CALIFORNIA ST 2.7 MB
6. TURN LEFT ONTO PARK PRESBO BYLID 0.9 MB
7. CONTINUE ONTO PARK PRESBO BYPASS BLVD 0.5 MB
8. CONTINUE ONTO CROSSOVER DR 0.4 MB
9. CONTINUE ONTO 15TH AVE 1.6 MB
10. TURN RIGHT ONTO TARAVAL ST 0.4 MB
11. TURN RIGHT ONTO 26TH AVE 75 FT

D/D AT: 2395 26TH AVE, SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94116
ESTIMATED TIME: 25 MINUTES ESTIMATED DISTANCE: 7.6 MILES

CODE COMPLIANCE

ALL WORK & MATERIALS SHALL BE PERFORMED & INSTALLED IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE CURRENT EDITIONS OF THE FOLLOWING CODES AS ADOPTED BY THE LOCAL GOVERNING AUTHORITIES. NOTHING IN THESE PLANS IS TO BE CONSTRUED TO PERMIT WORK NOT CONFORMING TO THESE CODES.

1. 2010 CALIFORNIA ADMINISTRATIVE CODE (INCL. TITLES 24 & 25)
 2. 2010 CALIFORNIA BUILDING CODE
 3. 2010 CALIFORNIA ELECTRICAL CODE
 4. 2010 CALIFORNIA MECHANICAL CODE
 5. 2010 CALIFORNIA PLUMBING CODE
 6. 2010 CITY OF SAN FRANCISCO FIRE CODE
 7. LOCAL BUILDING CODES
 8. CITY/COUNTY ORDINANCES
 9. AOS/SEA-BA-222-G
- ALONG WITH ANY OTHER APPLICABLE LOCAL & STATE LAWS AND REGULATIONS

DISABLED ACCESS REQUIREMENTS






THIS FACILITY IS UNMANNED & NOT FOR HUMAN HABITATION. DISABLED ACCESS & REQUIREMENTS ARE NOT REQUIRED IN ACCORDANCE WITH CALIFORNIA STATE BUILDING CODE TITLE 24 PART 2, SECTION 1154B.2.1, EXCEPTION 4

SHEET INDEX

SHEET	DESCRIPTION	REV
T-1	TITLE SHEET	-
A-1	SITE PLAN	-
A-2	ENLARGED SITE PLAN	-
A-3	EQUIPMENT PLAN & DETAILS	-
A-4	EQUIPMENT ELEVATIONS	-
A-5	ANTENNA PLANS	-
A-6	ELEVATION	-
A-7	ELEVATION	-
A-8	ELEVATION	-
A-9	ELEVATION	-
A-10	DETAILS	-

APPROVAL

RF
LEASING
ZONING
CONSTRUCTION
AT&T



Platform and equipment would compromise the existing fire sprinkler spread. The space below platform would be unprotected.

PLATFORM COLUMN NOT SHOWN WOULD MAKE SPACE 3 UNUSEABLE

EXISTING PARKING SPACES IS UNUSEABLE.

EXISTING PARKING SPACE IS UNUSEABLE

EXISTING PARKING SPACE IS UNUSEABLE

PARKING STALL CAN NOT EXTEND BEYOND THIS LINE DUE TO ADDITIONAL PARKING STALL CIRCULATION REQUIRED. ADDITIONAL PARKING STALLS ARE NOT SHOWN BY AT&T.

PIANO STUDIO
 CN5723
 2343 26TH AVE
 SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94116

ISSUE STATUS

A.	DATE	DESCRIPTION	BY
1	07/28/13	20 50%	CFC
2	07/29/13	20 100%	CFC
3	05/17/13	CLIENT REV.	CFC
4	-	-	-
5	-	-	-

DRAWN BY: C. COOTY
 CHECKED BY: C. MATHESON
 APPROVED BY: -
 DATE: 06/17/13

Streamline Engineering
 6445 Shore Cottage Blvd., Suite E, Colma, CA 94016
 E-Mail: Info@StreamlineEng.com, Fax: (415) 890-1841

at&t
 430 BUSH ST. 6TH FLOOR
 SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94108

SHEET TITLE:
EQUIPMENT PLAN & DETAILS
 SHEET NUMBER:
A-3

PIANO STUDIO

CN5723
 299 36TH AVE
 SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94116

ISSUE STATUS

DATE	DESCRIPTION	BY
07/28/13	2D 50% C.C.	
07/29/13	2D 100% C.C.	
07/17/13	CHECK REV.	

DRAWN BY: C. COOY
 CHECKED BY: C. MATHESEN
 APPROVED BY: -
 DATE: 09/17/13

Streamline Engineering
 CIVIL MECHANICAL ELECTRICAL
 8448 Burren College Blvd., Suite E, Orinda, CA 94655
 TEL: (925) 764-1100 FAX: (925) 764-1101
 E-MAIL: MTH@STREAMLINEENR.COM
 www.streamline-engineering.com
 LICENSE NO. 45466 (CIVIL) 45467 (MECHANICAL) 45468 (ELECTRICAL) 45469 (PLUMBING) 45470 (MECHANICAL/ELECTRICAL)



430 BUSH ST. 6TH FLOOR
 SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94108

SHEET TITLE:
 EQUIPMENT ELEVATIONS
 SHEET NUMBER:
A-4


A SUV CAR OR VAN WILL NOT FIT IN THIS PARKING SPACE. EXISTING VEHICLE IN THIS SPACE ARE ALL SUVS AND VANS. VEHICLE AT SPACE 3 CAN NOT PARK BELOW AS SUGGESTED DUE TO PLATFORM SUPPORT WHICH IS NOT SHOWN.

EXISTING FIRE SPRINKLER HEADS ARE IN THIS LOCATION ALONG WALL.


PLATFORM AND EQUIPMENT WILL COMPROMISE THE EXISTING FIRE SPRINKLER REACH. THE SPACE BELOW PLATFORM WOULD BE UNPROTECTED.

A SUV CAR OR VAN WILL NOT FIT IN THIS PARKING SPACE. EXISTING VEHICLE IN THIS SPACE ARE ALL SUVS AND VANS. VEHICLE AT SPACE 3 CAN NOT PARK BELOW AS SUGGESTED DUE TO PLATFORM SUPPORT WHICH IS NOT SHOWN.

DUE TO THE EXISTING 12'-0" CEILING DIMENSION, THIS DIMENSION WOULD BE 3'-6" WHICH NO CAR COULD PARK BELOW. PARKING SPACES 1, 2 AND 3 WOULD BE UNUSEABLE. THE REQUIRE # OF STALLS FOR THE BUILDING WOULD BE DEFICIENT.



SOUTH EQUIPMENT ELEVATION
 1/8"=1'-0"



EAST EQUIPMENT ELEVATION
 1/8"=1'-0"

ISSUE STATUS

Δ	DATE	DESCRIPTION	BY
	02/26/13	2D 30% C.C.	C.C.
	04/20/13	3D 100% C.C.	C.C.
	09/17/13	CLIENT REV	C.C.

DRAWN BY: C. COOY
CHECKED BY: C. MATHEIS
APPROVED BY: --
DATE: 09/17/13

Streamline Engineering
Civil Engineering

8442 Sierra College Blvd., Suite E, Granite Bay, CA 95746
Contact: Larry Rogovsky, Phone: 916-278-1414
E-mail: larry@streamline-engineering.com
www.streamline-engineering.com



at&t

430 BUSH ST., 6TH FLOOR
SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94108

SHEET TITLE
ELEVATION
SHEET NUMBER
A-6


PERMIT APPLICATION STATES TOP OF CHIMNEYS TO BE +40'-7" (OR +8'-0" ABOVE TOP OF (E) BUILDING)

NO BARRIERS SHOWN AS NOTED IN THE RF REPORT. THE SCALE AND LINEAL FEET OF BARRIERS WILL BE AN OBTRUSIVE EYE SORE TO THE PUBLIC AT STREET LEVEL AND TO THE RESIDENTS OF THE NEIGHBORHOOD. MARKED IN RED

8'-0" HIGH CHIMNEYS ARE INAPPROPRIATE SCALE TO THE EXISTING SCALE OF THE BUILDING. THIS IS TALLER THAN AN EXISTING BUILDING STORY.

- TOP OF (P) FRP CHIMNEYS
+39'-8" A.G.L.
- RAD. CENTER OF (P) AT&T ANTENNAS
+37'-0" A.G.L.
- TOP OF (E) BUILDING
+32'-7" A.G.L.

● GROUND LEVEL
0'-0"



NORTH ELEVATION

1/8"=1'-0"

VIEW FROM SERRAVALLO ST

PIANO
STUDIO

CN5723
2295 26TH AVE
SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94116

ISSUE STATUS

A	DATE	DESCRIPTION	BY
	02/28/13	2D 50% C-C	C-C
	05/07/13	2D 100% C-C	C-C
	09/17/13	CLEAR REV	C-C

DRAWN BY: C. COOY

CHECKED BY: C. MATHEWSON

APPROVED BY: -

DATE: 09/17/13

Streamline Engineering
Civil Design Inc.
8445 Buena Vista Blvd., Suite E (Central Bldg.) CA 94706
Contract: Larry Hougherty Phone: 814-274-4180
E-Mail: larry@streamlineinc.com Fax: 815-880-1841
Professional Engineer License No. 45678
Professional Engineer License No. 12345

at&t
450 BUSH ST. 8TH FLOOR
SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94108

SHEET TITLE
ELEVATION
SHEET NUMBER
A-7

PERMIT APPLICATION STATES TOP OF CHIMNEYS TO BE +40'-7" (OR +8'-0" ABOVE TOP OF (E) BUILDING)

8'-0" HIGH CHIMNEYS ARE INAPPROPRIATE SCALE OF THE BUILDING THIS IS TALLER THAN AN EXISTING BUILDING STORY.

NO BARRIERS SHOWN AS NOTED IN THE RF REPORT THE SCALE AND LINEAL FEET OF BARRIERS WILL BE AN OBTRUSIVE EYE SORE TO THE PUBLIC AT STREET LEVEL AND TO THE RESIDENTS OF THE NEIGHBORHOOD MARKED IN RED


- TOP OF (P) FRP CHIMNEYS
+39'-6" A.G.L.
- MID CENTER OF (P) AT&T ANTENNAS
+37'-0" A.G.L.
- TOP OF (E) BUILDING
+32'-7" A.G.L.

GROUND LEVEL
0'-0"



EAST ELEVATION

1/8"=1'-0"
VIEW FROM 26TH AVE



WEST ELEVATION

1/8"=1'-0"
VIEW FROM 27TH AVE

PIANO STUDIO

CN5723
2395 26TH AVE
SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94116

ISSUE STATUS

NO.	DATE	DESCRIPTION	BY
01	02/26/13	RD. 30% C.C.	
02	04/20/13	RD. 100% C.C.	
03	05/17/13	CLIENT REV. C.C.	
-	-	-	-
-	-	-	-

DRAWN BY: C. COOY
CHECKED BY: C. MARWESH
APPROVED BY: -
DATE: 09/17/13

Streamline Engineering
COMMUNICATIONS

1646 Sierra College Blvd., Suite E Orinda Bay, CA 94546
Contact: Larry Rogovsky Phone: 925-276-4100
E-Mail: larry@streamlineeng.com
www.streamlineeng.com




420 BUSH ST. 6TH FLOOR
SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94108

SHEET TITLE:


ELEVATION

SHEET NUMBER:


A-9



① ANTENNA DETAIL
 $\frac{3}{8}$ "-1'-0" MAX HEIGHT = 4ILBS




② ANTENNA DETAIL
 $\frac{3}{8}$ "-1'-0" MAX HEIGHT = 10ILBS



③ RRH DETAIL
 1'-1'-0" MAX HEIGHT = SOLDS
 ERSSON RRH5-11



④ GPS DETAIL
 1'-0"



⑤ SURGE SUPPRESSOR DETAIL
 1'-1'-0" MAX HEIGHT = 32.8LBS MAX HEIGHT = 32.8LBS

PIANO
STUDIO

CN5723
 2305 28TH AVE
 SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94116

ISSUE STATUS

A	DATE	DESCRIPTION	BY
	02/28/13	70 50%	C-C
	04/07/13	70 100%	C-C
	09/17/13	CLIENT REV	C-C
	-	-	-
	-	-	-

DRAWN BY: C. COOY

CHECKED BY: C. MATHESEN

APPROVED BY: -

DATE: 09/17/13

Streamline Engineering
 CONSULTING ENGINEERS

1444 Berry College Blvd, Suite E, Colma, CA 94016
 E-Mail: info@streamlineeng.com, Fax: (415) 860-1841

Streamline Engineering, Inc. is an Equal Opportunity Employer. We are an affirmative action employer. We are an Equal Opportunity Employer. We are an affirmative action employer. We are an Equal Opportunity Employer. We are an affirmative action employer.

at&t


430 BUSH ST 8TH FLOOR
 SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94108

SHEET TITLE:
DETAILS
SHEET NUMBER:
A-10

Existing



Proposed



clutters and destroys the simple building form

barriers and lower equipment screen not shown

proposed AT&T antenna sectors A & C inside new faux vents

Photo simulation as seen looking north from 26th Avenue

Existing



Proposed




Photo simulation as seen looking east from Taraval Street

Prepared by: **WW** 03.27.2013
WW Design & Consulting, Inc.
1654 Cardelero Court
Walnut Creek, CA 94598
info@photosims.com



CN5723 Piano Studio
2395 26th Avenue, San Francisco, CA 94116

Existing



Proposed




Photo simulation as seen looking south from 26th Avenue

Prepared by: **WW** 03.27.2013
WW Design & Consulting, Inc.
1654 Candellero Court
Walnut Creek, CA 94598
info@photosims.com



CN5723 Piano Studio
2395 26th Avenue, San Francisco, CA 94116

Existing



Proposed




Photo simulation as seen looking west from Taraval Avenue

Prepared by: **WW** 03.27.2013
WW Design & Consulting, Inc.
1654 Candellero Court
Walnut Creek, CA 94598
info@photosims.com



CN5723 Piano Studio
2395 26th Avenue, San Francisco, CA 94116

EXHIBIT D

LIST OF 273 PEOPLE THAT SIGNED PETITION
(SIGNED PETITIONS UNDER SEPARATE COVER)

PETITION OPPOSING AT&T MOBILE ANTENNA INSTALLATION
AT 2395-26TH AVENUE @ TARAVAL ST., SAN FRANCISCO 94116

26th AVENUE SIGNATURES – within 500 ft.

1.	Lilia Zheltova	2395-26 th Ave. #11	SF 94116	resident
2.	Sergey Khalikula	2395-26 th Ave. #11	SF 94116	resident
3.	Tommy Abib	2395-26 th Ave. # 8	SF 94116	resident
4.	Jeff Ryan	2395-26 th Ave. # 1	SF 94116	resident
5.	Deborah Rojano	2395-26 th Ave. # 9	SF 94116	resident
6.	Alice Chiu	2375-26 th Ave	SF 94116	resident
7.	Jueh Jiau Chiu	2375-26 th Ave	SF 94116	owner/resident
8.	Ming Chiu	2375-26 th Ave	SF 94116	owner/resident
9.	Cezanne M. Tocchini	2379-26 th Ave	SF 94116	owner/resident
10.	Jon Tocchini	2381-26 th Ave	SF 94116	resident
11.	Cho Un Pang	2378-26 th Ave	SF 94116	resident
12.	Kun Wa Pang	2378-26 th Ave	SF 94116	resident
13.	Mirjana Misis	2319-26 th Ave	SF 94116	owner/resident
14.	P. Backovic	2319-26 th Ave	SF 94116	owner/resident
15.	John Weeks	2343-26 th Ave	SF 94116	resident
16.	Anna Situ Dea	2378-26 th Ave	SF 94116	owner/resident
17.	Gum Pui Dea	2378-26 th Ave	SF 94116	owner/resident
18.	Stacey Dea	2378-26 th Ave	SF 94116	owner/resident
19.	Dennis Dea	2378-26 th Ave	SF 94116	resident
20.	I Wan Sitou	2378-26 th Ave	SF 94116	resident
21.	Xiao Laiu Ma	2358-26 th Ave	SF 94116	owner/resident
22.	Liu Zi Qihng	2358-26 th Ave	SF 94116	owner/resident
23.	Hui Zhen Hu	2358-26 th Ave	SF 94116	resident
24.	Shiu Fong	2374-26 th Ave	SF 94116	owner/resident
25.	Robert Fong	2374-26 th Ave	SF 94116	owner/resident
26.	Yuk Ying Kwan	2363-26 th Ave	SF 94116	owner/resident
27.	Betty Kwan	2363-26 th Ave	SF 94116	resident
28.	Grace Wong	2331-26 th Ave	SF 94116	owner/resident
29.	Julia Wong	2331-26 th Ave	SF 94116	resident
30.	Frances Kearney	2362-26 th Ave	SF 94116	resident
31.	Aida Seballos	2355-26 th Ave	SF 94116	owner/resident
32.	Bernard Haas	2371-26 th Ave	SF 94116	resident
33.	Marie D. Haas	2371-26 th Ave	SF 94116	owner/resident
34.	Joanne K. Kwan	2363-26 th Ave	SF 94116	resident
35.	Sue S. C. Kwan	2363-26 th Ave	SF 94116	resident
36.	Ray Maali	2359-26 th Ave	SF 94116	resident
37.	Gelhr Maali	2359-26 th Ave	SF 94116	resident

PETITION OPPOSING AT&T MOBILE ANTENNA INSTALLATION
AT 2395-26TH AVENUE @ TARAVAL ST., SAN FRANCISCO 94116

38. John E Weeks	2343-26 th Ave	SF 94116	owner/resident
39. Alicia Weeks	2343-26 th Ave	SF 94116	owner/resident
40. Wai Laal	2339-26 th Ave	SF 94116	owner/resident
41. Lisa Onyang	2339-26 th Ave	SF 94116	owner/resident
42. Xi Hong Onyang	2339-26 th Ave	SF 94116	resident
43. Shao Cai Lin	2339-26 th Ave	SF 94116	resident
44. Sing M Liu	2367-26 th Ave	SF 94116	owner/resident
45. Bing B Hsu	2367-26 th Ave	SF 94116	owner/resident
46. Ann Nolte	2366-26 th Ave	SF 94116	owner/resident
47. Ellen Conaway	2354-26 th Ave	SF 94116	owner/resident
48. William Conaway	2354-26 th Ave	SF 94116	owner/resident
49. Ramon Bricio B	2395-26 th Ave # 9	SF 94116	resident
50. Gloria Rojano	2395-26 th Ave # 9	SF 94116	resident
51. Raymond Yick	2383-2385 26 th Ave	SF 94116	owner
52. Susie Yick	2383-2385 26 th Ave	SF 94116	owner
53. Chok Sau Mah	2358-26 th Ave.	SF 94116	owner/resident
54. Sui Ywn	2239-26 th Ave.	SF 94116	owner/resident
55. Kam Y Chow	2250-26 th Ave	SF 94116	owner/resident
56. Lui Lin Dony	2266-26 th Ave.	SF 94116	owner/resident
57. Said Sauuaf	2395-26 th Ave # 8	SF 94116	resident
58. David Shiwotsuka	2395-26 th Ave # 4	SF 94116	resident
59. Chamnan Shiwotsuka	2395-26 th Ave # 4	SF 94116	resident

25th AVENUE SIGNATURES – within 500 ft.

60. Peggy McFarland	2270-25 th Ave	SF 94116	owner/resident
---------------------	---------------------------	----------	----------------

27th AVENUE SIGNATURES – within 500 ft.

61. Celine Xu	2378-27 th Ave	SF 94116	resident
62. Philip Xu	2378-27 th Ave	SF 94116	owner/resident
63. Lily Lau	2378-27 th Ave	SF 94116	owner/resident
64. Jonathan Xu	2378-27 th Ave	SF 94116	resident
65. He Sueliu	2378-27 th Ave	SF 94116	resident

PETITION OPPOSING AT&T MOBILE ANTENNA INSTALLATION
 AT 2395-26TH AVENUE @ TARAVAL ST., SAN FRANCISCO 94116

TARAVAL ST SIGNATURES – within 500 ft.

66. Alison Mahilich, employee	2404-27 th @ Taraval	SF 94116	Iyengar Yoga Studio
67. Fatima Singson, owner	1517 Taraval St	SF 94116	Fatima Taraval Hairst
68. Wen Zheng, manager	1501 Taraval St	SF 94116	Laundromat
69. Cheey Chou, owner	1444 Taraval St	SF 94116	Cheey Art School
70. Qi Ken Li, L.Ac.& Herbalist	1617 Taraval St	SF 94116	Riverside Heal. Center
71. Qi Jun Tan, owner	1572 Taraval St	SF 94116	Flora Beauty Center
72. Pauline Delegiougis, owner	1590 Taraval St	SF 94116	Beauty Salon
73. Meki Javanpour, owner	1590 Taraval St	SF 94116	Beauty Salon
74. Amy Chen, employee	1572 Taraval St	SF 94116	Flora Beauty Center
75. Mariann Nishida	1633 Taraval St	SF 94116	resident
76. Clinton Nishida	1633 Taraval St	SF 94116	resident
77. King Cheng Hsiao, owner	1580 Taraval St	SF 94116	Real Estate/Insurance
78. Regina Hsiao, owner	1580 Taraval St	SF 94116	Real Estate/Insurance
79. Amy Keung, employee	1580 Taraval St	SF 94116	Real Estate/Insurance
80. Mila Lopez, owner	1601 Taraval St	SF 94116	El Burrito Express
81. Leonor Pena, employee	1601 Taraval St	SF 94116	" "
82. Cesar Jerommo, "	1601 Taraval St	SF 94116	" "
83. Yeni lu, employee	1601 Taraval St	SF 94116	" "
84. Yolanda Cajaiana, "	1601 Taraval St	SF 94116	" "
85. Jose Mokol, employee	1601 Taraval St	SF 94116	" "
86. Elida Carrillo, "	1601 Taraval St	SF 94116	" "
87. Alfredo C., "	1601 Taraval St	SF 94116	" "
88. Jimy Martino Sillo Voeb, "	1601 Taraval St	SF 94116	" "
89. Lourdes Carrillo, employee	1601 Taraval St	SF 94116	" "
90. Karen Ha, employee	1701 Taraval St	SF 94116	Footprint Shoe Store
91. Sung S. Lee, owner	1703 Taraval St	SF 94116	owner / resident
92. Brian Chang, employee	1701 Taraval St	SF 94116	Footprint Shoe Store
93. Lynn Lee, Owner	1701 Taraval St	SF 94116	Footprint Shoe Store
94. Cindy, employee	1701 Taraval St	SF 94116	Footprint Shoe Store
95. Amy Miller, Owner	1712 Taraval St	SF 94116	Eagle Pizza Restaurant
96. Kenneth D. Holman, Owner	1712 Taraval St	SF 94116	Eagle Pizza Restaurant
97. Bo Nuanual	1726 Taraval,Apt4	SF 94116	resident
98. Gina Borgo	1726 Taraval,Apt4	SF 94116	resident

PETITION OPPOSING AT&T MOBILE ANTENNA INSTALLATION
AT 2395-26TH AVENUE @ TARAVAL ST., SAN FRANCISCO 94116

NEIGHBORHOOD ORGANIZATIONS OPPOSING BY E-MAIL AND LETTER

99. Mid-Sunset Neighborhood Association
Harold Silk, President 1270-26th Ave SF 94122
Flo Kimmerling, Vice Pres 1282-26th Ave SF 94122
100. SPEAK Sunset/Parkside
Board of Directors
Mary Ann Miller 1239 – 42nd Ave SF 94122

PETITION OPPOSING AT&T MOBILE ANTENNA INSTALLATION
 AT 2395-26TH AVENUE @ TARAVAL ST., SAN FRANCISCO 94116

SIGNATURES OPPOSING – guests and customers to the Taraval St. Corridor

101. Kathy Guan	2001 Quintara St	SF 94116	owner
102. Beatrice Lee	3733 Quintara St	SF 94116	owner
103. Joanne Wen	48 th Ave	SF 94122	
104. Sally Yuen	855 Ortega St	SF 94122	
105. Hongmin Peny	855 Ortega St	SF 94122	
106. Susanna Choi	514 Silliman St	SF 94134	
107. Ming Husait	800 Pails St	SF 94112	
108. Jin Soo	370-23 rd Ave #11	SF 94121	
109. Cally Cheung	1782-44 th Ave	SF 94122	owner
110. Zhang Jie	750 Gonzalez Dr	SF 94132	
111. Jian Han	44 th Ave	SF 94122	employee
112. Ai Yi Tse	44 th Ave	SF 94116	owner
113. Qiu F Li	119 Girard St	SF 94134	owner
114. Tiffany Li	112 Tures Ave	SF 94112	resident
115. Tina Kuang	118 Grafton Ave	SF 94112	resident
116. Lichang Xle	2927 Clement St	SF 94121	resident
117. Mimi Lee	679-6 th Avenue	SF 94118	owner
118. Xue Hur	1516 25 th Ave	SF 94122	owner
119. Su Yep	1990 Silver Ave	SF 94124	owner
120. Fu Hue Wang	75 Topeka Ave	SF 94124	employee
121. German Gonzales	601 Leavenworth St	SF 94109	
122. Carlos Gonzales	2861 Cesar Chavez	SF 94110	
123. Jesus Jcanae	96 Malta Drive	SF 94131	
124. Mach Tae	2450-27 th Ave	SF 94122	owner
125. Fiona Quach	1666-38 th Ave	SF 94122	owner
126. Dick Haephong	1378-45 th Ave	SF 94122	resident
127. Denny Yu	226 Dorado Terr	SF 94112	owner
128. Yan Hui Lei	2155-33 rd Ave	SF 94112	owner
129. Kristy Lane	1874-20 th Ave	SF 94122	resident
130. Chan K NGO	2294-41 st Ave	SF 94116	owner
131. Laura Tang	1847-33 rd Ave	SF 94122	owner
132. Mark Tran	2451-39 th Ave	SF 94116	
133. Tracy Lee	1380-45 th Ave	SF 94122	
134. Li Suiping	4425 Ulloa St	SF 94116	resident
135. Ann Nguyen	4430 Ulloa St	SF 94116	resident
136. Wai F Yip	2479-27 th Ave	SF 94116	owner
137. Helen Cheng	2336-32 nd Ave	SF 94116	owner
138. Ding Chen	1843-20 th Ave	SF 94122	
139. Eva Lee	2351-19 th Ave	SF 94116	owner

PETITION OPPOSING AT&T MOBILE ANTENNA INSTALLATION
 AT 2395-26TH AVENUE @ TARAVAL ST., SAN FRANCISCO 94116

140. Ni Mae Lee Wong	2351-19 th Ave	SF 94116	owner
141. Son Jane Lee	2351-19 th Ave	SF 94116	owner
142. Tommy Kwan	3000 Kirkham St	SF 94122	owner
143. Angela Kwan	3000 Kirkham St	SF 94122	owner
144. Nu To Vong	1378-45 th Ave	SF 94122	owner
145. Bhy	2288-42 nd Ave	SF	owner
146. Jung Wong	2638-29 th Ave	SF 94116	owner
147. Rong Lim Soohou	2058-19 th Ave	SF 94116	owner
148. Bill Tang	2438-29 th Ave	SF 94116	owner
149. Edith Karina Villafranco	4450 Mission St #1	SF 94112	
150. Marcos Ku	3344 Taraval	SF 94116	
151. Jorge Tilan Ku	307 Capp St	SF 94110	
152. Javier Varela	1538 Mission St	SF 94110	
153. Jorge Perez	350 Turk St #102	SF 94102	
154. Xiao Li Jian	2110-48 th Ave	SF 94116	owner
155. Therenu Lu	811 Silliman	SF 94134	
156. Xi Ying Wei	2291-45 th Ave	SF 94116	owner
157. Hong wei Yau	1715-29 th Ave	SF 94122	owner
158. Valerie Wong	2142-27 th Ave	SF 94116	owner
159. Shannon Jung	2475-41 st Ave	SF 94116	resident
160. Stacy Gin	295 County St Apt A	Daly City 94014	resident
161. David Gin	731-31 st Ave	SF	
162. Victoria Mason	2186-27 th Ave	SF 94116	owner
163. Jean Etcheveriry	1700 Rivera St	SF 94116	
164. Rene Magboo	32 Leigbig St	SF	resident
165. Cassie Aliciati	37 Thunderbird Dr.	Novato	owner
166. John Richards	1923-21 st Ave	SF 94116	resident
167. Roger Heffner	52 Stanton St	SF 94114	resident
168. Michelle Moy	831 Cabrillo St	SF 94118	resident
169. Patrick Moncada	1816 Page St	SF	owner/resident
170. Carina Marquez		SF 94132	owner/resident
171. Catherine Garcia	50 Rizal St.	SF 94107	resident
172. Christopher Puga		Daly City	resident
173. Martin Wong	2187-27 th Ave	SF 94116	owner
174. Sandeep Sood	409 San Anselmo Ave	San Bruno 94066	owner/resident
175. Sammy Nashings	1034 Caimen Dr	Daly City 94015	owner / resident
176. Timothy Wong	423 Southgate	Daly city 94015	owner
177. Angelica Santamaria	285 Sunshine Dr.	Pacifica 94044	resident
178. Harold I Von Muller	2014 Taraval St.	SF 94116	resident
179. Eddie S Louie	1875-23 rd Ave	SF 94122	owner/resident
180. Norman lew	1038 Santiago St.	SF 94116	owner/resident
181. Wai Ying Lew	1038 Santiago St.	SF 94116	owner/resident

PETITION OPPOSING AT&T MOBILE ANTENNA INSTALLATION
 AT 2395-26TH AVENUE @ TARAVAL ST., SAN FRANCISCO 94116

182. Wo Shun	2438-27 th Ave.	SF 94116	owner/resident
183. Luwop Svsh	1201 Vicente St.	SF 94116	resident
184. Bixia Huang	2350-28 th Ave.	SF 94116	resident
185. Sui Lim Qong		SF 94116	owner/resident
186. Shu Guan	1858-23 rd St.	SF 94122	owner/resident
187. Betty Ko	1871-22 nd Ave.	SF 94122	owner/resident
188. Chi Xia Guo	2247-35 th Ave.	SF 94116	owner/resident
189. Fong Y Low	1474-30 th Ave.	SF 94122	owner/resident
190. Wai Lin Louie	1875-23 rd Ave.	SF 94122	owner/resident
191. Donna A Dudley	260 Beverly St.	SF 94132	owner
192. Anna Cardinale	58 Valparaiso St.	SF 94133	owner
193. Amena Panni	300-3 rd St	SF 94107	owner
194. Pamela Piccinini	771 Union St	SF 94133	
195. Aileen Sullivan	2491-21 st Ave	SF 94116	owner/resident
196. Rita Jordas	1545 Pacheco St.	SF 94122	
197. Norman Jnoyano		SF.	
198. Catherine O'G Smialcarilz	115 Pacheco St	SF 94116	owner/resident
199. Marcia Sosnick	1910-36 th Ave	SF 94116	owner/resident
200. Emily Bagatelos	2527-14 th Ave	SF 94127	owner/resident
201. Katherine Karsant	440 St. Francis Blvd	SF 94127	owner/resident
202. Joyce Lampert	57 Escondido Ave.	SF 94132	owner/resident
203. Patricia Gray	2315-15 th Ave.	SF 94116	
204. Yvonne Will	1920-14 th Ave.	SF 94122	owner/resident
205. Rich Pau	1838-18 th Ave.	SF 94122	owner/resident
206. Josephine P Eskennazi	2450-33 rd Ave.	SF 94116	owner/resident
207. Catherine M Paul	2330-34 th Ave.	SF 94116	
208. Margaret Schulz		SF	
209. Robert Black	2622-16 th Ave.	SF	resident
210. Helen Black	2622-16 th Ave.	SF	resident
211. Elenor Cutler	2028-14 th Ave.	SF 94116	resident
212. Patricia Aleck	1500-36 th Ave.	SF 94122	resident
213. Demetria Aleck	1500-36 th Ave.	SF 94122	resident
214. Regina O'Brian	94 Chicago Way	SF 941	owner/resident
215. Mary Pantagec	2980-19 th Ave.	SF 94132	owner/resident
216. Mary Koobatian	482 Gellert Drive	SF 94132	owner/resident
217. Mary McSweeney	370 Yerba Buena	SF 94127	owner/resident
218. Angrea Rago	1651 No. Point St.	SF 94123	resident
219. Wong Meelin	1567-32 nd Ave.	SF 94122	owner/resident
220. Wong Thomas	1567-32 nd Ave.	SF 94122	owner/resident
221. Matthew Kwan	3000 Kirkham St.	SF 94122	resident
222. Mary Cheng	2511-16 th Ave.	SF 94116	resident
223. Michael Fung	2233 Vicente St.	SF 94116	Owner CHU SUPPLIES

PETITION OPPOSING AT&T MOBILE ANTENNA INSTALLATION
AT 2395-26TH AVENUE @ TARAVAL ST., SAN FRANCISCO 94116

224. Charlotte Brown	2233 Vicente St	SF 94116	employee
225. Wallace Mac	2233 Vicente St	SF 94116	employee
226. Bgn	2233 Vicente St	SF 94116	employee
227. Ken Li	2250 Vicente St	SF 94116	employee COSMOS B
228. Gillbert Lee	2250 Vicente St	SF 94116	employee COSMOS B
229. Janzy Chin	1690-38 th Ave.	SF 94122	employee
230. Hung Kwong	1831-29 th Ave.	SF 94122	owner/resident
231. Sue Kwong	1831-29 th Ave.	SF 94122	owner/resident
232. Wilber Chiu	1690-38 th Ave.	SF 94122	owner/resident
233. Yen Chung	730 Judah St.	SF 94122	owner/resident

PETITION OPPOSING AT&T MOBILE ANTENNA INSTALLATION
 AT 2395-26TH AVENUE @ TARAVAL ST., SAN FRANCISCO 94116

SIGNATURES ON ORIGINAL PETITION (2011/2012) – within 500 ft.

234. Jennifer Petzall	2307-26th Ave.	SF 94116	Tenant
235. Demustheues Houtanal	2301-26th Ave.	SF 94116	Owner
236. Rebecca Chin	2323-26th Ave.	SF 94116	Owner
237. King Tang	2378-26th Ave.	SF 94116	Tenant
238. Guris Chkelev	2341-27th Ave.	SF 94116	Owner
239. Victoria Ayala	2335-26th Ave.	SF 94116	Owner
240. Cass Sandoval	2310-26th Ave.	SF 94116	Owner
241. Steven Vaccarozza	2318-26th Ave.	SF 94116	Tenant
242. Candy Myers	2326-26th Ave.	SF 94116	Tenant
243. Yev Geniy Rapoport	2342-26th Ave.	SF 94116	Owner
244. Regina Bozchenko	2342-26th Ave.	SF 94116	Owner
245. Rachel Donovan	2346-26th Ave.	SF 94116	Tenant
246. Sophia Papageorgiou	1425 Santiago St.	SF 94116	Owner
247. Melody Ann Copp	2379-26th Ave.	SF 94116	Employee
248. Inna Blyum	2395-26th Ave.	SF 94116	Tenant
249. Mikkael Khalikolor	2395-26th Ave.	SF 94116	Tenant
250. Michael Donovan	2346-26th Ave.	SF 94116	Tenant
251. William Lay	2395-26th Ave.	SF 94116	Tenant
252. Mimi Lay	2395-26th Ave.	SF 94116	Tenant
253. Daw Than	2395-26th Ave.	SF 94116	Tenant
254. Aaron Guillen	2370-26th Ave.	SF 94116	Tenant
255. Ian Hutchinson	2395-26th Ave.	SF 94116	Tenant
256. Marc Darcy	2475-25th Ave.	SF 94116	
257. James Lee	2400-25th Ave.	SF 94116	
258. Joan Lee	2400 Ulloa St.	SF 94116	
259. Helen Lee	2351-25th Ave.	SF 94116	Owner
260. Wei Cheng	2418-25th Ave.	SF 94116	
261. John Yang	2459-25th Ave.	SF 94116	
262. Yau Brennan	2471-25th Ave.	SF 94116	
263. Kwan Hop Ma	2315-25th Ave.	SF 94116	
264. Michelle See	2474-25th Ave.	SF 94116	Tenant
265. Nafiss Griffis	2474-25th Ave.	SF 94116	Tenant
266. Bei Sheng	2431-25th Ave.	SF 94116	Owner
267. Evan Rosen	2371-25th Ave.	SF 94116	
268. Roy Liu	2379-25th Ave.	SF 94116	
269. Mandy Fung	2409-25th Ave.	SF 94116	
270. Chris Nichols	1539 Taraval St.	SF 94116	Employee
271. Seiko Grant	1539 Taraval, #201	SF 94116	Employee
272. Lee Yanase	1539 Taraval, #201	SF 94116	Employee
273. Annie Li	1539 Taraval, #201	SF 94116	Employee

EXHIBIT E

Existing



Proposed




Photo simulation as seen looking west from Taraval Avenue

Prepared by
WW 03.27.2013
WW Design & Consulting, Inc.
3754 Cleveland Circle
Westfield, CA 94591
info@wwdesign.com



CN5723 Piano Studio
2395 26th Avenue, San Francisco, CA 94116

EXHIBIT F




EXHIBIT G

SPEAK SUNSET- PARKSIDE EDUCATION AND ACTION COMMITTEE

August 19, 2013

Omar Masry, Planner

Planning Department

1650 Mission Street


Re:2395 26th Avenue Case #20 1 1.0499C

While a three-story apartment building might appear to be a good site for a few rooftop antennas, the overall context of this site is a single-family neighborhood which abuts directly on the site. Looking beyond the immediate site itself, it is clear that this ATT Mobility (Cingular Wireless) installation is too intense a collection of antennas and related equipment for a site so close to a single-family neighborhood. It would give an industrial character to the site which would harm the character of the surroundings, especially its aesthetics and land use of houses, open space and small scale retail.

Please recommend that the Planning Commission deny this project or cause it to be drastically down-scaled to conform with the scale and character of the surrounding neighborhood.

Sincerely,

Mary Anne Miller President



SPEAK (Sunset-Parkside Education and Action Committee)

1329-7th Avenue

San Francisco, CA 941 22-2507

EXHIBIT H

Subj: **Case No. 2011.0499C -- Request for Continuance**
Date: 10/22/2013 5:46:45 P.M. Pacific Daylight Time
From: DavidLWilner@aol.com
To: commissions.secretary@sfgov.org

Mr. Ionin - We do not believe that the people opposing the installation of a cellular base station at 2395-26th Avenue will have a fair hearing on the matter (scheduled for November 14, 2013) due to improper conduct by the assigned Project Planner. First, we submitted opposition papers to the project on October 7, 2013, in accordance with the guidelines established by the Commission concerning "Hearing Materials" which state, in part:

Materials submitted to the Planning Commission prior to a scheduled hearing will become part of the public record only when the materials are also provided to the Commission Secretary and/or Project Planner. . . .

Advance Submissions: To allow Commissioners the opportunity to review material in advance of a hearing, materials must be received by the Planning Department reception eight (8) days prior to the scheduled public hearing. All submission packages must be delivered to 1650 Mission Street, Suite 400, by 5:00 p.m. and should include fifteen (15) copies."

However, our opposition papers were not distributed to the Commissioners and others (including the City Attorney) or made part of the public record as required. Instead, our material was scanned by the Project Planner, and sent to AT&T. As a result, AT&T requested a continuance so that it could review our submission, among other things.

This conduct shows a bias in favor of AT&T, and puts those opposed to the project at a disadvantage because the Commissioners and others did not have an opportunity to read our opposition papers. In addition, because they were not made part of the public record, those objecting to the project could not see them either.

Second, the Project Planner tried to force us to change some of the language in our opposition papers by threatening to discuss what he alleged to be a false statement on our part, among other things, in the staff report that would be submitted to the Planning Commission. This is further improper conduct by the Project Planner because he was attempting to change a document to be made public without proper authority.

Apparently the Project Planner believes that AT&T's project should be approved, and is willing to deny the due process rights of those people opposed to the cellular base station installation by any means necessary. We have a petition (which was submitted to the Project Planner) with approximately 273 signatures from people opposing the project along with an opposition letter from SPEAK, a community organization that has approximately 250 members. This means there are at least 523 people that deserve a fair hearing in this matter, and this right should not be denied due to an overzealous Project Planner.

Therefore, this matter should be taken off the agenda until such time as this complaint is resolved.

Sincerely,

David L. Wilner
Wilner & Associates
Novato, California
415-898-1200

EXHIBIT I

From: VRIHEAS, THEADORA K
To: Jonin, Jonas
Cc: Masry, Omar
Subject: FW: 2395-26th Avenue
Date: Thursday, October 10, 2013 2:17:57 PM

Jonas,

AT&T is requesting that this item be continued from the October 17th Planning Commission agenda until the November 14th agenda so that we may have additional time to review the materials recently submitted to the planning department by the community and to continue the dialog concerning the community's concerns.

Thanks,
Tedi

AT&T
External Affairs
525 Market Street, 19th Floor
SF, CA 94132
415-350-8100

EXHIBIT J

Subj: **RE: 2395 26th Avenue**
 Date: 10/7/2013 5:01:25 P.M. Pacific Daylight Time
 From: omar.masry@sfgov.org
 To: DavidLWilner@aol.com
 CC: Mariewilner@aol.com

Hi Mr. Wilner. I'm concerned that the information on Sheets 1 & 2 of your packet describes my discussion with Marie in a manner that is not in any respect accurate with regard to the proposed project. Specifically I would ask you to kindly revise the letter to reflect the items below. I would be happy to reprint the sheet(s) if you email it to me so that I may slip sheet it into all of the sets. If you choose not to, then I will need to respond to these items in my staff report and presentation.

As I indicated to Marie, when she asked why this site is being changed to industrial in use (per AT&T Mobility's plans and application); that designation is not indicating that the site is subject to a re-classification to industrial with respect to land use. Instead, it reflects building code related occupancy classifications for the antennas/equipment and equipment areas themselves. In my capacity I take inquiries from a wide spectrum of folks and I'm happy to walk you or Marie through the issues at hand as I have done on numerous calls/meetings. I've made numerous inquiries on areas where you have conveyed a concern (e.g. Marina Green COWS, hospital interference), whether related to the project or even when not reflective of an understanding of the technology (e.g. U-verse suggestion). I welcome the opportunity to engage with all manner of folks and welcome community input, even in areas of disagreement.

The statement "when the Planner assigned to the project was asked what the industrial uses might be, he told us to contact AT&T for the answer," is **false**, as is the idea that Planning would recommend approval for unspecified uses, and frankly lacks coherence.

In this proposal the principal use is as a neighborhood commercial land use. Wireless facilities are NOT classified as industrial uses by the Planning Code, and are instead classified as Public Uses (Planning Code Section 790.80). The Taraval Street Neighborhood Commercial District (Section 741.1 of the Planning Code) specifically allows for a Public Use (741.83), which include a Commercial Wireless Facility.

Site Classifications with Proposed Wireless Telecommunication Services (WTS) Facility:

Planning Code: Building would remain Neighborhood Commercial as the principal use, with parking and loading as accessory uses. The Commercial wireless facility is a Public Use.

Building Code: Various occupancies, including residential for the apartments, office for the office spaces, and unmanned or industrial for elements including but not limited to a proposed wireless facility. The building permits on my desk for other carriers list the wireless facilities as a "U" unmanned building code occupancy. If this is an area where you disagree I suggest you contact a qualified building plan checker/engineer.

For example: when a single family home is built the dwelling will be classified R-3 typically (residential), while the garage will have a "U" building occupancy. The presence of the other occupancy's does not change the overall land use. As such neither would your hypothetical prospective purchase/lease disclosure requirement apply.

The statement that AT&T is seeking a Conditional Use Permit which would allow non-specified industrial uses as well as the installation of a wireless telecommunications facility" is one that lacks coherence, does not reflect the proposed project, and creates the (false) inference that some additional unique industrial facility not related to antennas or associated electronic equipment is proposed.

Lastly, the discussion regarding the NOV appears inaccurate. For instance, it does not

mention that there were two violations, one for a water heater (the NOV you inquired about) and one for office space. Both NOV's have been cleared. No information has been shown, despite speculation, that the construction was related to the proposed facility.

OMAR MASRY, AICP | PLANNER

San Francisco Planning Department

omar.masry@sfgov.org


P. 415.575.9116 | F. 415.558.6409

1650 Mission Street | 4th Floor | San Francisco | CA 94103




EXHIBIT K

Photosimulation of view looking east along Irving Street, just west of 17th Avenue.



Existing

Woodside
 1555 Irving St
 San Francisco, CA 94122
 CN5263C

Proposed

EXHIBIT L

Subj: **2395 26th Avenue**
Date: 8/20/2013 3:18:07 P.M. Pacific Daylight Time
From: DavidLWilner@aol.com
To: omar.masry@sfgov.org
CC: jd3235@att.com, talin@townconsulting.com

Omar - The address for the alternative location is 1551 Taraval Street-2416 26th Avenue (see page 25 of the application). Would you please e-mail us the list of 349 owners/tenants within 500 feet of the proposed installation and 11 neighborhood community groups that were sent notice of the Community Outreach Meetings (see page 56 of the application). Thank you.

Sincerely,

David L. Wilner
Wilner & Associates
Novato, California
415-898-1200

In a message dated 8/20/2013 2:59:59 P.M. Pacific Daylight Time, omar.masry@sfgov.org writes:

Good afternoon. Were you able to track down the address of the potential site you mentioned yesterday as a potential alternate site to investigate.

Regards

OMAR MASRY, AICP | PLANNER
San Francisco Planning Department

omar.masry@sfgov.org

P. 415.575.9116 | F. 415.558.6409

1650 Mission Street | 4th Floor | San Francisco | CA 94103



EXHIBIT M

**Alternative Location Evaluated-H
1551 Taraval Street -2416 26th Avenue**



The building located at 1551 Taraval Street, 2416 26th Avenue is a mixed-use, commercial and residential, building located within the NC-2 (Neighborhood Commercial, Small Scale) zoning district, a Preference 5 Location under the WTS Guidelines. This structure is partially blocked by the adjacent buildings on its eastern side. Therefore, it was determined that this building was not the most suitable candidate within the defined search area.

EXHIBIT N

8



Mike Marcus
<mike@kdiplanning.com>
07/13/2011 05:48 PM

To "adrian.putra@sfgov.org" <Adrian.Putra@sfgov.org>
cc "CAMPOS, SHERRY A (ATTCINW)" <sc1593@att.com>, Ryan Cockrell <ryan.cockrell@ericsson.com>, "john@kdiplanning.com" <john@kdiplanning.com>
bcc
Subject AT&T Site CN5723 - 2395 26th Avenue - Response to Design Modification Request

History: This message has been replied to.

Mr. Putra,

To follow-up my voicemail from this afternoon and our previous telephone conversations (7/12 & 7/13) regarding SF Planning's requested modifications to the proposed AT&T site located at 2395 26th Avenue, I have touched-base with our RF engineering team and have feedback for you.

We are able to setback the two (2) sectors that front Taraval (Sectors B&C) by a total of 7' and the sector fronting 26th Avenue (Sector A) by the requested 8' without increasing the height. We are not able to meet a 1:1 ratio on the Sectors B & C due to the necessary down-tilt of the antennas that is required in order to meet the service objective. If the City would like an 8' setback for these two sectors, AT&T will need to increase the height to 9'.

Please advise.

Best,

Mike Marcus
KDI Land Use Planning
855 Folsom Street, Suite 106
San Francisco, CA 94107
805.234.2409 mobile



Please consider the environment before printing this e-mail

EXHIBIT O

AT&T MOBILITY PROPOSED CELLULAR BASE STATION

2395-26TH AVENUE

CASE NO. 2011.0499C

RECEIVED

NOV 06 2013

CITY & COUNTY OF S.F.
PLANNING DEPARTMENT
RECEPTION DESK

EXHIBITS A-S

Prepared by Wilner & Associates

P.O. Box 2340

Novato, CA 94948-2340

415-898-1200

WILNER & ASSOCIATES

P.O. Box 2340
Novato, CA 94948-2340
415-898-1200

MEMO

VIA HAND DELIVERY

DATE: October 7, 2013
TO: Omar Masry
FROM: David Wilner
RE: Opposition to AT&T Mobility Proposed Cellular Base Station at 2395-26th Avenue – Case No. 2011.0499C

Omar – Enclosed are fifteen (15) copies of the following:

1. Our opposition papers and Exhibits A through S. Exhibit A includes the petition signed by 273 people that are opposed to the project.
2. Letters from the people listed below that are also opposed to the installation:

Clinton Nishida – Letter dated October 6, 2013 (with attachments)

Mariann Nishida – Letter dated October 6, 2013 (with attachments)

Raymond Yick – E-Mail dated September 30, 2013

Mary Anne Miller, President of SPEAK – E-Mail dated August 19, 2013

It is our understanding that you will ensure that each Planning Commissioner is provided with a copy of the aforementioned documents along with your staff report by October 10, 2013. The remaining copies will be distributed in accordance with your e-mail of October 4, 2013. Thank you – DW

Copy: Supervisor Katy Tang

October 6, 2013

Mr. Omar Masry
San Francisco City and County Planning Department
1650 Mission St., Suite 400
San Francisco, CA 94103

Subject: Opposition to Proposed AT&T Mobility Wireless Telecommunications Facility (Case No. 2011.0499C)

Dear Mr. Omar Masry:

I am writing in opposition to the AT&T wireless telecommunication facility proposed at 2395 26th Avenue (Case No. 2011.0499C). I live across the street from the proposed site. My reasons for opposition are that the proposed project is inconsistent with the Planning Code Sections described below. Pursuant to Section 303(c), the Planning Commission needs to find that AT&T has established certain facts stated in the Section before approving a conditional use authorization. I present here evidence to show that AT&T has not established these facts, and therefore, I request the Planning Commission to deny the conditional use authorization of the proposed site.

1. Inconsistent with Code Section 303(c):

(1) That the proposed use or feature, at the size and intensity contemplated and at the proposed location, will provide a development that is necessary or desirable for, and compatible with, the neighborhood or the community.

Incompatible with the neighborhood: The Taraval Neighborhood Commercial District is composed mostly of residential buildings and independently owned small businesses in commercial spaces. An over-towering industrial structure is not harmonious with other structures in this small community neighborhood. The size of the proposed feature is incompatible with the surrounding buildings. This neighborhood does not have other wireless telecommunications structures or chimneys that are as high and voluminous on rooftops. AT&T's simulation photos do not accurately depict the actual height of the antennas. AT&T placed 3 poles on the subject rooftop to show residents the actual antenna heights and placement (note: the proposed number of antennas is 6). Please see attached photos taken at similar distances and angles to those of the simulation photos (Figs. 1-4). The heights of all poles are much higher (some twice as high) than the chimney heights in the simulation photos. The poles are only a few inches in diameter. The faux chimneys will be up to 30" diameter, larger than some industrial factory smoke stacks. The faux chimneys will be highly visible from the street level in all directions due to the site's corner location. They will be an undesirable, dominant feature and not in character of this neighborhood with many historic and architecturally significant buildings.

Necessity not shown: AT&T did not establish the necessity of the proposed site based on data. AT&T has submitted false data. False data and any assessments based on false data

must be made inadmissible. In addition, AT&T has omitted relevant data from an already approved site. This approved site would greatly improve the coverage gap near the proposed site. The evidence below shows that AT&T has not established the lack of coverage or capacity in the area.

A. False data

This application's 24-hour and 7-day Traffic Profile Graphs are exact duplicates of those submitted for an already approved macrosite at 725 Taraval St. (2012.0648C, Planning Commissioners approval: 9/19/13). It is not possible for these graphs to be exactly the same as they are based on actual customer usage which continually change even at the same site. These sites have no overlapping areas of significant coverage gap. False data must be removed from this application and any assessments based on the false data must be removed. Based on these graphs, AT&T claimed that the proposed site is necessary because the current capacity cannot meet the demand. However, **this false data is the only purported "evidence" presented to support this assertion.** Other figures in the application only show coverage but no capacity data.

AT&T's independent evaluator (Edison and Hammett Inc.) assessed the coverage. Their methodology is based on the information in these traffic profile maps. Thus, the Edison and Hammett evaluation should be inadmissible because it was based on the traffic profile maps for 725 Taraval and not 2395 26th Ave.

Submission of false data is a serious offense whether it is due to incompetence or otherwise, because the CU application process is based on trust that applicants submit true and real data. It leads to question the authenticity of any of AT&T's data as they will not disclose raw data. In addition, it is alarming that the same AT&T employees and consultants (including their engineering consultant Edison and Hammett) looked at these graphs from the two sites and did notice anything. (Note: These same graphs have been in the CU application file since almost a year ago – 11/8/12. These graphs were the only traffic profile maps ever submitted by AT&T for this application.)

B. Omitted data

This CU application omitted service coverage improvement by the already approved AT&T macrosite at 725 Taraval St. This approved site will greatly improve the significant service coverage gap in our area, providing acceptable coverage even during high demand periods eastward from 26th Ave. between Santiago and Ulloa Sts (Fig. 5). This figure shows the improvement by this approved site based on data AT&T submitted in that site's CU application. When the aforementioned improved areas are excluded, the proposed site **only improves an area totaling approximately one and a half blocks** in the service coverage gap area (shown in black). This area is 100% residential and along low traffic roads. This coverage gap area is close to the existing AT&T microsite at 2395 29th Avenue, consisting of only 2 antennas. AT&T

did not justify the need for a new macrosite to improve coverage in such a small residential area instead of upgrading this existing microsite.

If the CU application is based mostly on the need for 4G service, then AT&T must justify why they have decided to leave a coverage gap from 21st to 23rd Aves. between Taraval St. and Ulloa St. These areas will have no 4G coverage from this proposed site, the approved site at 725 Taraval St., or any other AT&T sites. These areas are about one block from Lincoln High School and include particularly high foot- and car-traffic areas of Taraval St. This 4G coverage gap extends 2-3 blocks on Taraval St. which consist of 2 heavily used muni-stops, city library, park, and 21 businesses (including 7 eateries). This area has very high foot traffic with large groups of high school students gathering in the area for a long time at lunch and after school.

2. Inconsistent with Code Section 303(c):

(2) That such use or feature as proposed will not be detrimental to the health, safety, convenience or general welfare of persons residing or working in the vicinity, or injurious to property, improvements or potential development in the vicinity.

(A) The nature of the proposed site, including its size and shape, the proposed size, shape, and arrangement of structure.

A property immediately west to the proposed site is within the Public Exclusion Area of 57 feet. It is a property located at 1626-1630 Taraval St. The property line is about 30 feet from the front surface of the southwestern antennas. This property is lower than the proposed site, but in the future the property owner will be unable to build additional stories on the building due to risk of RF overexposure. The proposed site will be "injurious to property, improvements or potential development in the vicinity" in contradiction to Section 303(c).

The landowners of the adjacent properties have rights to airspace above their properties. A landowner's property interest in the land extends to the airspace directly over the property to the extent that the airspace can be used to benefit the underlying land. A landowner is protected against intrusions in the airspace immediate and direct as to subtract from the owner's full enjoyment of the property and to limit his/her exploitation of it. The fact that s/he does not occupy it in a physical sense – by the erection of buildings and the like – is not material (*United States v. Causby*, 328 U.S. 256 (U.S. 1946)). Therefore, AT&T cannot install antennas that restrict land use of the owner of the adjacent property without permission.

If AT&T is unable to obtain permission from this landowner, AT&T must show the new placement, setback, and angle of antennas. New designs must be presented to the Planning Commission so they can be viewed by the public. In addition, AT&T would need to address the need for power increase if these antennas are angled more easterly to compensate for signal interference from the nearby existing Sprint wireless telecommunications facility (at 1633 Taraval St., proposed to be upgraded to macrosite). Such a power increase can raise the RF levels to a level detrimental to health and safety of residents and workers in the neighborhood.

Based on the above points, AT&T has not satisfied Sections 303(c)(1) and (2)(A) required for the approval of the conditional use authorization. Therefore, I ask the Commission to deny the approval of AT&T's application. I appreciate your taking the time to consider this matter.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in dark ink, appearing to read "Clinton Nishida", written in a cursive style.

Clinton Nishida
1633 Taraval Street, #204
San Francisco, CA 94116

Fig. 1: Pole Installation: 2395 26th Ave. (From the South, 9/4/13)



Fig. 2: From the West, 9/5/13



Fig. 3: From the North, 9/4/13




Fig. 4: From the East, 9/4/13



Exhibit 2 - Proposed Site at 2395 26th Ave (CN5723)

Service Area BEFORE site is constructed

Figure 5.



October 6, 2013

Mr. Omar Masry
San Francisco City and County Planning Department
1650 Mission St., Suite 400
San Francisco, CA 94103

Subject: Opposition to Proposed AT&T Mobility Wireless Telecommunications Facility (Case No. 2011.0499C)

Dear Mr. Omar Masry:

I am writing in opposition to the AT&T wireless telecommunication facility proposed at 2395 26th Avenue (Case No. 2011.0499C). My reasons for opposition are that the proposed project is not consistent with Planning Code Section 101.1(2) and (3), Section 303(c)(2)(C), and Resolution No. 18523. In addition, the site analysis should have eliminated the proposed site based on AT&T's logic. The conduct of AT&T and its consultant have also raised serious questions regarding the authenticity and validity of their data. The details of these points are presented below.

A. Inconsistent with Section 101.1(2):

That existing housing and neighborhood character be conserved and protected in order to preserve the cultural and economic diversity of our neighborhood.

The proposed site will cause significant visual impact on the surrounding area and adversely change the neighborhood character. AT&T installed 3 poles on the rooftop of the proposed site to simulate the visual impact of faux chimneys. Figure 1 shows 2 poles that are visible even 4 blocks away (more than 4 football fields). The poles are just a few inches in diameter instead of 18" or 30" diameter as in the proposed faux chimneys. There will also be 6 faux chimneys instead of 3. Faux chimneys of this large scale will negatively affect the character of the existing housing and neighborhood. This neighborhood is composed of mostly residential properties with many historically significant houses. For example, in a two-block radius of this proposed site, there are 27 houses constructed in 1908 for the first residents of the Parkside District. The large industrial rooftop structure of the proposed site diminishes such unique neighborhood character.

The existing coverage gap at the proposed site will be significantly improved by a recently approved AT&T macrosite at 725 Taraval St. (2012.0648C, Planning Commissioners approval: 9/19/13). After the installation of the approved site, the significant coverage gap area in our neighborhood will amount to a 1.5-block area. This remaining coverage gap area is entirely residential with no high traffic roads. Therefore, it is highly doubtful that there will be any significant positive cultural or economic impact from improvement in such a small residential area.

B. Inconsistent with Section 101.2(3):

That the City's supply of affordable housing be preserved and enhanced.

Housing in this area is in high demand due to its close proximity to San Francisco State University and major modes of transportation. The proposed site will diminish the supply of already limited housing in the area. AT&T's architectural plan (A-8, 9/17/13) shows what is labeled an existing telco room occupying the second floor residential unit (note: AT&T labeled it first floor). The same residents have been living in this unit for many years, and there has been no change of use from residential to non-residential to allow this installation. If there is an existing telcom room, it was built in violation for this reason and due to the lack of building permit and electrical permit for this work. The residents in this unit will be displaced to allow for a legal telcom room.

C. Inconsistent with Section 303(c)(2)(C):

The safeguards afforded to prevent noxious or offensive emissions such as noise, glare, dust and odor.

There is a residential unit immediately above the garage where the equipment cabinets will be located. The noise-generating equipment will be placed about 1.5 feet from the ceiling of the garage. Thus, the noise will most likely exceed the limit of fixed residential interior noise set forth by Police Code Section 2909(d). This section restricts noise generated by fixed noise source (such as mechanical equipment) in a dwelling unit during late night and early morning hours. I live in a building with wireless telecommunication equipment cabinets in the garage. Despite being a small-scale microsite facility, the equipment cabinets generate a high level of noise which, at 6 feet away, is equivalent to that of a vacuum cleaner. The cabinet noise is generated non-stop. For the proposed site, AT&T has not submitted results of a noise study to show that the noise level will be within legal limits in the residential unit.

D. Inconsistent with Planning Commission Resolution No. 18523

Planning Commission Resolution No. 18523 (1/26/12) requires AT&T to hire an independent evaluator to assess "maps, data, and conclusions about service coverage and capacity submitted by the wireless service provider." The independent evaluator for this application is the company Edison and Hammett Inc. While the Commission did not define the meaning of "independent evaluator," one would presume that such a company is a neutral third party without vested interest. Edison and Hammett is not a third party as their employee (Mr. Raj Mathur) has represented AT&T in multiple community outreach meetings to provide information in support of the proposed macrosite. Edison and Hammett have long-standing relationships with AT&T and other telecommunications companies. For ethical reasons, this fact should have been disclosed in addition to their level of involvement in the proposed site. In fact, Edison and Hammett have been involved in all past AT&T CU applications in SF that I have searched. They have been involved with their CU applications not only in San Francisco but also in numerous other Bay Area cities. With such high vested interest, it is difficult to apply the word "independent" to describe the nature of the relationship between Edison and Hammett and AT&T. These facts lead to question whether it is acceptable to include an

“independent evaluation” from a deeply involved party as it defeats the purpose of this resolution.

E. Inconsistent alternative site analysis

The proposed site should have been eliminated as a suitable location for this WTS facility based on AT&T's own site analysis. At the proposed site, the building is blocked in the southeast direction by much taller buildings. This situation prevents the radiofrequency (RF) signals from having a clear, unobstructed path. In the site analysis, AT&T eliminated 5 candidate sites within the defined search area SOLELY because they were partially blocked in one direction by nearby buildings. These buildings are taller than the building at the proposed location by up to one or more story heights due to the downward slope of the proposed site. Based on AT&T's own site analysis method, this proposed site should have been eliminated as it is the shortest of these buildings.

F. Intentionally misleading information in the CU application

AT&T has continued to include misleading information even after being shown photographs to refute their statement. In the community outreach meeting (12/3/12), AT&T and its consultants were asked about the proposed site being blocked by other buildings. They claimed that the proposed site was taller than other buildings. A resident showed them several photographs indicating to the contrary, but AT&T and its consultant did not admit to that fact. Former Supervisor Carmen Chu was present at this meeting and also witnessed this heated discussion. The current CU application has not been changed to address this issue. Therefore, the application intentionally misleads the Planning Commissioners and residents into thinking that the proposed site provides clear, unobstructed signal paths. Any intentional misleading information should be grounds for dismissal of this application, because this information is significant to deem sites unsuitable based on AT&T's own site analysis method (See Section E above).


G. Inappropriateness of granting hearings and CU approvals to AT&T

In 2010, the Department of City Planning found violations by AT&T in several existing sites. Mr. Jonas Ionin at the time stated to the media that new antenna approvals are on hold until AT&T abates their violations (details of sites were not released). Based on my research, I found many existing AT&T sites in violation, including sites without CU permits and/or building permits. They are no pending permit applications for these sites and some sites have existed for years prior to 2010. Therefore, I question the appropriateness of granting hearings and CU approvals of new AT&T sites when there are ongoing violations in the existing sites. AT&T is having an unfair advantage over other wireless telecommunication companies that are abiding by city regulations, and consequently the city is encouraging others to violate regulations. I request that AT&T provide the following information regarding appropriate permits for all existing sites: use permit approval, including for conditional use and accessory use (case number and date), and major building permits such as for installation of new sites and addition of antennas/equipment cabinets.

Based on the above points, I ask the Planning Commission to disapprove this conditional use authorization. This project constructs a facility not in character with the neighborhood, lacks data to show need, and diminishes affordable housing. AT&T has not shown that the facility is desirable or necessary in this neighborhood.

Thank you for your time and consideration.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in cursive script, appearing to read "Mariann Nishida".

Mariann Nishida
1633 Taraval Street, #204
San Francisco, CA 94116




Figure 1. Two poles visible 4 blocks away from the proposed site (more than 4 football fields away)

In a message dated 9/30/2013 3:57:38 P.M. Pacific Daylight Time, rsyick.2k9@gmail.com writes:

Mr. Omar Masry:

We are the owner of 2383-2385 26th Avenue property adjacent to the project requesting establishment of a Wireless Telecommunication Services(WTS) facility operated by ATT Mobility at 2395 26th Avenue. We are in total opposition to the authorization of the facility which is directly adjacent to our property. We are concerned with the close proximity of such a facility so close to our property and the tenants exposed to the unknown effects of long term RF Electromagnetic emissions from the requested antennas! We hope this request not be granted!

Raymond Yick, Retired
Senior Transportation Engineer
Safety Division
California Public Utilities Commission

A handwritten signature in black ink that reads "Raymond Yick". The signature is written in a cursive style with a large, stylized "R" and "Y".

Subj: **2395 26th Avenue**
Date: 8/19/2013 5:34:55 P.M. Pacific Daylight Time
From: ma-miller@msn.com
To: omar.masry@sfgov.org

Please consider the opposition of the longstanding neighborhood group, SPEAK, to this project.
See letter below.

August 19, 2013

Omar Masry, Planner
Planning Department
1650 Mission Street

Re:2395 26th Avenue
Case #2011.0499C

While a three-story apartment building might appear to be a good site for a few rooftop antennas, the overall context of this site is a single-family neighborhood which abuts directly on the site. Looking beyond the immediate site itself, it is clear that this ATT Mobility (Cingular Wireless) installation is too intense a collection of antennas and related equipment for a site so close to a single-family neighborhood. It would give an industrial character to the site which would harm the character of the surroundings, especially its aesthetics and land use of houses, open space and small scale retail.

Please deny this project or cause it to be drastically down-scaled to conform with the scale and character of the surrounding neighborhood.

Sincerely,

Mary Anne Miller, President
SPEAK (Sunset-Parkside Education and Action Committee)

=

EXHIBIT P

MATERIAL SAFETY DATA SHEET

LEAD ACID BATTERY



C. Emergency and First Aid Procedures:

1. Inhalation: Remove from exposure, move to fresh air, and apply oxygen if breathing is difficult. Consult physician immediately.
2. Skin: Wash with plenty of soap and water for at least 15 minutes. Remove any contaminated clothing. Consult physician if skin irritation appears.
3. Eyes: Flush with plenty of water immediately for at least 15 minutes, lifting lower and upper eyelids occasionally. Consult a physician immediately.
4. Ingestion: Do not induce vomiting. Give large quantities of water. Never give anything by mouth to an unconscious person. Consult a physician immediately.

D. HANDLING AND STORAGE

1. Safe Storage: Store in a cool, dry place in closed containers. Keep away from ignition sources and high temperatures.
 1. Contact NorthStar Battery Company (417-575-8200) for shelf life information.
2. Handling: Avoid skin or eye contact. Avoid breathing vapors. Do not use near sources of ignition

V. CARCINOGENICITY: See section IV, Part B "Signs and Symptoms of Over Exposure"
MEDICAL CONDITIONS AGGRAVATED BY EXPOSURE: See section IV, Part B "Signs and Symptoms of Over Exposure"

VI. FIRE AND EXPLOSION HAZARD DATA:

- A. Flash Point: Hydrogen = 259°C
- B. Auto ignition Temperature: Hydrogen = 580°C
- C. Extinguishing Media: Dry chemical, foam, CO₂
- D. Unusual Fire and Explosion Hazards: Hydrogen and oxygen gases are produced in the cells during normal battery operation (hydrogen is flammable and oxygen supports combustion). These gases enter the air through the vent caps. To avoid the chance of a fire or explosion, keep sparks and other sources of ignition away from the battery.
- E. Firefighting PPE: Full protective clothing and
NIOSH-approved self-contained breathing apparatus with full facepiece

VII. REACTIVITY DATA:

- A. Stability: Stable
- B. Conditions to Avoid: Sparks and other sources of ignition.
- C. Incompatibility: (materials to avoid)

EXHIBIT Q

Subj: **Re: AT&T Mobility Proposed Installation at 2395-26th Avenue**
Date: 4/3/2013 9:08:50 A.M. Pacific Daylight Time
From: corey@kdiplanning.com
To: DavidLWilner@aol.com
CC: boe@goodyearpeterson.com, no896u@att.com, hannah.borris@ericsson.com,
chad.baran@ericsson.com

David,

I am working on your request and should be able forward you the materials by this coming Friday.

Sincerely,

Corey Alvin
KDI Land Use Planning
855 Folsom Street, Suite 106
San Francisco, CA 94107
C: 415.760.9763
F: 415.341.1365

From: <DavidLWilner@aol.com>
Date: Tuesday, April 2, 2013 12:56 PM
To: Corey Alvin <corey@kdiplanning.com>
Cc: <boe@goodyearpeterson.com>
Subject: AT&T Mobility Proposed Installation at 2395-26th Avenue

Re: Follow-up to March 4, 2013 Community Outreach Meeting

Corey - I would appreciate receiving the drawing and specifications for the equipment that will be located in the garage at the above-address. Also, AT&T Mobility's explanation of why it is necessary to have an estimated 8,500 watts of effective radiated power at the proposed installation site. Thank you.


Sincerely,

David L. Wilner
Wilner & Associates
Novato, California
415-898-1200

Copy: Boe Hayward, External Affairs

EXHIBIT R

Figure B1-2. Estimated "worst case" horizontal* distances that should be maintained from a single sectorized, **cellular base station** antenna to meet FCC RF exposure guidelines



* These distances are based on exposure at same level as antenna, for example, on a rooftop or in a building directly across from and at the same height as the antenna.

Note: These estimates are "worst case", assuming a sectorized antenna using 21 channels. If the systems are using fewer channels, the actual horizontal distances that must be maintained will be less. Cellular sectorized antennas transmit more or less in one direction from the antenna in a horizontal direction and transmit relatively little energy directly toward the ground.

EXHIBIT S



Published on *FCC.gov* (<http://www.fcc.gov>)

[Home](#) > [Printer-friendly](#) > Printer-friendly

FAQS - Internet

The FCC does not regulate the Internet or Internet Service Providers (ISPs). You may contact your state consumer protection office or if there is possible fraud involved, you may contact the [Federal Trade Commission](#) [1].

Our most commonly asked questions about the Internet are:

- [What is Broadband?](#)
 - [How do I file a complaint against my Internet Service Provider?](#)
 - [I have been connected to a web site and now I am being charged for international calls on my telephone bill. How do I file a complaint?](#)
-

What is Broadband?

Broadband refers most commonly to a new generation of **high-speed transmission services**, which allows users to access the Internet and Internet-related services at significantly higher speeds than traditional modems.

How do I file a complaint against my Internet Service Provider?

The FCC does not regulate the Internet or Internet Service Providers (ISPs). You may contact your state consumer protection office or if there is possible fraud involved, you may contact the [Federal Trade Commission](#) [1].

I have been connected to a web site and now I am being charged for international calls on my telephone bill. How do I file a complaint?

You may file a complaint with the FCC by using the FCC's [On-line Consumer Complaint Form](#) [2].

[More consumer information on internet issues](#) [3].

Old Url:

<http://www.fcc.gov/cgb/internet.html>

Source URL: <http://www.fcc.gov/encyclopedia/faqs-internet>

Links:

[1] <http://www.ftc.gov/>

[2] <http://www.fcc.gov/complaints>

[3] <http://www.fcc.gov/encyclopedia/consumer-publications-library#Bband>

Mr. Omar Masry, Case Planner
San Francisco Planning Department
Case #: 2011.0499C
cc: Supervisor Katy Tang, District 4

PETITION OPPOSING AT&T-MOBILE ANTENNAS AT 2395-26TH AVENUE @ TARAVAL ST.

We, the undersigned neighborhood property owners, residents, business owners and employees located within a 500 ft. radius of 2395-26th Avenue, San Francisco, CA 94116 OPPOSE the proposed installation at 2395-26th Avenue @ Taraval St. of an INDUSTRIAL AT&T Mobility Wireless Communication Facility comprised of 6 antennas and their associated equipment and power cabinets. The project scope, height and industrial design are not compatible with the architecture and aesthetics of our historic Parkside predominantly residential / small retail neighborhood. The visual clutter and blight of 7 to 8 ft. high chimney stacks and 18 antennas within 300 ft. will create an antenna farm; alter views and impact property values. AT&T Mobility may offer to reduce the height to 4 or 5 feet, but this too would be objectionable because the number of antennas would increase for technical reasons. The long term effects of this facility's RF electromagnetic radiation emissions from multiple antennas on public health and safety is of great concern.

Alice Chui
Print Name
2375 26th avenue
Street Address
resident
Owner/Resident/Business/Employee
[Signature]
Signature
SF, CA 94116
City, State & Zip Code
Telephone or Email Address

YUEHJIAN CHIU
Print Name
2375 26th Avenue
Street Address
owner
Owner/Resident/Business/Employee
[Signature]
Signature
SF, CA 94116
City, State & Zip Code
Telephone or Email Address

MING CHIU
Print Name
2375, 26th AVE
Street Address
owner
Owner/Resident/Business/Employee
[Signature]
Signature
SF, CA 94116
City, State & Zip Code
Telephone or Email Address

CEZANNE M. TOCCHINI
Print Name
2379-26TH AVE.
Street Address
Owner
Owner/Resident/Business/Employee
[Signature]
Signature
SF CA 94116
City, State & Zip Code
LORCEZANN@AOL.COM
Telephone or Email Address

JON TOCCHINI
Print Name
2381 26TH AVE
Street Address
RESIDENT
Owner/Resident/Business/Employee
[Signature]
Signature
S.F. CA. 94116
City, State & Zip Code
Jontocchini@comcast.net
Telephone or Email Address

Mr. Omar Masry, Case Planner
San Francisco Planning Department
Case #: 2011.0499C
cc: Supervisor Katy Tang, District 4

PETITION OPPOSING AT&T-MOBILE ANTENNAS AT 2395-26TH AVENUE @ TARAVAL ST.

We, the undersigned neighborhood property owners, residents, business owners and employees located within a 500 ft. radius of 2395-26th Avenue, San Francisco, CA 94116 OPPOSE the proposed installation at 2395-26th Avenue @ Taraval St. of an INDUSTRIAL AT&T Mobility Wireless Communication Facility comprised of 6 antennas and their associated equipment and power cabinets. The project scope, height and industrial design are not compatible with the architecture and aesthetics of our historic Parkside predominantly residential / small retail neighborhood. The visual clutter and blight of 7 to 8 ft. high chimney stacks and 18 antennas within 300 ft. will create an antenna farm; alter views and impact property values. AT&T Mobility may offer to reduce the height to 4 or 5 feet, but this too would be objectionable because the number of antennas would increase for technical reasons. The long term effects of this facility's RF electromagnetic radiation emissions from multiple antennas on public health and safety is of great concern.

CHO UN PANG
Print Name
2378 26TH AVE
Street Address
Owner/Resident/Business/Employee
CHO UN PANG
Signature
S.F. CA 94116
City, State & Zip Code
(415) 926-1213
Telephone or Email Address

Kun wa Pang
Print Name
2378 26TH AVE
Street Address
Owner/Resident/Business/Employee
[Signature]
Signature
S.F. CA 94116
City, State & Zip Code
Telephone or Email Address

MIRJANA MISTIC
Print Name
Lei Mistic
Signature
2319-26th AVE
Street Address
San Francisco, CA 94116
City, State & Zip Code
915 742-0827
Telephone or Email Address
Owner/Resident/Business/Employee

P. BACKOVIC
Print Name
P. Backovic
Signature
2319-26th AVE
Street Address
San Francisco CA 94116
City, State & Zip Code
Owner/Resident/Business/Employee
Telephone or Email Address

John Weeks
Print Name
John Weeks
Signature
2343 26th Ave
Street Address
SF CA 94116
City, State & Zip Code
415-681-1965
Telephone or Email Address
Owner/Resident/Business/Employee

Mr. Omar Masry, Case Planner
San Francisco Planning Department
Case #: 2011.0499C
cc: Supervisor Katy Tang, District 4

PETITION OPPOSING AT&T-MOBILE ANTENNAS AT 2395-26TH AVENUE @ TARAVAL ST.

We, the undersigned neighborhood property owners, residents, business owners and employees located within a 500 ft. radius of 2395-26th Avenue, San Francisco, CA 94116 OPPOSE the proposed installation at 2395-26th Avenue @ Taraval St. of an INDUSTRIAL AT&T Mobility Wireless Communication Facility comprised of 6 antennas and their associated equipment and power cabinets. The project scope, height and industrial design are not compatible with the architecture and aesthetics of our historic Parkside predominantly residential / small retail neighborhood. The visual clutter and blight of 7 to 8 ft. high chimney stacks and 18 antennas within 300 ft. will create an antenna farm; alter views and impact property values. AT&T Mobility may offer to reduce the height to 4 or 5 feet, but this too would be objectionable because the number of antennas would increase for technical reasons. The long term effects of this facility's RF electromagnetic radiation emissions from multiple antennas on public health and safety is of great concern.

XIAO LAN MA
Print Name

2358 26TH AVE
Street Address

Owner/Resident/Business/Employee

Xiao Lan Ma
Signature

SF CA 94116
City, State & Zip Code

415-665-6139
Telephone or Email Address

LIU, ZI QIANG
Print Name

SF CA 9446
Street Address

415-665-9072
Owner/Resident/Business/Employee

Zi Qiang Liu
Signature

2358-26TH AVE
City, State & Zip Code

Telephone or Email Address

Huizhen Hu
Print Name

2858-26TH AVE
Street Address

Owner/Resident/Business/Employee

Huizhen Hu
Signature

SF, CA 94116
2358-
City, State & Zip Code

Telephone or Email Address

Shim Fong
Print Name

2374-26TH AVE
Street Address

Owner/Resident/Business/Employee

Shim Fong
Signature

SF, CA 94116
City, State & Zip Code

415 784-3519
Telephone or Email Address

Robert Fong
Print Name

~~2374~~ 2374-26TH AVE
Street Address

Owner/Resident/Business/Employee

Robert Fong
Signature

94116
SAN FRANCISCO
City, State & Zip Code

415-731-3519
Telephone or Email Address

Mr. Omar Masry, Case Planner
San Francisco Planning Department
Case #: 2011.0499C
cc: Supervisor Katy Tang, District 4

PETITION OPPOSING AT&T-MOBILE ANTENNAS AT 2395-26TH AVENUE @ TARAVAL ST.

We, the undersigned neighborhood property owners, residents, business owners and employees located within a 500 ft. radius of 2395-26th Avenue, San Francisco, CA 94116 **OPPOSE** the proposed installation at 2395-26th Avenue @ Taraval St. of an **INDUSTRIAL** AT&T Mobility Wireless Communication Facility comprised of 6 antennas and their associated equipment and power cabinets. The project scope, height and industrial design are not compatible with the architecture and aesthetics of our historic Parkside predominantly residential / small retail neighborhood. The visual clutter and blight of 7 to 8 ft. high chimney stacks and 18 antennas within 300 ft. will create an antenna farm; alter views and impact property values. AT&T Mobility may offer to reduce the height to 4 or 5 feet, but this too would be objectionable because the number of antennas would increase for technical reasons. The long term effects of this facility's RF electromagnetic radiation emissions from multiple antennas on public health and safety is of great concern.

Lilia Zheltova
Print Name 2395 26th Ave. #11
Street Address Owner/Resident/Business/Employee

Lilia Zheltova
Signature San Francisco, CA 94116
City, State & Zip Code Lilia Zheltova @ 415 690 3136
Telephone or Email Address

Sergey Khalikulov
Print Name 2395 26th Ave # 11
Street Address Owner/Resident/Business/Employee

Sergey
Signature San Francisco, CA 94116
City, State & Zip Code (415) 823-4246
Telephone or Email Address

TOMMY ABIB
Print Name 2395 26th Ave Apt #8
Street Address SF, CA 94116
Owner/Resident/Business/Employee (415) 690-3136

Tommy
Signature _____
City, State & Zip Code _____
Telephone or Email Address

Jeff Ryan
Print Name 2395 26th Ave #1
Street Address CA 94116
Owner/Resident/Business/Employee

Jeff Ryan
Signature _____
City, State & Zip Code _____
Telephone or Email Address 415 699 9965

Deborah Rojas
Print Name 2395-26th Ave #9
Street Address Owner/Resident/Business/Employee

Deborah Rojas
Signature SF CA 94116
City, State & Zip Code droyano@aol.com
Telephone or Email Address

Mr. Omar Masry, Case Planner
San Francisco Planning Department
Case #: 2011.0499C
cc: Supervisor Katy Tang, District 4

PETITION OPPOSING AT&T-MOBILE ANTENNAS AT 2395-26TH AVENUE @ TARAVAL ST.

We, the undersigned neighborhood property owners, residents, business owners and employees located within a 500 ft. radius of 2395-26th Avenue, San Francisco, CA 94116 OPPOSE the proposed installation at 2395-26th Avenue @ Taraval St. of an INDUSTRIAL AT&T Mobility Wireless Communication Facility comprised of 6 antennas and their associated equipment and power cabinets. **The project scope, height and industrial design are not compatible with the architecture and aesthetics of our historic Parkside predominantly residential / small retail neighborhood.** The visual clutter and blight of 7 to 8 ft. high chimney stacks and 18 antennas within 300 ft. will create an antenna farm; alter views and impact property values. AT&T Mobility may offer to reduce the height to 4 or 5 feet, but this too would be objectionable because the number of antennas would increase for technical reasons. **The long term effects of this facility's RF electromagnetic radiation emissions from multiple antennas on public health and safety is of great concern.**

YUK YING KWAN
Print Name
2363 26TH AVENUE
Street Address
Owner/Resident/Business/Employee
Yuk Ying Kwan
Signature
SAN FRANCISCO CA 94116
City, State & Zip Code
415-564-2299
Telephone or Email Address

BETTY KWAN
Print Name
2363 26TH AVENUE
Street Address
Owner/Resident/Business/Employee
Betty Kwan
Signature
SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94116
City, State & Zip Code
415-564-2299
Telephone or Email Address

GRACE WONG
Print Name
2331 26th AVE.
Street Address
Owner/Resident/Business/Employee
[Signature]
Signature
S.F CA 94116
City, State & Zip Code
415 564-5216
Telephone or Email Address

JULIA WONG
Print Name
2331 26th AVE
Street Address
Owner/Resident/Business/Employee
[Signature]
Signature
S.F CA 94116
City, State & Zip Code
(415) 819-4936
Telephone or Email Address

FRANCES KEARNEY 2362-26 AVE
Print Name
2362-26 AVE
Street Address
Owner/Resident/Business/Employee
Frances Kearney
Signature
SF CA 94116
City, State & Zip Code
415-979-0500
Telephone or Email Address

Mr. Omar Masry, Case Planner
San Francisco Planning Department
Case #: 2011.0499C
cc: Supervisor Katy Tang, District 4

PETITION OPPOSING AT&T-MOBILE ANTENNAS AT 2395-26TH AVENUE @ TARAVAL ST.

We, the undersigned neighborhood property owners, residents, business owners and employees located within a 500 ft. radius of 2395-26th Avenue, San Francisco, CA 94116 OPPOSE the proposed installation at 2395-26th Avenue @ Taraval St. of an INDUSTRIAL AT&T Mobility Wireless Communication Facility comprised of 6 antennas and their associated equipment and power cabinets. The project scope, height and industrial design are not compatible with the architecture and aesthetics of our historic Parkside predominantly residential / small retail neighborhood. The visual clutter and blight of 7 to 8 ft. high chimney stacks and 18 antennas within 300 ft. will create an antenna farm; alter views and impact property values. AT&T Mobility may offer to reduce the height to 4 or 5 feet, but this too would be objectionable because the number of antennas would increase for technical reasons. The long term effects of this facility's RF electromagnetic radiation emissions from multiple antennas on public health and safety is of great concern.

Aida Seballos 2355-26th Ave Owner/Resident
Print Name Street Address Owner/Resident/Business/Employee
Aida Seballos San Francisco 94116 (415) 665-562
Signature City, State & Zip Code Telephone or Email Address

BERNARD HAAS 2371-26th AVE RESIDENT
Print Name Street Address Owner/Resident/Business/Employee
Bernard Haas San Francisco 94116 415 664-8817
Signature City, State & Zip Code Telephone or Email Address

Marie D. Haas 2371-26th Ave. OWNER
Print Name Street Address Owner/Resident/Business/Employee
Marie D. Haas San Francisco 94116 415-664-8817
Signature City, State & Zip Code Telephone or Email Address

JOANNE K. KWAN 2363 26TH AVENUE Owner/Resident
Print Name Street Address Owner/Resident/Business/Employee
Joanne K. Kwan SAN FRANCISCO CA 94116 415-810-7349
Signature City, State & Zip Code Telephone or Email Address

Sue S.C. Kwan 2363 26TH AVENUE Owner/Resident
Print Name Street Address Owner/Resident/Business/Employee
Sue Kwan SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94116 415-810-5508
Signature City, State & Zip Code Telephone or Email Address

Mr. Omar Masry, Case Planner
San Francisco Planning Department
Case #: 2011.0499C
cc: Supervisor Katy Tang, District 4

PETITION OPPOSING AT&T-MOBILE ANTENNAS AT 2395-26TH AVENUE @ TARAVAL ST.

We, the undersigned neighborhood property owners, residents, business owners and employees located within a 500 ft. radius of 2395-26th Avenue, San Francisco, CA 94116 OPPOSE the proposed installation at 2395-26th Avenue @ Taraval St. of an INDUSTRIAL AT&T Mobility Wireless Communication Facility comprised of 6 antennas and their associated equipment and power cabinets. The project scope, height and industrial design are not compatible with the architecture and aesthetics of our historic Parkside predominantly residential / small retail neighborhood. The visual clutter and blight of 7 to 8 ft. high chimney stacks and 18 antennas within 300 ft. will create an antenna farm; alter views and impact property values. AT&T Mobility may offer to reduce the height to 4 or 5 feet, but this too would be objectionable because the number of antennas would increase for technical reasons. The long term effects of this facility's RF electromagnetic radiation emissions from multiple antennas on public health and safety is of great concern.

Lisa Ouyang
Print Name 2339 -26th AVE
Street Address Owner/Resident/Business/Employee
[Signature]
Signature S.F. CA 94116
City, State & Zip Code (415) 759-6678
Telephone or Email Address

Xi Hong Ouyang
Print Name 2339 -26th AVE
Street Address Owner/Resident/Business/Employee
Xi Hong Ouyang
Signature S.F. CA 94116
City, State & Zip Code (415) 759-6678
Telephone or Email Address

SHAO CAI LIN
Print Name 2339 -26th AVE
Street Address Owner/Resident/Business/Employee
SHAO CAI LIN
Signature S.F. CA 94116
City, State & Zip Code Telephone or Email Address

Sung M Liu
Print Name 2367 26th AVE.
Street Address Owner/Resident/Business/Employee
Sung M. Li
Signature S.F. CA 94116
City, State & Zip Code Telephone or Email Address

Bing B. Hsu
Print Name 2367 26th AVE.
Street Address Owner/Resident/Business/Employee
[Signature]
Signature S.F. CA 94116
City, State & Zip Code Telephone or Email Address

Mr. Omar Masry, Case Planner
San Francisco Planning Department
Case #: 2011.0499C
cc: Supervisor Katy Tang, District 4

PETITION OPPOSING AT&T-MOBILE ANTENNAS AT 2395-26TH AVENUE @ TARAVAL ST.

We, the undersigned neighborhood property owners, residents, business owners and employees located within a 500 ft. radius of 2395-26th Avenue, San Francisco, CA 94116 OPPOSE the proposed installation at 2395-26th Avenue @ Taraval St. of an INDUSTRIAL AT&T Mobility Wireless Communication Facility comprised of 6 antennas and their associated equipment and power cabinets. The project scope, height and industrial design are not compatible with the architecture and aesthetics of our historic Parkside predominantly residential / small retail neighborhood. The visual clutter and blight of 7 to 8 ft. high chimney stacks and 18 antennas within 300 ft. will create an antenna farm; alter views and impact property values. AT&T Mobility may offer to reduce the height to 4 or 5 feet, but this too would be objectionable because the number of antennas would increase for technical reasons. The long term effects of this facility's RF electromagnetic radiation emissions from multiple antennas on public health and safety is of great concern.

ANWA SITU DEA
Print Name

2378 26TH AVE
Street Address

Owner/Resident/Business/Employee

[Signature]
Signature

S.F. CA 94116
City, State & Zip Code

(415) 564-7581
Telephone or Email Address

GUM PUI DEA
Print Name

2378 26TH AVE
Street Address

Owner/Resident/Business/Employee

[Signature]
Signature

S.F. CA 94116
City, State & Zip Code

(415) 385-6486
Telephone or Email Address

STACEY DEA
Print Name

2378 26TH AVE
Street Address

Owner/Resident/Business/Employee

[Signature]
Signature

S.F. CA 94116
City, State & Zip Code

(415) 385-8238
Telephone or Email Address

DEANIS DEA
Print Name

2378 26TH AVE
Street Address

Owner/Resident/Business/Employee

[Signature]
Signature

S.F. CA 94116
City, State & Zip Code

(415) 385-4832
Telephone or Email Address

I WAN SITOU
Print Name

2378 26TH AVE
Street Address

Owner/Resident/Business/Employee

I WAN SITOU
Signature

S.F. CA 94116
City, State & Zip Code

(415) 640-5560
Telephone or Email Address

Mr. Omar Masry, Case Planner
San Francisco Planning Department
Case #: 2011.0499C
cc: Supervisor Katy Tang, District 4

PETITION OPPOSING AT&T-MOBILE ANTENNAS AT 2395-26TH AVENUE @ TARAVAL ST.

We, the undersigned neighborhood property owners, residents, business owners and employees located within a 500 ft. radius of 2395-26th Avenue, San Francisco, CA 94116 OPPOSE the proposed installation at 2395-26th Avenue @ Taraval St. of an INDUSTRIAL AT&T Mobility Wireless Communication Facility comprised of 6 antennas and their associated equipment and power cabinets. The project scope, height and industrial design are not compatible with the architecture and aesthetics of our historic Parkside predominantly residential / small retail neighborhood. The visual clutter and blight of 7 to 8 ft. high chimney stacks and 18 antennas within 300 ft. will create an antenna farm; alter views and impact property values. AT&T Mobility may offer to reduce the height to 4 or 5 feet, but this too would be objectionable because the number of antennas would increase for technical reasons. The long term effects of this facility's RF electromagnetic radiation emissions from multiple antennas on public health and safety is of great concern.

Ray Mali
Print Name
2399 - 26TH Ave.
Street Address
San Francisco CA 94116
City, State & Zip Code
Owner/Resident/Business/Employee
Telephone or Email Address

gelu mali
Print Name
2399 - 26TH Ave
Street Address
SAN FRANCISCO CA 94116
City, State & Zip Code
Owner/Resident/Business/Employee
Telephone or Email Address

JOHN WEEKS
Print Name
2343 - 26th Ave
Street Address
San Francisco, Cal 94116
City, State & Zip Code
681-1965
Telephone or Email Address
Owner/Resident/Business/Employee

ALICIA WEEKS
Print Name
2343 - 26th Ave
Street Address
SAN FRANCISCO Cal. 94116
City, State & Zip Code
681-1965
Telephone or Email Address
Owner/Resident/Business/Employee

WAI LAM
Print Name
2339 - 26TH AVE
Street Address
S.F. CA 94116
City, State & Zip Code
(415) 812 6363
Telephone or Email Address
Owner/Resident/Business/Employee

Mr. Omar Masry, Case Planner
San Francisco Planning Department
Case #: 2011.0499C
cc: Supervisor Katy Tang, District 4

PETITION OPPOSING AT&T-MOBILE ANTENNAS AT 2395-26TH AVENUE @ TARAVAL ST.

We, the undersigned neighborhood property owners, residents, business owners and employees located within a 500 ft. radius of 2395-26th Avenue, San Francisco, CA 94116 OPPOSE the proposed installation at 2395-26th Avenue @ Taraval St. of an INDUSTRIAL AT&T Mobility Wireless Communication Facility comprised of 6 antennas and their associated equipment and power cabinets. The project scope, height and industrial design are not compatible with the architecture and aesthetics of our historic Parkside predominantly residential / small retail neighborhood. The visual clutter and blight of 7 to 8 ft. high chimney stacks and 18 antennas within 300 ft. will create an antenna farm; alter views and impact property values. AT&T Mobility may offer to reduce the height to 4 or 5 feet, but this too would be objectionable because the number of antennas would increase for technical reasons. The long term effects of this facility's RF electromagnetic radiation emissions from multiple antennas on public health and safety is of great concern.

<u>Mila Lopez</u> Print Name	<u>1601 Taraval St</u> Street Address	<u>EL BURRITO EXPRESS</u> <u>Business Owner</u> Owner/Resident/Business/Employee
<u>Mila Lopez</u> Signature	<u>San Francisco, CA 94116</u> City, State & Zip Code	<u>(415) 566 2618</u> Telephone or Email Address
<u>Leonor Peña</u> Print Name	<u>1601 Taraval St</u> Street Address	<u>Business</u> Owner/Resident/Business/Employee
<u>Leonor Peña</u> Signature	<u>San Fco CA. 94116</u> City, State & Zip Code	<u>415 566 8300</u> Telephone or Email Address
<u>César Jerónimo</u> Print Name	<u>1601 Taraval st</u> Street Address	<u>business</u> Owner/Resident/Business/Employee
<u>César Jerónimo</u> Signature	<u>San Francisco 94116</u> City, State & Zip Code	<u>415 566 8300</u> Telephone or Email Address
<u>Yen Fu</u> Print Name	<u>1601 Taraval st</u> Street Address	<u>Business Owner</u> Owner/Resident/Business/Employee
<u>Yen Fu</u> Signature	<u>SF. 94116</u> City, State & Zip Code	<u>415 566 8300</u> Telephone or Email Address
<u>Yanilda Cajina</u> Print Name	<u>1601 Taraval St 94116</u> Street Address	<u>415. 566-8300</u> Owner/Resident/Business/Employee
<u>Yanilda Cajina</u> Signature	<u>1601 Taraval St 94116</u> City, State & Zip Code	<u>415 566 8300</u> Telephone or Email Address

Mr. Omar Masry, Case Planner
San Francisco Planning Department
Case #: 2011.0499C
cc: Supervisor Katy Tang, District 4

PETITION OPPOSING AT&T-MOBILE ANTENNAS AT 2395-26TH AVENUE @ TARAVAL ST.

We, the undersigned neighborhood property owners, residents, business owners and employees located within a 500 ft. radius of 2395-26th Avenue, San Francisco, CA 94116 OPPOSE the proposed installation at 2395-26th Avenue @ Taraval St. of an INDUSTRIAL AT&T Mobility Wireless Communication Facility comprised of 6 antennas and their associated equipment and power cabinets. The project scope, height and industrial design are not compatible with the architecture and aesthetics of our historic Parkside predominantly residential / small retail neighborhood. The visual clutter and blight of 7 to 8 ft. high chimney stacks and 18 antennas within 300 ft. will create an antenna farm; alter views and impact property values. AT&T Mobility may offer to reduce the height to 4 or 5 feet, but this too would be objectionable because the number of antennas would increase for technical reasons. The long term effects of this facility's RF electromagnetic radiation emissions from multiple antennas on public health and safety is of great concern.

José 1601 Taraval Business
Print Name Street Address Owner/Resident/Business/Employee
José Leonardo Mokol 1601 Taraval St. 94116 Business
Signature City, State & Zip Code Telephone or Email Address

Elida Carrillo 1601 Taraval St 94116 Business
Print Name Street Address Owner/Resident/Business/Employee
Elida Carrillo 1601 Taraval St. 94116 Business
Signature City, State & Zip Code Telephone or Email Address

Alfredo C. 1601 Taraval Business
Print Name Street Address Owner/Resident/Business/Employee
[Signature] SF. 94116 415-566-8300
Signature City, State & Zip Code Telephone or Email Address

[Signature] 1601 Taraval Business
Print Name Street Address Owner/Resident/Business/Employee
[Signature] SF 94116 415-566-8300
Signature City, State & Zip Code Telephone or Email Address

Lourdes Carrillo 1601 Taraval Business
Print Name Street Address Owner/Resident/Business/Employee
[Signature] S.F. 94116 415-566-8300
Signature City, State & Zip Code Telephone or Email Address

Mr. Omar Masry, Case Planner
San Francisco Planning Department
Case #: 2011.0499C
cc: Supervisor Katy Tang, District 4

PETITION OPPOSING AT&T-MOBILE ANTENNAS AT 2395-26TH AVENUE @ TARAVAL ST.

We, the undersigned neighborhood property owners, residents, business owners and employees located within a 500 ft. radius of 2395-26th Avenue, San Francisco, CA 94116 **OPPOSE** the proposed installation at 2395-26th Avenue @ Taraval St. of an INDUSTRIAL AT&T Mobility Wireless Communication Facility comprised of 6 antennas and their associated equipment and power cabinets. **The project scope, height and industrial design are not compatible with the architecture and aesthetics of our historic Parkside predominantly residential / small retail neighborhood.** The visual clutter and blight of 7 to 8 ft. high chimney stacks and 18 antennas within 300 ft. will create an antenna farm; alter views and impact property values. AT&T Mobility may offer to reduce the height to 4 or 5 feet, but this too would be objectionable because the number of antennas would increase for technical reasons. The long term effects of this facility's RF electromagnetic radiation emissions from multiple antennas on public health and safety is of great concern.

R

MARILYN NISHIDA 1633 TARAVAL ST. 204 RESIDENT
Print Name Street Address Owner/Resident/Business/Employee

Marilyn Nishida SAN FRANCISCO CA 94116 marianmpo@yahoo.com
Signature City, State & Zip Code Telephone or Email Address

R

Clinton Nishida 1633 Taraval St #204 Resident
Print Name Street Address Owner/Resident/Business/Employee

CLM San Francisco CA, 94116 _____
Signature City, State & Zip Code Telephone or Email Address

RETAIL ESTIMATE

KING CHENG HSIAO 1580 TARAVAL STREET Owner/Resident/Business/Employee
Print Name Street Address

[Signature] SF CA 94116 415-566-6868
Signature City, State & Zip Code Telephone or Email Address

RE

Regina Hsiao 1580 TARAVAL ST Owner/Resident/Business/Employee
Print Name Street Address

[Signature] SF. CA 94116 566-6868
Signature City, State & Zip Code Telephone or Email Address

RE

AMY KEUNG 1580 TARAVAL ST. Owner/Resident/Business/Employee
Print Name Street Address

[Signature] SF. CA 94116 415-566-6868
Signature City, State & Zip Code Telephone or Email Address

Mr. Omar Masry, Case Planner
San Francisco Planning Department
Case #: 2011.0499C
cc: Supervisor Katy Tang, District 4

PETITION OPPOSING AT&T-MOBILE ANTENNAS AT 2395-26TH AVENUE @ TARAVAL ST.

We, the undersigned neighborhood property owners, residents, business owners and employees located within a 500 ft. radius of 2395-26th Avenue, San Francisco, CA 94116 OPPOSE the proposed installation at 2395-26th Avenue @ Taraval St. of an INDUSTRIAL AT&T Mobility Wireless Communication Facility comprised of 6 antennas and their associated equipment and power cabinets. The project scope, height and industrial design are not compatible with the architecture and aesthetics of our historic Parkside predominantly residential / small retail neighborhood. The visual clutter and blight of 7 to 8 ft. high chimney stacks and 18 antennas within 300 ft. will create an antenna farm; alter views and impact property values. AT&T Mobility may offer to reduce the height to 4 or 5 feet, but this too would be objectionable because the number of antennas would increase for technical reasons. The long term effects of this facility's RF electromagnetic radiation emissions from multiple antennas on public health and safety is of great concern.

HAIR SALON
Pauline Deligiorgis 1590 TARAVAL ST. Employee
Print Name Street Address Owner/Resident/Business/Employee

[Signature] SAN FRAN 94116
Signature City, State & Zip Code Telephone or Email Address

HAIR SALON
Maha SAMPANOR San Fran 94116 Employee
Print Name Street Address Owner/Resident/Business/Employee

[Signature] 1590 Taraval St.
Signature City, State & Zip Code Telephone or Email Address

Print Name Street Address Owner/Resident/Business/Employee

Signature City, State & Zip Code Telephone or Email Address

Print Name Street Address Owner/Resident/Business/Employee

Signature City, State & Zip Code Telephone or Email Address

Print Name Street Address Owner/Resident/Business/Employee

Signature City, State & Zip Code Telephone or Email Address

Mr. Omar Masry, Case Planner
San Francisco Planning Department
Case #: 2011.0499C
cc: Supervisor Katy Tang, District 4

PETITION OPPOSING AT&T-MOBILE ANTENNAS AT 2395-26TH AVENUE @ TARAVAL ST.

We, the undersigned neighborhood property owners, residents, business owners and employees located within a 500 ft. radius of 2395-26th Avenue, San Francisco, CA 94116 OPPOSE the proposed installation at 2395-26th Avenue @ Taraval St. of an INDUSTRIAL AT&T Mobility Wireless Communication Facility comprised of 6 antennas and their associated equipment and power cabinets. The project scope, height and industrial design are not compatible with the architecture and aesthetics of our historic Parkside predominantly residential / small retail neighborhood. The visual clutter and blight of 7 to 8 ft. high chimney stacks and 18 antennas within 300 ft. will create an antenna farm; alter views and impact property values. AT&T Mobility may offer to reduce the height to 4 or 5 feet, but this too would be objectionable because the number of antennas would increase for technical reasons. The long term effects of this facility's RF electromagnetic radiation emissions from multiple antennas on public health and safety is of great concern.

BODY CARE SALON

S.F CA 94116

Amy chen
Print Name

1372 Taraval St.
Street Address

Amy chen
Owner/Resident/Business/Employee

Amy chen
Signature

City, State & Zip Code

Telephone or Email Address

Print Name

Street Address

Owner/Resident/Business/Employee

Signature

City, State & Zip Code

Telephone or Email Address

Print Name

Street Address

Owner/Resident/Business/Employee

Signature

City, State & Zip Code

Telephone or Email Address

Print Name

Street Address

Owner/Resident/Business/Employee

Signature

City, State & Zip Code

Telephone or Email Address

Print Name

Street Address

Owner/Resident/Business/Employee

Signature

City, State & Zip Code

Telephone or Email Address

Mr. Omar Masry, Case Planner
 San Francisco Planning Department
 Case #: 2011.0499C
 cc: Supervisor Katy Tang, District 4

PETITION OPPOSING AT&T-MOBILE ANTENNAS AT 2395-26TH AVENUE @ TARAVAL ST.

We, the undersigned neighborhood property owners, residents, business owners and employees located within a 500 ft. radius of 2395-26th Avenue, San Francisco, CA 94116 OPPOSE the proposed installation at 2395-26th Avenue @ Taraval St. of an INDUSTRIAL AT&T Mobility Wireless Communication Facility comprised of 6 antennas and their associated equipment and power cabinets. The project scope, height and industrial design are not compatible with the architecture and aesthetics of our historic Parkside predominantly residential / small retail neighborhood. The visual clutter and blight of 7 to 8 ft. high chimney stacks and 18 antennas within 300 ft. will create an antenna farm; alter views and impact property values. AT&T Mobility may offer to reduce the height to 4 or 5 feet, but this too would be objectionable because the number of antennas would increase for technical reasons. The long term effects of this facility's RF electromagnetic radiation emissions from multiple antennas on public health and safety is of great concern.

HAIRCURE SALON

1517 Taraval St.
2210-29th Ave.
 ✓
 Owner/Resident/Business/Employee

Fatima Srigson
 Print Name

Fatima Srigson
 Signature

S.F. Ca. 94116
 City, State & Zip Code

415-665-7426
 Telephone or Email Address

LAUNDRY

1501 taraval st.
 Street Address

Owner/Resident/Business/Employee

Wen zheng
 Print Name

Wen zheng
 Signature

S.F. CA 94116
 City, State & Zip Code

415-5663883
 Telephone or Email Address

CHILDREN ART SCHOOL

1444 TARAVAL ST.
 Street Address

Owner/Resident/Business/Employee

Cheey chow
 Print Name

Cheey chow
 Signature

S.F. CA 94116
 City, State & Zip Code

415-665-1104
 Telephone or Email Address

ACUPUNCTURE & HERBS

1677 Taraval St
 Street Address

Owner/Resident/Business/Employee

Qi Ren Li
 Print Name

Qi Ren Li
 Signature

S.F. CA 94116
 City, State & Zip Code

415-661-7288
 Telephone or Email Address

BODY CARE SALON

1572 Taraval St.
 Street Address

Owner/Resident/Business/Employee

Flora
 Print Name

Flora
 Signature

S.F. CA 94116
 City, State & Zip Code


Telephone or Email Address


Mr. Omar Masry, Case Planner
San Francisco Planning Department
Case #: 2011.0499C
cc: Supervisor Katy Tang, District 4

PETITION OPPOSING AT&T-MOBILE ANTENNAS AT 2395-26TH AVENUE @ TARAVAL ST.


We, the undersigned neighborhood property owners, residents, business owners and employees located within a 500 ft. radius of 2395-26th Avenue, San Francisco, CA 94116 OPPOSE the proposed installation at 2395-26th Avenue @ Taraval St. of an INDUSTRIAL AT&T Mobility Wireless Communication Facility comprised of 6 antennas and their associated equipment and power cabinets. The project scope, height and industrial design are not compatible with the architecture and aesthetics of our historic Parkside predominantly residential / small retail neighborhood. The visual clutter and blight of 7 to 8 ft. high chimney stacks and 18 antennas within 300 ft. will create an antenna farm; alter views and impact property values. AT&T Mobility may offer to reduce the height to 4 or 5 feet, but this too would be objectionable because the number of antennas would increase for technical reasons. The long term effects of this facility's RF electromagnetic radiation emissions from multiple antennas on public health and safety is of great concern.

<u>Celine Xu</u> Print Name	<u>2378 27th ave</u> Street Address	<u>Resident</u> Owner/Resident/Business/Employee
<u></u> Signature	<u>SF CA 94116</u> City, State & Zip Code	<u>415-760-1273</u> Telephone or Email Address

<u>Philip Xu</u> Print Name	<u>2378 27th ave</u> Street Address	<u>Owner</u> Owner/Resident/Business/Employee
<u></u> Signature	<u>SF CA 94116</u> City, State & Zip Code	<u>415-760-0286</u> Telephone or Email Address

<u>Lily Lau</u> Print Name	<u>2378 27th ave</u> Street Address	<u>Owner</u> Owner/Resident/Business/Employee
<u></u> Signature	<u>SF CA 94116</u> City, State & Zip Code	<u>415-725-0318</u> Telephone or Email Address

<u>Jonathan Xu</u> Print Name	<u>2378 27th ave</u> Street Address	<u>Resident</u> Owner/Resident/Business/Employee
<u>J. X</u> Signature	<u>SF CA 94116</u> City, State & Zip Code	<u>415-713-4197</u> Telephone or Email Address

<u>He She Lin</u> Print Name	<u>2378 27th ave</u> Street Address	<u>Resident</u> Owner/Resident/Business/Employee
<u></u> Signature	<u>SF CA 94116</u> City, State & Zip Code	<u>415-661-6906</u> Telephone or Email Address

Mr. Omar Masry, Case Planner
San Francisco Planning Department
Case #: 2011.0499C
cc: Supervisor Katy Tang, District 4

PETITION OPPOSING AT&T-MOBILE ANTENNAS AT 2395-26TH AVENUE @ TARAVAL ST.

We, the undersigned **OPPOSE** the proposed installation at 2395-26th Avenue @ Taraval St. of an INDUSTRIAL AT&T Mobility Wireless Communication Facility comprised of **any number of antennas** and their associated equipment and power cabinets. **The proposed project scope, height and industrial design are not compatible with the architecture and aesthetics of the historic Parkside predominantly residential / small retail neighborhood.** Taraval St. from 25th to 27th Avenue will become an antenna farm with 18 roof top antennas located within 300 ft. of each other. This visual clutter and blight will alter views and impact property values. The long term effects of combined RF electromagnetic radiation emissions from multiple antennas on public health and safety is of great concern.

<u>Flu Kimmorliep</u> Print Name	<u>1282 26 Ave.</u> Street Address	<u>owner & vice president of neighborhood assoc.</u> Owner/Resident/Business/Employee
<u>[Signature]</u> Signature	<u>San Francisco, Ca 94122</u> City, State & Zip Code	<u>geokimmposbcgl@aol.com</u> Telephone or Email Address

_____ Print Name	_____ Street Address	_____ Owner/Resident/Business/Employee
---------------------	-------------------------	---

_____ Signature	_____ City, State & Zip Code	_____ Telephone or Email Address
--------------------	---------------------------------	-------------------------------------

_____ Print Name	_____ Street Address	_____ Owner/Resident/Business/Employee
---------------------	-------------------------	---

_____ Signature	_____ City, State & Zip Code	_____ Telephone or Email Address
--------------------	---------------------------------	-------------------------------------

_____ Print Name	_____ Street Address	_____ Owner/Resident/Business/Employee
---------------------	-------------------------	---

_____ Signature	_____ City, State & Zip Code	_____ Telephone or Email Address
--------------------	---------------------------------	-------------------------------------

_____ Print Name	_____ Street Address	_____ Owner/Resident/Business/Employee
---------------------	-------------------------	---

_____ Signature	_____ City, State & Zip Code	_____ Telephone or Email Address
--------------------	---------------------------------	-------------------------------------

Mr. Omar Masry, Case Planner
San Francisco Planning Department
Case #: 2011.0499C
cc: Supervisor Katy Tang, District 4

PETITION OPPOSING AT&T-MOBILE ANTENNAS AT 2395-26TH AVENUE @ TARAVAL ST.

We, the undersigned **OPPOSE** the proposed installation at 2395-26th Avenue @ Taraval St. of an INDUSTRIAL AT&T Mobility Wireless Communication Facility comprised of any number of antennas and their associated equipment and power cabinets. **The proposed project scope, height and industrial design are not compatible with the architecture and aesthetics of the historic Parkside predominantly residential / small retail neighborhood.** Taraval St. from 25th to 27th Avenue will become an antenna farm with 18 roof top antennas located within 300 ft. of each other. This visual clutter and blight will alter views and impact property values. The long term effects of combined RF electromagnetic radiation emissions from multiple antennas on public health and safety is of great concern.

XIAO LI ZHANG
Print Name 2100 48th AVE
Street Address Owner/Resident/Business/Employee

Xiao Li Zhang
Signature S.F. CA 94116
City, State & Zip Code 812-6009
Telephone or Email Address

Theresa C
Print Name 811 SILLIMAN
Street Address Owner/Resident/Business/Employee

Theresa C
Signature S.F. CA 94134
City, State & Zip Code 604-8064
Telephone or Email Address

X. Ying Wei
Print Name 3291 45th AVE
Street Address Owner/Resident/Business/Employee

X. Ying
Signature SF CA 94116
City, State & Zip Code 566-1241
Telephone or Email Address

HONG WEI XIAO
Print Name 1715 29th AVE
Street Address Owner/Resident/Business/Employee

Hong Wei Xiao
Signature S.F. CA 94116
City, State & Zip Code 812-6009
Telephone or Email Address

Chunmei
Print Name SF CA 94134
Street Address Owner/Resident/Business/Employee

Chunmei
Signature City, State & Zip Code
City, State & Zip Code Telephone or Email Address
Telephone or Email Address

Mr. Omar Masry, Case Planner
San Francisco Planning Department
Case #: 2011.0499C
cc: Supervisor Katy Tang, District 4

PETITION OPPOSING AT&T-MOBILE ANTENNAS AT 2395-26TH AVENUE @ TARAVAL ST.

We, the undersigned **OPPOSE** the proposed installation at 2395-26th Avenue @ Taraval St. of an INDUSTRIAL AT&T Mobility Wireless Communication Facility comprised of any number of antennas and their associated equipment and power cabinets. **The proposed project scope, height and industrial design are not compatible with the architecture and aesthetics of the historic Parkside predominantly residential / small retail neighborhood.** Taraval St. from 25th to 27th Avenue will become an antenna farm with 18 roof top antennas located within 300 ft. of each other. This visual clutter and blight will alter views and impact property values. The long term effects of combined RF electromagnetic radiation emissions from multiple antennas on public health and safety is of great concern.

Kristy Lane
Print Name
1874 20th Ave
Street Address
Owner/Resident/Business/Employee
Kristy Lane
Signature
San Francisco CA 94120 933-7876
City, State & Zip Code Telephone or Email Address

CHAN K NGO
Print Name
2294 41ST AVE
Street Address
Owner/Resident/Business/Employee
Chankngo
Signature
S.F. CA 94116
City, State & Zip Code
(415) 664-5024
Telephone or Email Address

LAURA TANG
Print Name
1847 33RD AVE
Street Address
Owner/Resident/Business/Employee
Laura Tang
Signature
S.F. CA 94122
City, State & Zip Code
415-759-8659
Telephone or Email Address

Mark Tran
Print Name
2451 39th AVE
Street Address
SF CA 94116
City, State & Zip Code
Owner/Resident/Business/Employee

[Signature]
Signature
City, State & Zip Code
City, State & Zip Code
415-580-9820
Telephone or Email Address

Orsen Lee
Print Name
1380 45th AVE
Street Address
Owner/Resident/Business/Employee

[Signature]
Signature
SF CA 94122
City, State & Zip Code
Telephone or Email Address

Mr. Omar Masry, Case Planner
San Francisco Planning Department
Case #: 2011.0499C
cc: Supervisor Katy Tang, District 4

PETITION OPPOSING AT&T-MOBILE ANTENNAS AT 2395-26TH AVENUE @ TARAVAL ST.

We, the undersigned **OPPOSE** the proposed installation at 2395-26th Avenue @ Taraval St. of an INDUSTRIAL AT&T Mobility Wireless Communication Facility comprised of **any number of antennas** and their associated equipment and power cabinets. **The proposed project scope, height and industrial design are not compatible with the architecture and aesthetics of the historic Parkside predominantly residential / small retail neighborhood.** Taraval St. from 25th to 27th Avenue will become an antenna farm with 18 roof top antennas located within 300 ft. of each other. This visual clutter and blight will alter views and impact property values. The long term effects of combined RF electromagnetic radiation emissions from multiple antennas on public health and safety is of great concern.

Mach Jane 2450-27th 706-05
Print Name Street Address Owner/Resident/Business/Employee

Mach Jane SAN FRANCISCO CA 706-0520
Signature City, State & Zip Code 94116 Telephone or Email Address

FIONA QUACH 1666 37th AVE Owner/Resident/Business/Employee
Print Name Street Address

Fiona Quach S.F. CA94122 682-4366
Signature City, State & Zip Code Telephone or Email Address

Dick Murphy 1378A 45 AVE Owner/Resident/Business/Employee
Print Name Street Address

Dick Murphy S.F CA94122 66-3068
Signature City, State & Zip Code Telephone or Email Address

DENNY YU 206 Dorado Ter 415-4219
Print Name Street Address Owner/Resident/Business/Employee

Denny Yu S.F CA94112 Telephone or Email Address
Signature City, State & Zip Code Telephone or Email Address

Yan Hui Lei 215 33rd Ave (415) 217-9712
Print Name Street Address Owner/Resident/Business/Employee

[Signature] S.F CA94116 Candy.Liz37@pbw.com
Signature City, State & Zip Code Telephone or Email Address

Mr. Omar Masry, Case Planner
San Francisco Planning Department
Case #: 2011.0499C
cc: Supervisor Katy Tang, District 4

PETITION OPPOSING AT&T-MOBILE ANTENNAS AT 2395-26TH AVENUE @ TARAVAL ST.

We, the undersigned **OPPOSE** the proposed installation at 2395-26th Avenue @ Taraval St. of an INDUSTRIAL AT&T Mobility Wireless Communication Facility comprised of **any number of antennas** and their associated equipment and power cabinets. **The proposed project scope, height and industrial design are not compatible with the architecture and aesthetics of the historic Parkside predominantly residential / small retail neighborhood.** Taraval St. from 25th to 27th Avenue will become an antenna farm with 18 roof top antennas located within 300 ft. of each other. This visual clutter and blight will alter views and impact property values. The long term effects of combined RF electromagnetic radiation emissions from multiple antennas on public health and safety is of great concern.

EVA LEE
Print Name
2351-19TH AVE
Street Address
(415) 661-4093
Owner/Resident/Business/Employee
EVA LEE
Signature
S.F. CA 94116
City, State & Zip Code
(415) 661-4093
Telephone or Email Address

MIMI LEE
Print Name
2351, 19th Ave
Street Address
(415) 661-4093
Owner/Resident/Business/Employee
Mimi Lee Wong
Signature
S.F. CA 94116
City, State & Zip Code
(415) 661-4093
Telephone or Email Address

SOW JANE LEE
Print Name
S.F. Ca 2351-19th AVE
Street Address
(415) 661-4093
Owner/Resident/Business/Employee
Sow Jane Lee
Signature
S.F. CA 94116
City, State & Zip Code
661-4093
Telephone or Email Address

Tommy Kwan
Print Name
3000 Kirkham
Street Address
(415) 661-4093
Owner/Resident/Business/Employee
Tommy Kwan
Signature
SF CA 94122
City, State & Zip Code
(415) 661-4093
Telephone or Email Address

Angela Kwan
Print Name
3000 Kirkham
Street Address
(415) 661-4093
Owner/Resident/Business/Employee
Angela Kwan
Signature
SF CA 94122
City, State & Zip Code
(415) 661-4093
Telephone or Email Address

Mr. Omar Masry, Case Planner
San Francisco Planning Department
Case #: 2011.0499C
cc: Supervisor Katy Tang, District 4

PETITION OPPOSING AT&T-MOBILE ANTENNAS AT 2395-26TH AVENUE @ TARAVAL ST.

We, the undersigned **OPPOSE** the proposed installation at 2395-26th Avenue @ Taraval St. of an INDUSTRIAL AT&T Mobility Wireless Communication Facility comprised of **any number of antennas** and their associated equipment and power cabinets. **The proposed project scope, height and industrial design are not compatible with the architecture and aesthetics of the historic Parkside predominantly residential / small retail neighborhood.** Taraval St. from 25th to 27th Avenue will become an antenna farm with 18 roof top antennas located within 300 ft. of each other. This visual clutter and blight will alter views and impact property values. The long term effects of combined RF electromagnetic radiation emissions from multiple antennas on public health and safety is of great concern.

Rene MABOO
Print Name

32 Leigbig St.
Street Address

Resident
Owner/Resident/Business/Employee

Rene Maboo
Signature

SF, CA
City, State & Zip Code

415.574.6002
Telephone or Email Address

Cassie Aliciati
Print Name

37 Thunderbird Dr.
Street Address

Owner
Owner/Resident/Business/Employee

Cassie Aliciati
Signature

Novato, CA
City, State & Zip Code

415-883-2241
Telephone or Email Address

JOHN RICHARDS
Print Name

1923 21st AVE
Street Address

RESIDENT
Owner/Resident/Business/Employee

John F. Richards
Signature

S.F. CA 94116
City, State & Zip Code

415-624-7979
Telephone or Email Address

Rose Heffner
Print Name

52 Stanton St.
Street Address

Resident
Owner/Resident/Business/Employee

Rose Heffner
Signature

SF CA, 94114
City, State & Zip Code

415-824-3989
Telephone or Email Address

Print Name

Street Address

Owner/Resident/Business/Employee

Signature

City, State & Zip Code

Telephone or Email Address

Mr. Omar Masry, Case Planner
San Francisco Planning Department
Case #: 2011.0499C
cc: Supervisor Katy Tang, District 4

PETITION OPPOSING AT&T-MOBILE ANTENNAS AT 2395-26TH AVENUE @ TARAVAL ST.

We, the undersigned **OPPOSE** the proposed installation at 2395-26th Avenue @ Taraval St. of an INDUSTRIAL AT&T Mobility Wireless Communication Facility comprised of **any number of antennas** and their associated equipment and power cabinets. **The proposed project scope, height and industrial design are not compatible with the architecture and aesthetics of the historic Parkside predominantly residential / small retail neighborhood.** Taraval St. from 25th to 27th Avenue will become an antenna farm with 18 roof top antennas located within 300 ft. of each other. This visual clutter and blight will alter views and impact property values. The long term effects of combined RF electromagnetic radiation emissions from multiple antennas on public health and safety is of great concern.

German Gonzalez
Print Name

601 Leavenworth St
Street Address

Owner/Resident/Business/Employee

[Signature]
Signature

SF CA 94109
City, State & Zip Code

Telephone or Email Address

Carlos Gonzalez
Print Name

2861 Cesar Chavez
Street Address

Owner/Resident/Business/Employee

[Signature]
Signature

SF CA 94110
City, State & Zip Code

Telephone or Email Address

Vicki Keane
Print Name

96 Malta Drive
Street Address

Owner/Resident/Business/Employee

[Signature]
Signature

SF CA 94131
City, State & Zip Code

Telephone or Email Address

Print Name

Street Address

Owner/Resident/Business/Employee

Signature

City, State & Zip Code

Telephone or Email Address

Print Name

Street Address

Owner/Resident/Business/Employee

Signature

City, State & Zip Code

Telephone or Email Address

Mr. Omar Masry, Case Planner
San Francisco Planning Department
Case #: 2011.0499C
cc: Supervisor Katy Tang, District 4

PETITION OPPOSING AT&T-MOBILE ANTENNAS AT 2395-26TH AVENUE @ TARAVAL ST.

We, the undersigned **OPPOSE** the proposed installation at 2395-26th Avenue @ Taraval St. of an INDUSTRIAL AT&T Mobility Wireless Communication Facility comprised of any number of antennas and their associated equipment and power cabinets. **The proposed project scope, height and industrial design are not compatible with the architecture and aesthetics of the historic Parkside predominantly residential / small retail neighborhood.** Taraval St. from 25th to 27th Avenue will become an antenna farm with 18 roof top antennas located within 300 ft. of each other. This visual clutter and blight will alter views and impact property values. The long term effects of combined RF electromagnetic radiation emissions from multiple antennas on public health and safety is of great concern.

Susanna Choi
Print Name 514 Silliman St.
Street Address Owner/Resident/Business/Employee

Susanna Choi
Signature SF CA 94134
City, State & Zip Code 415-531-6178
Telephone or Email Address

Ming Hwaalt
Print Name 800 Paine St.
Street Address 415, 671-3877
Owner/Resident/Business/Employee

[Signature]
Signature S.F. 94112
City, State & Zip Code Telephone or Email Address

JIN SGO
Print Name 370-23 AV. #11
Street Address SF
Owner/Resident/Business/Employee

[Signature]
Signature S-F 94121
City, State & Zip Code 415 221-0588
Telephone or Email Address

CARY CHEUNG
Print Name 1782 44TH AVE
Street Address owner
Owner/Resident/Business/Employee

Cally Cheung
Signature S.F. CA. 94122
City, State & Zip Code (415) 525-7279
Telephone or Email Address

zhang, Jie
Print Name 750 Gonzalez Dr.
Street Address Owner/Resident/Business/Employee

Jie Zhang
Signature S.F. CA 94132
City, State & Zip Code 415-606 7667
Telephone or Email Address

Mr. Omar Masry, Case Planner
San Francisco Planning Department
Case #: 2011.0499C
cc: Supervisor Katy Tang, District 4

PETITION OPPOSING AT&T-MOBILE ANTENNAS AT 2395-26TH AVENUE @ TARAVAL ST.

We, the undersigned **OPPOSE** the proposed installation at 2395-26th Avenue @ Taraval St. of an INDUSTRIAL AT&T Mobility Wireless Communication Facility comprised of any number of antennas and their associated equipment and power cabinets. **The proposed project scope, height and industrial design are not compatible with the architecture and aesthetics of the historic Parkside predominantly residential / small retail neighborhood.** Taraval St. from 25th to 27th Avenue will become an antenna farm with 18 roof top antennas located within 300 ft. of each other. This visual clutter and blight will alter views and impact property values. The long term effects of combined RF electromagnetic radiation emissions from multiple antennas on public health and safety is of great concern.

Jian Han 44TH AVE Employee
Print Name Street Address Owner/Resident/Business/Employee

[Signature] S.F. 94122 45-861-9036
Signature City, State & Zip Code Telephone or Email Address

Ai Yi TOE 44TH AVE #
Print Name Street Address Owner/Resident/Business/Employee

[Signature] S.F. 94116 (415) 731-1702
Signature City, State & Zip Code Telephone or Email Address

Qiu F Li 119 Girard ST. Owner
Print Name Street Address Owner/Resident/Business/Employee

[Signature] SF, CA 94134 415-615-2998
Signature City, State & Zip Code Telephone or Email Address

Tiffany Li 112 James Ave Owner
Print Name Street Address Owner/Resident/Business/Employee

[Signature] S.F. CA 94112 (415) 218-0286
Signature City, State & Zip Code Telephone or Email Address

Tina Kuang 118 Grafton Ave Owner
Print Name Street Address Owner/Resident/Business/Employee

[Signature] SF CA 94112 415-587-3826
Signature City, State & Zip Code Telephone or Email Address

Mr. Omar Masry, Case Planner
 San Francisco Planning Department
 Case #: 2011.0499C
 cc: Supervisor Katy Tang, District 4

PETITION OPPOSING AT&T-MOBILE ANTENNAS AT 2395-26TH AVENUE @ TARAVAL ST.

We, the undersigned **OPPOSE** the proposed installation at 2395-26th Avenue @ Taraval St. of an INDUSTRIAL AT&T Mobility Wireless Communication Facility comprised of **any number of antennas** and their associated equipment and power cabinets. **The proposed project scope, height and industrial design are not compatible with the architecture and aesthetics of the historic Parkside predominantly residential / small retail neighborhood.** Taraval St. from 25th to 27th Avenue will become an antenna farm with 18 roof top antennas located within 300 ft. of each other. This visual clutter and blight will alter views and impact property values. The long term effects of combined RF electromagnetic radiation emissions from multiple antennas on public health and safety is of great concern.

KATHY GUAN
 Print Name
209 Quintara St.
 Street Address
Owner/Resident/Business/Employee
[Signature]
 Signature
S.F. CA 94118
 City, State & Zip Code
415.828-5408
 Telephone or Email Address

Beatrice Lee
 Print Name
3733 Quintara St.
 Street Address
Owner/Resident/Business/Employee
Beatrice Lee
 Signature
S.F. Ca. 94116
 City, State & Zip Code
(415) 665-6763
 Telephone or Email Address

Joanne Wen
 Print Name
48 ST
~~S.F. CA 94122~~
 Street Address
Owner/Resident/Business/Employee
Joanne Wen
 Signature
S.F. CA. 94122
 City, State & Zip Code
415-664-7217
 Telephone or Email Address





Sally Yuen
 Print Name
855 Ortega St
S.F. CA 94122
 Street Address
Owner/Resident/Business/Employee
[Signature]
 Signature
SF CA 94122
 City, State & Zip Code
415-566-7787
 Telephone or Email Address

Hongmin Peng
 Print Name
855 Ortega St
 Street Address
Owner/Resident/Business/Employee
[Signature]
 Signature
S.F. CA 94122
 City, State & Zip Code
415-677-7931
 Telephone or Email Address

Mr. Omar Masry, Case Planner
San Francisco Planning Department
Case #: 2011.0499C
cc: Supervisor Katy Tang, District 4

PETITION OPPOSING AT&T-MOBILE ANTENNAS AT 2395-26TH AVENUE @ TARAVAL ST.

We, the undersigned **OPPOSE** the proposed installation at 2395-26th Avenue @ Taraval St. of an INDUSTRIAL AT&T Mobility Wireless Communication Facility comprised of any number of antennas and their associated equipment and power cabinets. **The proposed project scope, height and industrial design are not compatible with the architecture and aesthetics of the historic Parkside predominantly residential / small retail neighborhood.** Taraval St. from 25th to 27th Avenue will become an antenna farm with 18 roof top antennas located within 300 ft. of each other. This visual clutter and blight will alter views and impact property values. The long term effects of combined RF electromagnetic radiation emissions from multiple antennas on public health and safety is of great concern.

<u>MICHELLE MOY</u> Print Name	<u>831 CARRIHO ST</u> Street Address	<u>RESIDENT</u> Owner/Resident/Business/Employee Owner/Resident/Business/Employee
<u></u> Signature	<u>SF, CA 94118</u> City, State & Zip Code	<u>mmoy18@yahoo.com</u> Telephone or Email Address
<u>Patrick Moncada</u> Print Name	<u>1814 Page St</u> Street Address	<u>Owner / Resident</u> Owner/Resident/Business/Employee
<u></u> Signature	<u>San Francisco CA</u> City, State & Zip Code	<u>pjmoncada1@gmail.com</u> Telephone or Email Address
<u>Carina Marquez</u> Print Name	<u></u> Street Address	<u>owner/resident</u> Owner/Resident/Business/Employee
<u></u> Signature	<u>SF CA 94132</u> City, State & Zip Code	<u>Cmarquez27@gmail.com</u> Telephone or Email Address
<u>CATHERINE GARCIA</u> Print Name	<u>50 Rival St</u> Street Address	<u>Resident</u> Owner/Resident/Business/Employee
<u></u> Signature	<u>SF, CA 94107</u> City, State & Zip Code	<u>(415) 289-1337</u> Telephone or Email Address
<u>CHRISTOPHER PUGA</u> Print Name	<u></u> Street Address	<u>resident</u> Owner/Resident/Business/Employee
<u></u> Signature	<u>Daly City, CA</u> City, State & Zip Code	<u>415 745 0500</u> Telephone or Email Address

Mr. Omar Masry, Case Planner
San Francisco Planning Department
Case #: 2011.0499C
cc: Supervisor Katy Tang, District 4

PETITION OPPOSING AT&T-MOBILE ANTENNAS AT 2395-26TH AVENUE @ TARAVAL ST.

We, the undersigned **OPPOSE** the proposed installation at 2395-26th Avenue @ Taraval St. of an INDUSTRIAL AT&T Mobility Wireless Communication Facility comprised of **any number of antennas** and their associated equipment and power cabinets. **The proposed project scope, height and industrial design are not compatible with the architecture and aesthetics of the historic Parkside predominantly residential / small retail neighborhood.** Taraval St. from 25th to 27th Avenue will become an antenna farm with 18 roof top antennas located within 300 ft. of each other. This visual clutter and blight will alter views and impact property values. The long term effects of combined RF electromagnetic radiation emissions from multiple antennas on public health and safety is of great concern.

MARTIN WONG
Print Name
2187-27TH AVE
Street Address
Owner/Resident/Business/Employee
Martin Wong
Signature
SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94116 (415) 755 6067
City, State & Zip Code Telephone or Email Address

Sandeep Sood
Print Name
409 San Anselmo Ave.
Street Address
Owner/Resident
Owner/Resident/Business/Employee
Sandeep Sood
Signature
San Bruno CA 94066
City, State & Zip Code
415-254-1275
Telephone or Email Address

Sammy Hastings
Print Name
1034 Colman Dr
Street Address
Owner/Resident
Owner/Resident/Business/Employee
[Signature]
Signature
Daly City CA 94015
City, State & Zip Code
415-871-6671
Telephone or Email Address

Timothy Wong
Print Name
423 Southgate
Street Address
Owner
Owner/Resident/Business/Employee
Timothy Wong
Signature
Daly City CA 94015
City, State & Zip Code
timwong@gmail.com
Telephone or Email Address

Angelica Santamaria
Print Name
285 Sunshine Drive
Street Address
Resident
Owner/Resident/Business/Employee
[Signature]
Signature
Pacifica, CA 94044
City, State & Zip Code
angelica.hra@gmail.com
Telephone or Email Address

Mr. Omar Masry, Case Planner
San Francisco Planning Department
Case #: 2011.0499C
cc: Supervisor Katy Tang, District 4

PETITION OPPOSING AT&T-MOBILE ANTENNAS AT 2395-26TH AVENUE @ TARAVAL ST.

We, the undersigned neighborhood property owners, residents, business owners and employees located within a 500 ft. radius of 2395-26th Avenue, San Francisco, CA 94116 OPPOSE the proposed installation at 2395-26th Avenue @ Taraval St. of an INDUSTRIAL AT&T Mobility Wireless Communication Facility comprised of 6 antennas and their associated equipment and power cabinets. The project scope, height and industrial design are not compatible with the architecture and aesthetics of our historic Parkside predominantly residential / small retail neighborhood. The visual clutter and blight of 7 to 8 ft. high chimney stacks and 18 antennas within 300 ft. will create an antenna farm; alter views and impact property values. AT&T Mobility may offer to reduce the height to 4 or 5 feet, but this too would be objectionable because the number of antennas would increase for technical reasons. The long term effects of this facility's RF electromagnetic radiation emissions from multiple antennas on public health and safety is of great concern.

<u>AMY MILLER</u> Print Name	<u>1712 TARAVAL ST</u> <u>1812 Taraval</u> Street Address	<u>business owner</u> Owner/Resident/Business/Employee
<u>Amy Miller</u> Signature	<u>SF CA 94116</u> City, State & Zip Code	<u>566-3113</u> Telephone or Email Address
<u>KENNETH D. HOLMAN</u> Print Name	<u>1843 18TH AVE.</u> Street Address	<u>1712 TARAVAL ST.</u> <u>RESTAURANT OWNER</u> Owner/Resident/Business/Employee
<u>Kenneth D Holman</u> Signature	<u>SF CA. 94122</u> City, State & Zip Code	<u>(415) 566-3113</u> Telephone or Email Address
<u>BO NUANUAL</u> Print Name	<u>1726 Taraval Apt 4</u> Street Address	<u>RESIDENT</u> Owner/Resident/Business/Employee
<u>Bo</u> Signature	<u>SAN FRANCISCO CA 94116</u> City, State & Zip Code	<u>678-997-3344</u> Telephone or Email Address
<u>Gina Borgo</u> Print Name	<u>1726 Taraval Apt 4</u> Street Address	<u>Resident</u> Owner/Resident/Business/Employee
<u>Gina</u> Signature	<u>San Francisco, CA 94116</u> City, State & Zip Code	<u>650-804-1109</u> Telephone or Email Address
<u>Harold Lawrence von Muller</u> Print Name	<u>2014 Taraval</u> Street Address	<u>Resident</u> Owner/Resident/Business/Employee
<u>Harold Lawrence</u> Signature	<u>San Francisco CA 94116</u> City, State & Zip Code	<u>(415) 734-8602</u> Telephone or Email Address

LISTED AS # 78
CUSTOMER LIST

Mr. Omar Masry, Case Planner
San Francisco Planning Department
Case #: 2011.0499C
cc: Supervisor Katy Tang, District 4

PETITION OPPOSING AT&T-MOBILE ANTENNAS AT 2395-26TH AVENUE @ TARAVAL ST.

We, the undersigned neighborhood property owners, residents, business owners and employees located within a 500 ft. radius of 2395-26th Avenue, San Francisco, CA 94116 OPPOSE the proposed installation at 2395-26th Avenue @ Taraval St. of an INDUSTRIAL AT&T Mobility Wireless Communication Facility comprised of 6 antennas and their associated equipment and power cabinets. The project scope, height and industrial design are not compatible with the architecture and aesthetics of our historic Parkside predominantly residential / small retail neighborhood. The visual clutter and blight of 7 to 8 ft. high chimney stacks and 18 antennas within 300 ft. will create an antenna farm; alter views and impact property values. AT&T Mobility may offer to reduce the height to 4 or 5 feet, but this too would be objectionable because the number of antennas would increase for technical reasons. The long term effects of this facility's RF electromagnetic radiation emissions from multiple antennas on public health and safety is of great concern.

JYANGAR NAGA - TARAVAL ST.

Alison Mahlich
Print Name

2404 27th Avenue
Street Address

Employee/Student
Owner/Resident/Business/Employee

[Signature]
Signature

San Francisco CA 94116
City, State & Zip Code

831 9157770
Telephone or Email Address

Print Name

Street Address

Owner/Resident/Business/Employee

Signature

City, State & Zip Code

Telephone or Email Address

Print Name

Street Address

Owner/Resident/Business/Employee

Signature

City, State & Zip Code

Telephone or Email Address

Print Name

Street Address

Owner/Resident/Business/Employee

Signature

City, State & Zip Code

Telephone or Email Address

Print Name

Street Address

Owner/Resident/Business/Employee

Signature

City, State & Zip Code

Telephone or Email Address

Mr. Omar Masry, Case Planner
San Francisco Planning Department
Case #: 2011.0499C
cc: Supervisor Katy Tang, District 4

PETITION OPPOSING AT&T-MOBILE ANTENNAS AT 2395-26TH AVENUE @ TARAVAL ST.

We, the undersigned neighborhood property owners, residents, business owners and employees located within a 500 ft. radius of 2395-26th Avenue, San Francisco, CA 94116 OPPOSE the proposed installation at 2395-26th Avenue @ Taraval St. of an INDUSTRIAL AT&T Mobility Wireless Communication Facility comprised of 6 antennas and their associated equipment and power cabinets. The project scope, height and industrial design are not compatible with the architecture and aesthetics of our historic Parkside predominantly residential / small retail neighborhood. The visual clutter and blight of 7 to 8 ft. high chimney stacks and 18 antennas within 300 ft. will create an antenna farm; alter views and impact property values. AT&T Mobility may offer to reduce the height to 4 or 5 feet, but this too would be objectionable because the number of antennas would increase for technical reasons. The long term effects of this facility's RF electromagnetic radiation emissions from multiple antennas on public health and safety is of great concern.

<u>Karen Ha</u> Print Name	<u>1701 Taraval st</u> Street Address	<u>employee</u> Owner/Resident/ Business /Employee
<u>[Signature]</u> Signature	<u>SF CA 94116</u> City, State & Zip Code	<u>kha@ustca.edu</u> Telephone or Email Address

<u>SUNG S. LEE</u> Print Name	<u>1703 TARAVAL</u> Street Address	<u>owner</u> Owner/Resident/ Business /Employee
<u>[Signature]</u> Signature	<u>SF CA 94116</u> City, State & Zip Code	<u>Sujeshi.Pyachou.com</u> Telephone or Email Address

<u>Brian Chang</u> Print Name	<u>1701 Taraval St</u> Street Address	<u>employee</u> Owner/Resident/ Business /Employee
<u>[Signature]</u> Signature	<u>SF CA 94116</u> City, State & Zip Code	<u>brianchang_is@hstunni</u> Telephone or Email Address

<u>LYNN LEE</u> Print Name	<u>1701 Taraval</u> Street Address	<u>owner</u> Owner/Resident/ Business /Employee
<u>[Signature]</u> Signature	<u>S.F CA 94116</u> City, State & Zip Code	<u>LowellKapala@yahoo.com</u> Telephone or Email Address

<u>CINDY</u> Print Name	<u>1701 taraval</u> Street Address	<u>employee</u> Owner/Resident/ Business /Employee
<u>[Signature]</u> Signature	<u>S.F CA 94116</u> City, State & Zip Code	<u>-</u> Telephone or Email Address

Mr. Omar Masry, Case Planner
San Francisco Planning Department
Case #: 2011.0499C
cc: Supervisor Katy Tang, District 4

PETITION OPPOSING AT&T-MOBILE ANTENNAS AT 2395-26TH AVENUE @ TARAVAL ST.

We, the undersigned neighborhood property owners, residents, business owners and employees located within a 500 ft. radius of 2395-26th Avenue, San Francisco, CA 94116 OPPOSE the proposed installation at 2395-26th Avenue @ Taraval St. of an INDUSTRIAL AT&T Mobility Wireless Communication Facility comprised of 6 antennas and their associated equipment and power cabinets. The project scope, height and industrial design are not compatible with the architecture and aesthetics of our historic Parkside predominantly residential / small retail neighborhood. The visual clutter and blight of 7 to 8 ft. high chimney stacks and 18 antennas within 300 ft. will create an antenna farm; alter views and impact property values. AT&T Mobility may offer to reduce the height to 4 or 5 feet, but this too would be objectionable because the number of antennas would increase for technical reasons. The long term effects of this facility's RF electromagnetic radiation emissions from multiple antennas on public health and safety is of great concern.

ANN NOLTE
Print Name
2366-26th Ave
Street Address
Owner/Resident/Business/Employee
Ann Nolte
Signature
San Francisco, CA
City, State & Zip Code 94116
415-731-4817
Telephone or Email Address

Ellen Conaway
Print Name
2354-26th Ave
Street Address
Owner
Owner/Resident/Business/Employee
Ellen Conaway
Signature
SF CA 94116
City, State & Zip Code
415-564-5144
Telephone or Email Address

WILLIAM CONAWAY
Print Name
0354 26th AVE
Street Address
OWNER
Owner/Resident/Business/Employee
[Signature]
Signature
SF CA 94116
City, State & Zip Code
415.564.5144
Telephone or Email Address

Print Name

Street Address

Owner/Resident/Business/Employee

Signature

City, State & Zip Code

Telephone or Email Address

Print Name

Street Address

Owner/Resident/Business/Employee

Signature

City, State & Zip Code

Telephone or Email Address

Mr. Omar Masry, Case Planner
San Francisco Planning Department
Case #: 2011.0499C
cc: Supervisor Katy Tang, District 4

PETITION OPPOSING AT&T-MOBILE ANTENNAS AT 2395-26TH AVENUE @ TARAVAL ST.

We, the undersigned neighborhood property owners, residents, business owners and employees located within a 500 ft. radius of 2395-26th Avenue, San Francisco, CA 94116 OPPOSE the proposed installation at 2395-26th Avenue @ Taraval St. of an INDUSTRIAL AT&T Mobility Wireless Communication Facility comprised of 6 antennas and their associated equipment and power cabinets. **The project scope, height and industrial design are not compatible with the architecture and aesthetics of our historic Parkside predominantly residential / small retail neighborhood.** The visual clutter and blight of 7 to 8 ft. high chimney stacks and 18 antennas within 300 ft. will create an antenna farm; alter views and impact property values. AT&T Mobility may offer to reduce the height to 4 or 5 feet, but this too would be objectionable because the number of antennas would increase for technical reasons. **The long term effects of this facility's RF electromagnetic radiation emissions from multiple antennas on public health and safety is of great concern.**

Raymon Bricio B
Print Name
2395 26th ave apt 9
Street Address
Owner/Resident/Business/Employee
Raymon Bricio B
Signature
San Fco Cal 94116
City, State & Zip Code
Telephone or Email Address

Gloria Rojano
Print Name
2395 26th Ave #9
Street Address
Owner/Resident/Business/Employee
Gloria Rojano
Signature
SF CA 94116
City, State & Zip Code
Telephone or Email Address

Print Name

Street Address

Owner/Resident/Business/Employee

Signature

City, State & Zip Code

Telephone or Email Address

Print Name

Street Address

Owner/Resident/Business/Employee

Signature

City, State & Zip Code

Telephone or Email Address

Print Name

Street Address

Owner/Resident/Business/Employee

Signature

City, State & Zip Code

Telephone or Email Address

Mr. Omar Masry, Case Planner
San Francisco Planning Department
Case #: 2011.0499C
cc: Supervisor Katy Tang, District 4

PETITION OPPOSING AT&T-MOBILE ANTENNAS AT 2395-26TH AVENUE @ TARAVAL ST.

We, the undersigned neighborhood property owners, residents, business owners and employees located within a 500 ft. radius of 2395-26th Avenue, San Francisco, CA 94116 **OPPOSE** the proposed installation at 2395-26th Avenue @ Taraval St. of an INDUSTRIAL AT&T Mobility Wireless Communication Facility comprised of 6 antennas and their associated equipment and power cabinets. **The project scope, height and industrial design are not compatible with the architecture and aesthetics of our historic Parkside predominantly residential / small retail neighborhood. The visual clutter and blight of 7 to 8 ft. high chimney stacks and 18 antennas within 300 ft. will create an antenna farm; alter views and impact property values. AT&T Mobility may offer to reduce the height to 4 or 5 feet, but this too would be objectionable because the number of antennas would increase for technical reasons. The long term effects of this facility's RF electromagnetic radiation emissions from multiple antennas on public health and safety is of great concern.**

<u>RAYMOND YICK</u> Print Name	<u>2383-2385 26th Ave</u> Street Address	<u>Owner</u> Owner/Resident/Business/Employee
<u>Raymond Yick</u> Signature	<u>San Francisco CA 94116</u> City, State & Zip Code	<u>rsyick.2k9@gmail.com</u> Telephone or Email Address

<u>SUSTIE YICK</u> Print Name	<u>2383-2385 26th Av</u> Street Address	<u>Owner</u> Owner/Resident/Business/Employee
<u>Shirley L. Yick</u> Signature	<u>San Francisco CA 94116</u> City, State & Zip Code	<u>rsyick.2k9@gmail.com</u> Telephone or Email Address

_____ Print Name	_____ Street Address	_____ Owner/Resident/Business/Employee
---------------------	-------------------------	---

_____ Signature	_____ City, State & Zip Code	_____ Telephone or Email Address
--------------------	---------------------------------	-------------------------------------

_____ Print Name	_____ Street Address	_____ Owner/Resident/Business/Employee
---------------------	-------------------------	---

_____ Signature	_____ City, State & Zip Code	_____ Telephone or Email Address
--------------------	---------------------------------	-------------------------------------

_____ Print Name	_____ Street Address	_____ Owner/Resident/Business/Employee
---------------------	-------------------------	---

_____ Signature	_____ City, State & Zip Code	_____ Telephone or Email Address
--------------------	---------------------------------	-------------------------------------

Mr. Omar Masry, Case Planner
San Francisco Planning Department
Case #: 2011.0499C
cc: Supervisor Katy Tang, District 4

PETITION OPPOSING AT&T-MOBILE ANTENNAS AT 2395-26TH AVENUE @ TARAVAL ST.

We, the undersigned neighborhood property owners, residents, business owners and employees located within a 500 ft. radius of 2395-26th Avenue, San Francisco, CA 94116 OPPOSE the proposed installation at 2395-26th Avenue @ Taraval St. of an INDUSTRIAL AT&T Mobility Wireless Communication Facility comprised of 6 antennas and their associated equipment and power cabinets. The project scope, height and industrial design are not compatible with the architecture and aesthetics of our historic Parkside predominantly residential / small retail neighborhood. The visual clutter and blight of 7 to 8 ft. high chimney stacks and 18 antennas within 300 ft. will create an antenna farm; alter views and impact property values. AT&T Mobility may offer to reduce the height to 4 or 5 feet, but this too would be objectionable because the number of antennas would increase for technical reasons. The long term effects of this facility's RF electromagnetic radiation emissions from multiple antennas on public health and safety is of great concern.

CHOK SAU MAH 2358-26TH AVE SF. Owner/Resident/Business/Employee
Print Name Street Address
Chok Sau Mah S.F. CA 94116 (415)665-6139
Signature City, State & Zip Code Telephone or Email Address

Sui Yung Wong 2239-26TH AVE Owner/Resident/Business/Employee
Print Name Street Address
Sui Yung Wong 2239-26 94116 415 2185708
Signature City, State & Zip Code Telephone or Email Address

Kam Y Chow 2250 26TH AVE Owner/Resident/Business/Employee
Print Name Street Address
KAM Y CHOW SF. CA. 94116 415-759-1206
Signature City, State & Zip Code Telephone or Email Address

BIXIA HUANG 2350 28TH AVE Owner/Resident/Business/Employee
Print Name Street Address
Bixia Huang SF. CA. 94116 415-731-3921
Signature City, State & Zip Code Telephone or Email Address

Sui Li Dong SF CA 94116 Owner/Resident/Business/Employee
Print Name Street Address
Sui Li Dong S.F. CA. 94116 415 664-9927
Signature City, State & Zip Code Telephone or Email Address

Sui Lim Dong S.F. CA. 94116 Telephone or Email Address
Signature City, State & Zip Code

Mr. Omar Masry, Case Planner
San Francisco Planning Department
Case #: 2011.0499C
cc: Supervisor Katy Tang, District 4

PETITION OPPOSING AT&T-MOBILE ANTENNAS AT 2395-26TH AVENUE @ TARAVAL ST.

We, the undersigned **OPPOSE** the proposed installation at 2395-26th Avenue @ Taraval St. of an INDUSTRIAL AT&T Mobility Wireless Communication Facility comprised of any number of antennas and their associated equipment and power cabinets. **The proposed project scope, height and industrial design are not compatible with the architecture and aesthetics of the historic Parkside predominantly residential / small retail neighborhood.** Taraval St. from 25th to 27th Avenue will become an antenna farm with 18 roof top antennas located within 300 ft. of each other. This visual clutter and blight will alter views and impact property values. The long term effects of combined RF electromagnetic radiation emissions from multiple antennas on public health and safety is of great concern.

Tommy R. ABIB
Print Name

2395 26TH AVE APT #8
Street Address

Owner/Resident/Business/Employee

[Signature]
Signature

SAN FRANCISCO CA 94116
City, State & Zip Code

(415) 690-3136
Telephone or Email Address

SAID SAOUAF
Print Name

2395 26TH AVE APT #8
Street Address

(415) 837-8147
Owner/Resident/Business/Employee

[Signature]
Signature

SF, CA 94116
City, State & Zip Code

Telephone or Email Address

DAVID SHIWOTSUKA
Print Name

2395 - 26TH AVE, #4
Street Address

Owner/Resident/Business/Employee

[Signature]
Signature

SF, CA 94116
City, State & Zip Code

415-564-2243
Telephone or Email Address

CHAMNAN SHIWOTSUKA
Print Name

2395 26th Ave #4
Street Address

Owner/Resident/Business/Employee

[Signature]
Signature

S.F. CA 94116
City, State & Zip Code

415-564-2243
Telephone or Email Address

Print Name

Street Address

Owner/Resident/Business/Employee

Signature

City, State & Zip Code

Telephone or Email Address

Mr. Omar Masry, Case Planner
San Francisco Planning Department
Case #: 2011.0499C
cc: Supervisor Katy Tang, District 4

PETITION OPPOSING AT&T-MOBILE ANTENNAS AT 2395-26TH AVENUE @ TARAVAL ST.

We, the undersigned **OPPOSE** the proposed installation at 2395-26th Avenue @ Taraval St. of an INDUSTRIAL AT&T Mobility Wireless Communication Facility comprised of any number of antennas and their associated equipment and power cabinets. **The proposed project scope, height and industrial design are not compatible with the architecture and aesthetics of the historic Parkside predominantly residential / small retail neighborhood.** Taraval St. from 25th to 27th Avenue will become an antenna farm with 18 roof top antennas located within 300 ft. of each other. This visual clutter and blight will alter views and impact property values. The long term effects of combined RF electromagnetic radiation emissions from multiple antennas on public health and safety is of great concern.

EDDIE S. LOUIE
Print Name 1875 - 23RD AVE
Street Address Owner/Resident/Business/Employee

Eddie S. Louie
Signature S.F. CA 94122
City, State & Zip Code 415-661-6396
Telephone or Email Address

NORMAN LEW
Print Name 1038 SANTIAGO ST.
Street Address S.F. CA 94116
Norman Lew
Signature Owner/Resident/Business/Employee

WAI YING LEW
Signature 1038 SANTIAGO ST.
City, State & Zip Code S.F. CA 94116
Wai Ying Lew
Telephone or Email Address 415-681-1247

Wai Ying Lew
Print Name 1038 Santiago St
Street Address Owner/Resident/Business/Employee

Wai Ying Lew
Signature S.F. CA 94116
City, State & Zip Code 415-681-1247
Telephone or Email Address

WO SHUN
Print Name 2438-27TH AVE
Street Address Owner/Resident/Business/Employee

Wo Shun
Signature SF CA 94116
City, State & Zip Code Telephone or Email Address

Rung Sresh
Print Name 1201 Vicente St
Street Address Owner/Resident/Business/Employee

Rung
Signature CA 94116
City, State & Zip Code Telephone or Email Address

Mr. Omar Masry, Case Planner
San Francisco Planning Department
Case #: 2011.0499C
cc: Supervisor Katy Tang, District 4

PETITION OPPOSING AT&T-MOBILE ANTENNAS AT 2395-26TH AVENUE @ TARAVAL ST.

We, the undersigned **OPPOSE** the proposed installation at 2395-26th Avenue @ Taraval St. of an INDUSTRIAL AT&T Mobility Wireless Communication Facility comprised of any number of antennas and their associated equipment and power cabinets. The proposed project scope, height and industrial design are not compatible with the architecture and aesthetics of the historic Parkside predominantly residential / small retail neighborhood. Taraval St. from 25th to 27th Avenue will become an antenna farm with 18 roof top antennas located within 300 ft. of each other. This visual clutter and blight will alter views and impact property values. The long term effects of combined RF electromagnetic radiation emissions from multiple antennas on public health and safety is of great concern.

Shu Guan
Print Name
1878 23rd
Street Address
SF 94122
City, State & Zip Code
672-2048
Telephone or Email Address
Owner/Resident/Business/Employee

[Signature]
Signature
SF. CA 94102
Street Address
[Signature]
City, State & Zip Code
[Signature]
Telephone or Email Address
Owner/Resident/Business/Employee

CHI XIA GUO
Print Name
2247-35 AVE
Street Address
SF, CA 94116
City, State & Zip Code
415-989-3389
Telephone or Email Address
Owner/Resident/Business/Employee

FONG Y. LOW
Print Name
1474-30th AVE.
Street Address
S.F. CA. 94122
City, State & Zip Code
[Signature]
Telephone or Email Address
Owner/Resident/Business/Employee

WAI LIN LOUIE
Print Name
1875 - 23RD AVE
Street Address
S.F. CA. 94122
City, State & Zip Code
415-661-6396
Telephone or Email Address
Owner/Resident/Business/Employee

Mr. Omar Masry, Case Planner
San Francisco Planning Department
Case #: 2011.0499C
cc: Supervisor Katy Tang, District 4

PETITION OPPOSING AT&T-MOBILE ANTENNAS AT 2395-26TH AVENUE @ TARAVAL ST.

^{OPPOSE}
We, the undersigned ~~neighborhood property owners, residents, business owners and employees located within a 500 ft. radius of 2395-26th Avenue, San Francisco, CA 94116~~ **OPPOSE** the proposed installation at 2395-26th Avenue @ Taraval St. of an INDUSTRIAL AT&T Mobility Wireless Communication Facility comprised of 6 antennas and their associated equipment and power cabinets. The project scope, height and industrial design are not compatible with the architecture and aesthetics of our historic Parkside predominantly residential / small retail neighborhood. The visual clutter and blight of 7 to 8 ft. high chimney stacks and 18 antennas within 300 ft. will create an antenna farm; alter views and impact property values. AT&T Mobility may offer to reduce the height to 4 or 5 feet, but this too would be objectionable because the number of antennas would increase for technical reasons. The long term effects of this facility's RF electromagnetic radiation emissions from multiple antennas on public health and safety is of great concern.

DONNA A. DUDLEY 260 BEVERLY ST OWNER
Print Name Street Address Owner/Resident/Business/Employee
Donna A. Dudley SAN FRANCISCO,
Signature City, State & Zip Code CA 94132 Telephone or Email Address

ANNA CARDINALE 58 VALPARAISO ST. OWNER
Print Name Street Address Owner/Resident/Business/Employee
Anna Cardinale San Francisco 94133 415-771-5701
Signature City, State & Zip Code CA Telephone or Email Address

AMENA PANNI 300 - 3rd St SF. 94107 Owner/Resident/Business/Employee
Print Name Street Address Owner/Resident/Business/Employee
Amena Panni SF. CA 94107
Signature City, State & Zip Code Telephone or Email Address

Pamela Piccinini 771 Union St Owner/Resident/Business/Employee
Print Name Street Address Owner/Resident/Business/Employee
Pamela Piccinini SF 94133 415 981 3570
Signature City, State & Zip Code Telephone or Email Address

Lai Lin Dong 2266 26TH AVE Owner/Resident/Business/Employee
Print Name Street Address Owner/Resident/Business/Employee
LAILIN DONG SF. CA. 94116 415-664-9927
Signature City, State & Zip Code Telephone or Email Address

Mr. Omar Masry, Case Planner
San Francisco Planning Department
Case #: 2011.0499C
cc: Supervisor Katy Tang, District 4

PETITION OPPOSING AT&T-MOBILE ANTENNAS AT 2395-26TH AVENUE @ TARAVAL ST.

We, the undersigned **OPPOSE** the proposed installation at 2395-26th Avenue @ Taraval St. of an INDUSTRIAL AT&T Mobility Wireless Communication Facility comprised of any number of antennas and their associated equipment and power cabinets. **The proposed project scope, height and industrial design are not compatible with the architecture and aesthetics of the historic Parkside predominantly residential / small retail neighborhood.** Taraval St. from 25th to 27th Avenue will become an antenna farm with 18 roof top antennas located within 300 ft. of each other. This visual clutter and blight will alter views and impact property values. The long term effects of combined RF electromagnetic radiation emissions from multiple antennas on public health and safety is of great concern.

PEGGY MCFARLAND 2270-25th Ave ✓
Print Name Street Address Owner/Resident/Business/Employee

Peggy McFarland SF Ca 94116
Signature City, State & Zip Code Telephone or Email Address

Aileen Sullivan 2491-21th Ave ✓
Print Name Street Address Owner/Resident/Business/Employee

Aileen Sullivan S.F. Ca. 415-681-2987
Signature City, State & Zip Code Telephone or Email Address

Rita Jordan S.F. 415-731-9535
Print Name Street Address Owner/Resident/Business/Employee
1545-Pacheco St.
Signature City, State & Zip Code Telephone or Email Address

Norma Mayano S.F. California 415-731-9535
Print Name Street Address Owner/Resident/Business/Employee
Signature City, State & Zip Code Telephone or Email Address

CATHERINE O'CARA SMIALOWICZ 115 Pacheco St Owner
Print Name Street Address Owner/Resident/Business/Employee

Catherine O'Caro Smialowicz SF. CA 94116
Signature City, State & Zip Code Telephone or Email Address

Mr. Omar Masry, Case Planner
San Francisco Planning Department
Case #: 2011.0499C
cc: Supervisor Katy Tang, District 4

PETITION OPPOSING AT&T-MOBILE ANTENNAS AT 2395-26TH AVENUE @ TARAVAL ST.

We, the undersigned **OPPOSE** the proposed installation at 2395-26th Avenue @ Taraval St. of an INDUSTRIAL AT&T, Mobility Wireless Communication Facility comprised of **any number of antennas** and their associated equipment and power cabinets. **The proposed project scope, height and industrial design are not compatible with the architecture and aesthetics of the historic Parkside predominantly residential / small retail neighborhood.** Taraval St. from 25th to 27th Avenue will become an antenna farm with 18 roof top antennas located within 300 ft. of each other. This visual clutter and blight will alter views and impact property values. The long term effects of combined RF electromagnetic radiation emissions from multiple antennas on public health and safety is of great concern.

<u>MARCIA Sosnick</u> Print Name	<u>1910-36 Ave</u> Street Address	<u>Owner</u> Owner/Resident/Business/Employee
<u>Marcia Sosnick</u> Signature	<u>S.F. Ca 94116</u> City, State & Zip Code	<u>415-566-9809</u> Telephone or Email Address

<u>EMILY BAGATELOS</u> Print Name	<u>2527 14th Ave</u> Street Address	<u>Owner</u> Owner/Resident/Business/Employee
<u>Emily Bagatelos</u> Signature	<u>S.F. Ca. 94127-1207</u> City, State & Zip Code	<u>415 564 0636</u> Telephone or Email Address

<u>Katherine Karsant</u> Print Name	<u>440 St. Francis Blvd</u> Street Address	<u>OWNER</u> Owner/Resident/Business/Employee
<u>Katherine Karsant</u> Signature	<u>SF CA 94127</u> City, State & Zip Code	<u>415 566-1170</u> Telephone or Email Address

<u>JOYCE LAMPERT</u> Print Name	<u>57 ESCONTRIDO AVE</u> Street Address	<u>owner</u> Owner/Resident/Business/Employee
<u>Joyce Lampert</u> Signature	<u>SF 94132</u> City, State & Zip Code	<u>415-564-4833</u> Telephone or Email Address

<u>Patricia Gray</u> Print Name	<u>S.F. 94116</u> Street Address	<u>415-566-7675</u> Owner/Resident/Business/Employee
<u>PATRICIA GRAY</u> Signature	<u>2315 15th Ave</u> City, State & Zip Code	 Telephone or Email Address

Mr. Omar Masry, Case Planner
San Francisco Planning Department
Case #: 2011.0499C
cc: Supervisor Katy Tang, District 4

PETITION OPPOSING AT&T-MOBILE ANTENNAS AT 2395-26TH AVENUE @ TARAVAL ST.

We, the undersigned **OPPOSE** the proposed installation at 2395-26th Avenue @ Taraval St. of an INDUSTRIAL AT&T Mobility Wireless Communication Facility comprised of **any number of antennas** and their associated equipment and power cabinets. The proposed project scope, height and industrial design are not compatible with the architecture and aesthetics of the historic Parkside **predominantly residential / small retail neighborhood**. Taraval St. from 25th to 27th Avenue will become an antenna farm with 18 roof top antennas located within 300 ft. of each other. This visual clutter and blight will alter views and impact property values. The long term effects of combined RF electromagnetic radiation emissions from multiple antennas on public health and safety is of great concern.

Yvonne Will
Print Name
Yvonne Will
Signature
Yvonne Will
Street Address
1920-14th Ave.
City, State & Zip Code
Owner/Resident/Business/Employee
Telephone or Email Address

Rich PAU
Print Name
[Signature]
Signature
1838-18th AVE
Street Address
SF CA 94122
City, State & Zip Code
Owner/Resident/Business/Employee
Telephone or Email Address

JOSEPHINE P. ESKENAZI
Print Name
[Signature]
Signature
2450 33RD AVE
Street Address
SF. CA 94116
City, State & Zip Code
Owner/Resident/Business/Employee
Telephone or Email Address

CATHERINE M PAUL
Print Name
Catherine M Paul
Signature
2330 34TH AVE.
Street Address
SAN FRANCISCO, CA. 94114
City, State & Zip Code
Owner/Resident/Business/Employee
415-564-1738
Telephone or Email Address

MARGARET SCHULZ
Print Name
[Signature]
Signature
San Francisco, Calif
Street Address
Owner/Resident/Business/Employee
Telephone or Email Address

Mr. Omar Masry, Case Planner
San Francisco Planning Department
Case #: 2011.0499C
cc: Supervisor Katy Tang, District 4

PETITION OPPOSING AT&T-MOBILE ANTENNAS AT 2395-26TH AVENUE @ TARAVAL ST.

We, the undersigned **OPPOSE** the proposed installation at 2395-26th Avenue @ Taraval St. of an INDUSTRIAL AT&T Mobility Wireless Communication Facility comprised of **any number of antennas** and their associated equipment and power cabinets. The proposed project scope, height and industrial design are not compatible with the architecture and aesthetics of the historic Parkside **predominantly residential** / small retail neighborhood. Taraval St. from 25th to 27th Avenue will become an antenna farm with 18 roof top antennas located within 300 ft. of each other. This visual clutter and blight will alter views and impact property values. The long term effects of combined RF electromagnetic radiation emissions from multiple antennas on public health and safety is of great concern.

<u>Robert Black</u> Print Name	<u>2622 16th Ave</u> Street Address	<u>Resident</u> Owner/Resident/Business/Employee
<u>Robert Black</u> Signature	<u>SF CA</u> City, State & Zip Code	<u>/</u> Telephone or Email Address

<u>Helen Black</u> Print Name	<u>2622 16th Ave</u> Street Address	<u>Resident</u> Owner/Resident/Business/Employee
<u>Helen Black</u> Signature	<u>SF CA</u> City, State & Zip Code	<u></u> Telephone or Email Address

<u>Eleanor Cutler</u> Print Name	<u>2028 14th Ave</u> Street Address	<u>Resident</u> Owner/Resident/Business/Employee
<u>Eleanor Cutler</u> Signature	<u>SF CA 94116</u> City, State & Zip Code	<u></u> Telephone or Email Address

<u>Patricia Aleck</u> Print Name	<u>1500-36th Ave</u> Street Address	<u>Resident</u> Owner/Resident/Business/Employee
<u>Patricia Aleck</u> Signature	<u>S.F. 94122</u> City, State & Zip Code	<u></u> Telephone or Email Address

<u>DEMETRIA ALECK</u> Print Name	<u>1500-36th Ave</u> Street Address	<u>RESIDENT</u> Owner/Resident/Business/Employee
<u>Demetria Aleck</u> Signature	<u>S.F. 94122</u> City, State & Zip Code	<u></u> Telephone or Email Address

Mr. Omar Masry, Case Planner
San Francisco Planning Department
Case #: 2011.0499C
cc: Supervisor Katy Tang, District 4

PETITION OPPOSING AT&T-MOBILE ANTENNAS AT 2395-26TH AVENUE @ TARAVAL ST.

We, the undersigned **OPPOSE** the proposed installation at 2395-26th Avenue @ Taraval St. of an INDUSTRIAL AT&T Mobility Wireless Communication Facility comprised of any number of antennas and their associated equipment and power cabinets. **The proposed project scope, height and industrial design are not compatible with the architecture and aesthetics of the historic Parkside predominantly residential / small retail neighborhood.** Taraval St. from 25th to 27th Avenue will become an antenna farm with 18 roof top antennas located within 300 ft. of each other. This visual clutter and blight will alter views and impact property values. The long term effects of combined RF electromagnetic radiation emissions from multiple antennas on public health and safety is of great concern.

Regina O'Brien
Print Name
94 Chicago Key
Street Address
Owner/Resident/Business/Employee
Regina O'Brien
Signature
S.F. Ca 94118
City, State & Zip Code
Telephone or Email Address

MARY PANTAGE
Print Name
2980 19th Ave.
Street Address
Owner/Resident/Business/Employee
Mary Pantage
Signature
S.F. 94134
City, State & Zip Code
Telephone or Email Address

MARY KOOBATIAN
Print Name
482 GELLERT DR.
Street Address
Owner/Resident/Business/Employee
Mary Koobatian
Signature
S.F. 94132
City, State & Zip Code
Telephone or Email Address

MARY M'SWENEY
Print Name
370 Linda Buea
Street Address
Owner/Resident/Business/Employee
Mary McSweney
Signature
S.F. Ca 94197
City, State & Zip Code
333-4675
Telephone or Email Address

ANDREA RAGO
Print Name
1651 No. Point St
Street Address
Owner/Resident/Business/Employee
Andrea Rago
Signature
S.F. Ca 94123
City, State & Zip Code
415-602-1664
Telephone or Email Address

Mr. Omar Masry, Case Planner
San Francisco Planning Department
Case #: 2011.0499C
cc: Supervisor Katy Tang, District 4

PETITION OPPOSING AT&T-MOBILE ANTENNAS AT 2395-26TH AVENUE @ TARAVAL ST.

We, the undersigned OPPOSE the proposed installation at 2395-26th Avenue @ Taraval St. of an INDUSTRIAL AT&T Mobility Wireless Communication Facility comprised of any number of antennas and their associated equipment and power cabinets. The proposed project scope, height and industrial design are not compatible with the architecture and aesthetics of the historic Parkside predominantly residential / small retail neighborhood. Taraval St. from 25th to 27th Avenue will become an antenna farm with 18 roof top antennas located within 300 ft. of each other. This visual clutter and blight will alter views and impact property values. The long term effects of combined RF electromagnetic radiation emissions from multiple antennas on public health and safety is of great concern.

WONG MEE LIN 1567-32ND AVE Owner/Resident/Business/Employee
Print Name Street Address

Mee Lin Wong S. F. CA. 94122 415-566-4248
Signature City, State & Zip Code Telephone or Email Address

Wong Thomas 1567-32ND AVE. Owner/Resident/Business/Employee
Print Name Street Address

Thomas Wong S. F. CA. 94122
Signature City, State & Zip Code Telephone or Email Address

Matthew Kwan 3000 Kirkham St Owner/Resident/Business/Employee
Print Name Street Address

Matthew Kwan San Francisco, CA 94122 415-685-3070
Signature City, State & Zip Code Telephone or Email Address

MARY CHENG 2511 16th Ave Owner/Resident/Business/Employee
Print Name Street Address

Mary Cheng SF CA 94116 415-665-1256
Signature City, State & Zip Code Telephone or Email Address

Michael Fung 2233 Vicente Owner/Resident/Business/Employee
Print Name Street Address

mjo SF CA 94116
Signature City, State & Zip Code Telephone or Email Address

Mr. Omar Masry, Case Planner
San Francisco Planning Department
Case #: 2011.0499C
cc: Supervisor Katy Tang, District 4

PETITION OPPOSING AT&T-MOBILE ANTENNAS AT 2395-26TH AVENUE @ TARAVAL ST.

We, the undersigned **OPPOSE** the proposed installation at 2395-26th Avenue @ Taraval St. of an INDUSTRIAL AT&T Mobility Wireless Communication Facility comprised **of any number of antennas** and their associated equipment and power cabinets. **The proposed project scope, height and industrial design are not compatible with the architecture and aesthetics of the historic Parkside predominantly residential / small retail neighborhood.** Taraval St. from 25th to 27th Avenue will become an antenna farm with 18 roof top antennas located within 300 ft. of each other. This visual clutter and blight will alter views and impact property values. The long term effects of combined RF electromagnetic radiation emissions from multiple antennas on public health and safety is of great concern.

Charlotte Brown
Print Name
S.F. 94116
Street Address
2233 Vicente
~~27-24116 St.~~
City, State & Zip Code
Owner/Resident/Business/Employee
Telephone or Email Address

WILLIAM MOK
Print Name
W.Mok
Signature
S.F. 94116
Street Address
2233 Vicente St.
City, State & Zip Code
Owner/Resident/Business/Employee
Telephone or Email Address

BZN
Print Name
BZ
Signature
SF 94116
Street Address
2233 vicente St
City, State & Zip Code
Owner/Resident/Business/Employee
Telephone or Email Address

Ken Li
Print Name
[Signature]
Signature
2250 VICENTE
Street Address
S.F. CA 94116
City, State & Zip Code
Owner/Resident/Business/Employee
Telephone or Email Address

Gilbert Lee
Print Name
[Signature]
Signature
2250 vicente
Street Address
SF CA 94116
City, State & Zip Code
Owner/Resident/Business/Employee
Telephone or Email Address

Mr. Omar Masry, Case Planner
San Francisco Planning Department
Case #: 2011.0499C
cc: Supervisor Katy Tang, District 4

PETITION OPPOSING AT&T-MOBILE ANTENNAS AT 2395-26TH AVENUE @ TARAVAL ST.

We, the undersigned **OPPOSE** the proposed installation at 2395-26th Avenue @ Taraval St. of an INDUSTRIAL AT&T Mobility Wireless Communication Facility comprised of any number of antennas and their associated equipment and power cabinets. **The proposed project scope, height and industrial design are not compatible with the architecture and aesthetics of the historic Parkside predominantly residential / small retail neighborhood.** Taraval St. from 25th to 27th Avenue will become an antenna farm with 18 roof top antennas located within 300 ft. of each other. This visual clutter and blight will alter views and impact property values. The long term effects of combined RF electromagnetic radiation emissions from multiple antennas on public health and safety is of great concern.

Jazzy Ctin
Print Name
1690 - 38th Ave
Street Address
Owner/Resident/Business/Employee

Jazzy Ctin
Signature
SF CA 94122
City, State & Zip Code
415-569-3904
Telephone or Email Address

HUNG KWONG
Print Name
1831-29TH AVE.
Street Address
Owner/Resident/Business/Employee

Hung Kwong
Signature
SF CA 94122
City, State & Zip Code
415-682-9108
Telephone or Email Address

SUE KWONG
Print Name
1831 29th AVE
Street Address
Owner/Resident/Business/Employee

Sue Kwong
Signature
S.F. CA 94122
City, State & Zip Code
415-682-9108
Telephone or Email Address

WILBER CHIU
Print Name
1690-38th
Street Address
Owner/Resident/Business/Employee

Wilber Chiu
Signature
SF. CA 94122
City, State & Zip Code
Telephone or Email Address

YEN CHUNG
Print Name
730 JUDAH ST
Street Address
Owner/Resident/Business/Employee

Yen Chung
Signature
SF CA 94122
City, State & Zip Code
Telephone or Email Address

JUNE 2011

MR. ADRIAN C. PUTRA, LEED AP
SAN FRANCISCO PLANNING DEPARTMENT
1650 MISSION STREET #400
SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94103

THE FOLLOWING NEIGHBORHOOD GROUPS, NEIGHBORS & OWNERS
WITHIN 500' RADIUS OF 2395 - 26TH AVENUE, SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94116
OPPOSE THE PROPOSED INSTALLATION, AT 2395 - 26TH AVENUE, OF AN
AT&T MOBILITY WIRELESS COMMUNICATION FACILITY COMPRISED
OF SIX (6) PANEL ANTENNAS AND ASSOCIATED EQUIPMENT.

	PRINT NAME	ADDRESS	OWNER / TENANT
X	16 Jennifer Petzall	2307 26 th Ave	✓
	<u>Jennifer Petzall</u>		<u>6/27/2011</u>
	SIGNATURE		DATE
X	17 DEMUS TUC UOS (HOUTANG)	2301-26 Ave	✓ (date)
	<u>Demus Tuc Uos</u>		<u>6/27/11</u>
	SIGNATURE		DATE
X	18 RIBELCA AN	2323-26 th Ave	✓
	<u>Ribeca An</u>		<u>6-27-2011</u>
	SIGNATURE		DATE
	19 2358-26 th AVE	ZI QIANG	✓
	<u>Zi Qiang</u>		<u>6-27-2011</u>
	SIGNATURE		DATE
	20 BERNARD HAAS	2371-26 th AVE	✓
	<u>Bernard Haas</u>		<u>6-27-2011</u>
	SIGNATURE		DATE

JUNE 2011

MR. ADRIAN C. PUTRA, LEED AP
SAN FRANCISCO PLANNING DEPARTMENT
1650 MISSION STREET #400
SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94103

**THE FOLLOWING NEIGHBORHOOD GROUPS, NEIGHBORS & OWNERS
WITHIN 500' RADIUS OF 2395 - 26TH AVENUE, SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94116
OPPOSE THE PROPOSED INSTALLATION, AT 2395 - 26TH AVENUE, OF AN
AT&T MOBILITY WIRELESS COMMUNICATION FACILITY COMPRISED
OF SIX (6) PANEL ANTENNAS AND ASSOCIATED EQUIPMENT.**

PRINT NAME	ADDRESS	OWNER / TENANT
21 ANNA DEB	2378 26 TH AVE	✓
<u>Anna DeB</u>		<u>6/27/11</u>
SIGNATURE		DATE
X 22 King Tung	2378 26 TH AVE	✓
<u>King Tung</u>		<u>6/27/11</u>
SIGNATURE		DATE
23 ANN NOTTE	2366-26th Ave.	✓
<u>Ann Nolte</u>		<u>6/27/11</u>
SIGNATURE		DATE
24 JOHN WEEKS	2343-26 TH Ave	✓
<u>John E. Weeks</u>		<u>6/27/11</u>
SIGNATURE		DATE
25 Aida Seballos	2355-26 TH Ave	✓
<u>Aida Seballos</u>		<u>je 28, 2011</u>
SIGNATURE		DATE

JUNE 2011

MR. ADRIAN C. PUTRA, LEED AP
SAN FRANCISCO PLANNING DEPARTMENT
1650 MISSION STREET #400
SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94103

THE FOLLOWING NEIGHBORHOOD GROUPS, NEIGHBORS & OWNERS
WITHIN 500' RADIUS OF 2395 - 26TH AVENUE, SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94116
OPPOSE THE PROPOSED INSTALLATION, AT 2395 - 26TH AVENUE, OF AN
AT&T MOBILITY WIRELESS COMMUNICATION FACILITY COMPRISED
OF SIX (6) PANEL ANTENNAS AND ASSOCIATED EQUIPMENT.

	<u>PRINT NAME</u>	<u>ADDRESS</u>	<u>OWNER / TENANT</u>
X	26 <u>EMRIJ CHMELEV</u>	<u>2341 - 27 AVE</u>	<u>✓</u>
	<u>Emrij Chmelev</u>		<u>June 29, 2011</u>
	SIGNATURE		DATE
X	27 <u>Victoria Ayala</u>	<u>2335 - 26th Avenue</u>	<u>✓</u>
	<u>Victoria Ayala</u>		<u>6-29-11</u>
	SIGNATURE		DATE
	28 <u>Alicia A Weeks</u>	<u>2343 - 26th Ave</u>	<u>✓</u> ^{AK}
	<u>Alicia A Weeks</u>		<u>6-29-2011</u>
	SIGNATURE		DATE
	29 <u>John Eric Weeks</u>	<u>2343 - 26th Ave</u>	<u>6</u>
	<u>John Eric Weeks</u>		<u>6/29/11</u>
	SIGNATURE		DATE
X	30 <u>CASS SANDORAL</u>	<u>2310 20th AVE.</u>	<u>✓</u>
	<u>Cass Sandoral</u>		<u>6/29/11</u>
	SIGNATURE		DATE

JUNE 2011

MR. ADRIAN C. PUTRA, LEED AP
SAN FRANCISCO PLANNING DEPARTMENT
1650 MISSION STREET #400
SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94103

**THE FOLLOWING NEIGHBORHOOD GROUPS, NEIGHBORS & OWNERS
WITHIN 500' RADIUS OF 2395 - 26TH AVENUE, SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94116
OPPOSE THE PROPOSED INSTALLATION, AT 2395 - 26TH AVENUE, OF AN
AT&T MOBILITY WIRELESS COMMUNICATION FACILITY COMPRISED
OF SIX (6) PANEL ANTENNAS AND ASSOCIATED EQUIPMENT.**

	<u>PRINT NAME</u>	<u>ADDRESS</u>	<u>OWNER / TENANT</u>
X	31 STEVEN VACCARUZZA	2318-26 th AVE	X
	<u>Steven Vaccaruzza</u>		<u>6-29-11</u>
	SIGNATURE		DATE
X	32 CANDY MYERS	2326 26 th AVE	X
	<u>Candy Myers</u>		<u>6-29-11</u>
	SIGNATURE		DATE
X	33 YEVGENIY RAPOPORT	2342 26 th AVE	X
	<u>Yevgeniy Rapoport</u>		<u>06-29-11</u>
	SIGNATURE		DATE
X	34 Regina Bozhenko	2342 26 th AVE	X
	<u>Regina Bozhenko</u>		<u>26-29-11</u>
	SIGNATURE		DATE
X	35 Rachel Donovan	2346 26 th AVE	X
	<u>Rachel Donovan</u>		<u>06/29/11</u>
	SIGNATURE		DATE

JUNE 2011

MR. ADRIAN C. PUTRA, LEED AP
SAN FRANCISCO PLANNING DEPARTMENT
1650 MISSION STREET #400
SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94103

**THE FOLLOWING NEIGHBORHOOD GROUPS, NEIGHBORS & OWNERS
WITHIN 500' RADIUS OF 2395 - 26TH AVENUE, SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94116
OPPOSE THE PROPOSED INSTALLATION, AT 2395 - 26TH AVENUE, OF AN
AT&T MOBILITY WIRELESS COMMUNICATION FACILITY COMPRISED
OF ~~SIX (6)~~ ^{EIGHT (8)} PANEL ANTENNAS AND ASSOCIATED EQUIPMENT.**

	<u>PRINT NAME</u>	<u>ADDRESS</u>	<u>OWNER / TENANT / EMPL</u>
X	41 <u>MELONY ANN COPP</u> <u>Melony Ann Copp</u> SIGNATURE	<u>2379 26th Ave, S.F.</u>	<u>7/10/11</u> DATE <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
	42 <u>Lilia Zheltova</u> <u>Lilia Zheltova</u> SIGNATURE	<u>2395 26th Ave. #11</u>	<u>7/24/11</u> DATE <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
X	43 <u>Inna Blyum</u> <u>Inna Blyum</u> SIGNATURE	<u>2395 26th Ave. #11</u>	<u>7/24/11</u> DATE <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
X	44 <u>Mikhail Khalikabov</u> <u>Mikhail Khalikabov</u> SIGNATURE	<u>2395 26th Ave. #11</u>	<u>7/24/11</u> DATE <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
X	45 <u>Michael Donovan</u> <u>Michael Donovan</u> SIGNATURE	<u>2346 26th Ave</u>	<u>7/25/11</u> DATE <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

JUNE 2011

MR. ADRIAN C. PUTRA, LEED AP
SAN FRANCISCO PLANNING DEPARTMENT
1650 MISSION STREET #400
SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94103

THE FOLLOWING NEIGHBORHOOD GROUPS, NEIGHBORS & OWNERS
WITHIN 500' RADIUS OF 2395 - 26TH AVENUE, SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94116
OPPOSE THE PROPOSED INSTALLATION, AT 2395 - 26TH AVENUE, OF AN
AT&T MOBILITY WIRELESS COMMUNICATION FACILITY COMPRISED
OF ~~SIX (6)~~ ^{EIGHT (8)} PANEL ANTENNAS AND ASSOCIATED EQUIPMENT.

<u>PRINT NAME</u>	<u>ADDRESS</u>	<u>OWNER / TENANT</u>
46 Deborah Rojano	2395-26 th Ave #9	X
<u>Deborah Rojano</u>		<u>7/11/11</u>
SIGNATURE		DATE
47 Ramon Bricio	2395-26 th Ave #9	X
<u>Ramon Bricio</u>		<u>7/11/11</u>
SIGNATURE		DATE
48 Gloria P. Rojano	2395-26 th Ave #9	X
<u>Gloria P. Rojano</u>		<u>7/11/11</u>
SIGNATURE		DATE
X 49 William Lay	2395, 26 th Ave #3	X
<u>William Lay</u>		<u>07/25/11</u>
SIGNATURE		DATE
X 50 Mimi Lay	2395, 26 th Ave #3	X
<u>Mimi Lay</u>		<u>07/25/11</u>
SIGNATURE		DATE

JUNE 2011

MR. ADRIAN C. PUTRA, LEED AP
SAN FRANCISCO PLANNING DEPARTMENT
1650 MISSION STREET #400
SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94103

**THE FOLLOWING NEIGHBORHOOD GROUPS, NEIGHBORS & OWNERS
WITHIN 500' RADIUS OF 2395 - 26TH AVENUE, SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94116
OPPOSE THE PROPOSED INSTALLATION, AT 2395 - 26TH AVENUE, OF AN
AT&T MOBILITY WIRELESS COMMUNICATION FACILITY COMPRISED
OF EIGHT (8) PANEL ANTENNAS AND ASSOCIATED EQUIPMENT.**

<u>PRINT NAME</u>	<u>ADDRESS</u>	<u>OWNER/TENANT/EMPL</u>
X 51 Daw Than	2395, 26 th Ave #3	X
<u>Than</u>		<u>07/25/11</u>
SIGNATURE		DATE
52	<i>see next attached page</i>	
SIGNATURE		DATE
53		
SIGNATURE		DATE
54		
SIGNATURE		DATE
55		
SIGNATURE		DATE

JUNE 2011

MR. ADRIAN C. PUTRA, LEED AP
SAN FRANCISCO PLANNING DEPARTMENT
1650 MISSION STREET #400
SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94103



THE FOLLOWING NEIGHBORHOOD GROUPS, NEIGHBORS & OWNERS
WITHIN 500' RADIUS OF 2395 - 26TH AVENUE, SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94116
OPPOSE THE PROPOSED INSTALLATION, AT 2395 - 26TH AVENUE, OF AN
AT&T MOBILITY WIRELESS COMMUNICATION FACILITY COMPRISED
OF SIX (6) PANEL ANTENNAS AND ASSOCIATED EQUIPMENT.

	<u>PRINT NAME</u>	<u>ADDRESS</u>	<u>OWNER / TENANT</u>
<i>copy</i>	46 Deborah Rojano	2395-26 th Ave #9	X
	<i>Deborah Rojano</i>		7/11/11
	SIGNATURE		DATE
<i>copy</i>	47 Ramon Bricio	2395-26 th Ave #9	X
	<i>Ramon Bricio</i>		7/11/11
	SIGNATURE		DATE
<i>copy</i>	48 Gloria P. Rojano	2395-26 th Ave #9	X
	<i>Gloria P. Rojano</i>		7/11/11
	SIGNATURE		DATE
X	52 49 <i>Aaron Guillen</i>	AARON GUILLEN 2370 26 th AVE, #1	X
	<i>Aaron Guillen</i>		7/24/11
	SIGNATURE		DATE
	50		
	SIGNATURE		DATE

JUNE 2011

MR. ADRIAN C. PUTRA, LEED AP
SAN FRANCISCO PLANNING DEPARTMENT
1650 MISSION STREET #400
SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94103

**THE FOLLOWING NEIGHBORHOOD GROUPS, NEIGHBORS & OWNERS
WITHIN 500' RADIUS OF 2395 - 26TH AVENUE, SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94116
OPPOSE THE PROPOSED INSTALLATION, AT 2395 - 26TH AVENUE, OF AN
AT&T MOBILITY WIRELESS COMMUNICATION FACILITY COMPRISED
OF EIGHT (8) PANEL ANTENNAS AND ASSOCIATED EQUIPMENT.**

	<u>PRINT NAME</u>	<u>ADDRESS</u>	<u>OWNER/TENANT/EMPL</u>
X 58	61 Ian Hutchinson	2395 26 th Ave Apt 1	X
			7/25/11
	SIGNATURE		DATE
59	62 Jeff Ryan	2395 26 th AVE Apt 1	X
			7/25/11
	SIGNATURE		DATE
63			
	SIGNATURE		DATE
64			
	SIGNATURE		DATE
65			
	SIGNATURE		DATE

MR. ADRIAN C. PUTRA, LEED AP
SAN FRANCISCO PLANNING DEPARTMENT
1650 MISSION STREET #400
SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94103

CC: SAN FRANCISCO BOARD OF SUPERVISORS
Supervisor Carmen Chu, 4th District

**THE FOLLOWING NEIGHBORHOOD GROUPS, NEIGHBORS & OWNERS WITHIN 500'
RADIUS OF 2395 - 26TH AVENUE, SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94116
OPPOSE THE PROPOSED INSTALLATION, AT 2395 - 26TH AVENUE @ TARAVAL, OF A
AT&T MOBILITY WIRELESS COMMUNICATION FACILITY, OF ANY SIZE, COMPRISED
OF ANTENNAS AND ASSOCIATED EQUIPMENT.**

PRINT NAME ADDRESS OWNER/TENANT/EMPL

X N) Marc Darcy 2475 25th Ave SF CA 94116

PHONE _____ E-MAIL _____ AT&T CUST X YES NO

AT&T PROBLEM _____

WILL YOU CONDUCT A PERSONAL AT&T RECEPTION TEST? DESCRIBE

HOME _____ AREA _____

SIGNATURE _____ DATE 10/7/11

X N) James Lee 2400-25th Ave _____

(415) 664-9896 _____ AT&T CUST _____ YES NO

PHONE _____ E-MAIL _____

AT&T PROBLEM _____

WILL YOU CONDUCT A PERSONAL AT&T RECEPTION TEST? _____ DESCRIBE

HOME _____ AREA _____

SIGNATURE _____ DATE 10-8-2011

X N) [Signature] 2400 Ulloa st. SF CA 94116

415-243 9696 _____ AT&T CUST X YES NO

PHONE _____ E-MAIL _____

AT&T PROBLEM _____

WILL YOU CONDUCT A PERSONAL AT&T RECEPTION TEST? _____ DESCRIBE

HOME _____ AREA _____

SIGNATURE _____ DATE _____

MS. MICHELLE STAHLHUT, LEED AP
 SAN FRANCISCO PLANNING DEPARTMENT
 1650 MISSION STREET #400
 SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94103
Michelle.Stahlhut@SFGOV.ORG
 CASE #: 2011.0499C - 2395-26TH AVENUE, SAN FRANCISCO CA 94116

CC: SAN FRANCISCO BOARD OF SUPERVISORS
 Supervisor Carmen Chu, District 4

THE FOLLOWING NEIGHBORHOOD PROPERTY OWNERS, RESIDENTS, AND EMPLOYEES LOCATED WITHIN A RADIUS OF 500FT. OF 2395-26TH AVENUE, SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94116
OPPOSE THE PROPOSED INSTALLATION, AT 2395-26TH AVENUE @ TARAVAL STREET, OF AN INDUSTRIAL AT&T MOBILITY WIRELESS COMMUNICATION FACILITY, OF ANY SIZE, COMPRISED OF ANTENNAS AND THEIR ASSOCIATED EQUIPMENT.

PRINT NAME ADDRESS OWNER /TENANT/ EMPL

X N) CHRIS NICHOLS 1535 TARAVAL 201
415-661-3989 DR. DOG @ SFCGLOB AT&T CUST
 PHONE E-MAIL YES NO
 AT&T PROBLEM? _____


DESCRIBE
 WILL YOU CONDUCT A PERSONAL AT&T RECEPTION TEST IN YOUR HOME? Y

[Signature] 12/19/12
 SIGNATURE DATE


X N) SEIKO GRANT 1539
293 TARAVAL # 201
415-661-3989 _____ AT&T CUST
 PHONE E-MAIL YES NO
 AT&T PROBLEM? _____

DESCRIBE
 WILL YOU CONDUCT A PERSONAL AT&T RECEPTION TEST IN YOUR HOME? _____

[Signature] 12/19/12
 SIGNATURE DATE



SITE PLAN
1"=10'-0"



NOTE: SEE A-3 FOR GARAGE LEVEL PLAN

PLANO
STUDIO

CN5723
2395 26TH AVE
SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94116

ISSUE STATUS

Δ	DATE	DESCRIPTION	BY
	02/28/13	ZD 90%	C.C
	04/01/13	ZD 100%	C.C
	09/17/13	CLIENT REV	C.C
	11/04/13	CLIENT REV	C.C
	-	-	-
	-	-	-

DRAWN BY: C. CODY
CHECKED BY: C. MATHISEN
APPROVED BY: -
DATE: 11/04/13

Streamline Engineering
and Design, Inc.

8445 Sierra College Blvd, Suite E Granite Bay, CA 95746
Contact: Larry Houghtby Phone: 916-275-4180
E-Mail: larry@streamlineeng.com Fax: 916-660-1941

THESE PLANS AND SPECIFICATIONS, AS INSTRUMENTS OF SERVICE, ARE AND SHALL REMAIN THE PROPERTY OF STREAMLINE ENGINEERING AND DESIGN, INC. WHETHER THE PRODUCTS FOR WHICH THEY ARE MADE ARE EXECUTED OR NOT. THESE PLANS AND SPECIFICATIONS ARE NOT TO BE REPRODUCED, COPIED, OR TRANSMITTED IN ANY FORM OR BY ANY MEANS, WITHOUT THE WRITTEN CONSENT OF THE ENGINEER. COPYRIGHT © 2008 BY STREAMLINE ENGINEERING AND DESIGN, INC. ALL RIGHTS RESERVED.

at&t

430 BUSH ST, 5TH FLOOR
SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94108

SHEET TITLE:
SITE PLAN
SHEET NUMBER:
A-1

ISSUE STATUS

Δ	DATE	DESCRIPTION	BY
	02/28/13	ZD 90%	C.C
	04/01/13	ZD 100%	C.C
	09/17/13	CLIENT REV	C.C
	11/04/13	CLIENT REV	C.C
	-	-	-
	-	-	-

DRAWN BY: C. CODY

CHECKED BY: C. MATHISEN


APPROVED BY: -

DATE: 11/04/13

Streamline Engineering
and Design, Inc.

8445 Sierra College Blvd, Suite E Granite Bay, CA 95746
Contact: Larry Houghtby Phone: 916-275-4180
E-Mail: larry@streamlineeng.com Fax: 916-660-1941

THESE PLANS AND SPECIFICATIONS, AS INSTRUMENTS OF SERVICE, ARE AND SHALL REMAIN THE PROPERTY OF STREAMLINE ENGINEERING AND DESIGN, INC. WHETHER THE PRODUCTS FOR WHICH THEY ARE MADE ARE EXECUTED OR NOT. THESE PLANS AND SPECIFICATIONS ARE NOT TO BE REPRODUCED, COPIED, REPRODUCED, OR TRANSMITTED IN ANY FORM OR BY ANY MEANS, WITHOUT THE WRITTEN CONSENT OF THE ENGINEER. COPYRIGHT © 2008 BY STREAMLINE ENGINEERING AND DESIGN, INC. ALL RIGHTS RESERVED.



ENLARGED SITE PLAN
1"=5'-0"

0 1' 2' 3' 4' 5' 10' 15' 25'

NOTE: SEE A-3 FOR GARAGE LEVEL PLAN

at&t

430 BUSH ST, 5TH FLOOR
SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94108

SHEET TITLE:
ENLARGED SITE PLAN

SHEET NUMBER:
A-2

ISSUE STATUS






Δ	DATE	DESCRIPTION	BY
	02/28/13	ZD 90%	C.C
	04/01/13	ZD 100%	C.C
	09/17/13	CLIENT REV	C.C
	11/04/13	CLIENT REV	C.C
	-	-	-

DRAWN BY: C. CODY

CHECKED BY: C. MATHISEN

APPROVED BY: -

DATE: 11/04/13



Streamline Engineering
and Design, Inc.

8445 Sierra College Blvd, Suite E Granite Bay, CA 95746
Contact: Larry Houghtby Phone: 916-275-4180
E-Mail: larry@streamlineeng.com Fax: 916-660-1941


THESE PLANS AND SPECIFICATIONS AS INSTRUMENTS OF SERVICE ARE AND SHALL REMAIN THE PROPERTY OF STREAMLINE ENGINEERING AND DESIGN, INC. WHETHER THE PRODUCTS FOR WHICH THEY ARE MADE ARE EXECUTED OR NOT. THESE INSTRUMENTS OF SERVICE SHALL NOT BE REPRODUCED, COPIED, OR TRANSMITTED IN ANY FORM OR BY ANY MEANS, WITHOUT THE WRITTEN CONSENT OF THE ENGINEER. COPYRIGHT © 2008 BY STREAMLINE ENGINEERING AND DESIGN, INC. ALL RIGHTS RESERVED.

at&t

430 BUSH ST, 5TH FLOOR
SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94108


SHEET TITLE:
EQUIPMENT PLAN & DETAILS

SHEET NUMBER:
A-4



ANTENNA PLAN A

1/2" = 1'-0"



ANTENNA PLAN B

1/2" = 1'-0"

PLANO
STUDIO

CN5723
2395 26TH AVE
SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94116

ISSUE STATUS

Δ	DATE	DESCRIPTION	BY
	02/28/13	ZD 90%	C.C
	04/01/13	ZD 100%	C.C
	09/17/13	CLIENT REV	C.C
	11/04/13	CLIENT REV	C.C
	-	-	-
	-	-	-

DRAWN BY: C. CODY

CHECKED BY: C. MATHISEN

APPROVED BY: -

DATE: 11/04/13

Streamline Engineering
and Design, Inc.

8445 Sierra College Blvd, Suite E Granite Bay, CA 95746
Contact: Larry Houghtby Phone: 916-275-4180
E-Mail: larry@streamlineeng.com Fax: 916-660-1941

THESE PLANS AND SPECIFICATIONS ARE INSTRUMENTS OF SERVICE AND SHALL REMAIN THE PROPERTY OF STREAMLINE ENGINEERING AND DESIGN, INC. WHETHER THE PRODUCTS FOR WHICH THEY ARE MADE ARE EXECUTED OR NOT. THESE INSTRUMENTS OF SERVICE SHALL BE RETURNED TO STREAMLINE ENGINEERING AND DESIGN, INC. UPON COMPLETION OF THE PROJECT OR AS SOON THEREAFTER AS REQUESTED BY THE CLIENT. ALL RIGHTS RESERVED.

at&t



430 BUSH ST, 5TH FLOOR
SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94108

SHEET TITLE:

ANTENNA PLANS

SHEET NUMBER:

A-5

ISSUE STATUS


Δ	DATE	DESCRIPTION	BY
	02/28/13	ZD 90%	C.C
	04/01/13	ZD 100%	C.C
	09/17/13	CLIENT REV	C.C
	11/04/13	CLIENT REV	C.C
	-	-	-
	-	-	-

DRAWN BY: C. CODY
CHECKED BY: C. MATHISEN
APPROVED BY: -
DATE: 11/04/13

Streamline Engineering
and Design, Inc.

8445 Sierra College Blvd, Suite E Granite Bay, CA 95746
Contact: Larry Houghtby Phone: 916-275-4180
E-Mail: larry@streamlineeng.com Fax: 916-660-1941

THESE PLANS AND SPECIFICATIONS AS INSTRUMENTS OF SERVICE ARE AND SHALL REMAIN THE PROPERTY OF STREAMLINE ENGINEERING AND DESIGN, INC. WHETHER THE PRODUCTS FOR WHICH THEY ARE MADE ARE EXECUTED OR NOT. THESE PLANS AND SPECIFICATIONS ARE NOT TO BE REPRODUCED, COPIED, REPRODUCED, OR TRANSMITTED IN ANY FORM OR BY ANY MEANS, WITHOUT THE WRITTEN CONSENT OF THE ENGINEER. COPYRIGHT © 2008 BY STREAMLINE ENGINEERING AND DESIGN, INC. ALL RIGHTS RESERVED.



NORTH ELEVATION

3/16"=1'-0"

VIEW FROM SANTIAGO ST



430 BUSH ST, 5TH FLOOR
SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94108

SHEET TITLE:

ELEVATION

SHEET NUMBER:

A-6

ISSUE STATUS


Δ	DATE	DESCRIPTION	BY
	02/28/13	ZD 90%	C.C
	04/01/13	ZD 100%	C.C
	09/17/13	CLIENT REV	C.C
	11/04/13	CLIENT REV	C.C
	-	-	-
	-	-	-

DRAWN BY: C. CODY
CHECKED BY: C. MATHISEN
APPROVED BY: -
DATE: 11/04/13

Streamline Engineering
and Design, Inc.

8445 Sierra College Blvd, Suite E Granite Bay, CA 95746
Contact: Larry Houghtby Phone: 916-275-4180
E-Mail: larry@streamlineeng.com Fax: 916-660-1941

THESE PLANS AND SPECIFICATIONS, AS INSTRUMENTS OF SERVICE, ARE AND SHALL REMAIN THE PROPERTY OF STREAMLINE ENGINEERING AND DESIGN, INC. WHETHER THE PRODUCTS FOR WHICH THEY ARE MADE ARE EXECUTED OR NOT. THESE PLANS AND SPECIFICATIONS ARE NOT TO BE REPRODUCED, COPIED, REPRODUCED, OR TRANSMITTED IN ANY FORM OR BY ANY MEANS, WITHOUT THE WRITTEN CONSENT OF THE ENGINEER. COPYRIGHT © 2008, BY STREAMLINE ENGINEERING AND DESIGN, INC. ALL RIGHTS RESERVED.



EAST ELEVATION

3/16"=1'-0"

VIEW FROM 26TH AVE



430 BUSH ST, 5TH FLOOR
SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94108

SHEET TITLE:

ELEVATION

SHEET NUMBER:

A-7

ISSUE STATUS

Δ	DATE	DESCRIPTION	BY
	02/28/13	ZD 90%	C.C
	04/01/13	ZD 100%	C.C
	09/17/13	CLIENT REV	C.C
	11/04/13	CLIENT REV	C.C
	-	-	-
	-	-	-

DRAWN BY: C. CODY
CHECKED BY: C. MATHISEN
APPROVED BY: -
DATE: 11/04/13

Streamline Engineering
and Design, Inc.

8445 Sierra College Blvd, Suite E Granite Bay, CA 95746
Contact: Larry Houghtby Phone: 916-275-4180
E-Mail: larry@streamlineeng.com Fax: 916-660-1941

THESE PLANS AND SPECIFICATIONS, AS INSTRUMENTS OF SERVICE, ARE AND SHALL REMAIN THE PROPERTY OF STREAMLINE ENGINEERING AND DESIGN, INC. WHETHER THE PRODUCTS FOR WHICH THEY ARE MADE ARE FACULTY OR NOT. THESE PLANS AND SPECIFICATIONS ARE NOT TO BE REPRODUCED, COPIED, REPRODUCED, OR TRANSMITTED IN ANY FORM OR BY ANY MEANS, WITHOUT THE WRITTEN CONSENT OF THE ENGINEER. COPYRIGHT © 2008, STREAMLINE ENGINEERING AND DESIGN, INC. ALL RIGHTS RESERVED.



SOUTH ELEVATION
3/16"=1'-0"

VIEW FROM TRAVAL ST

at&t

430 BUSH ST, 5TH FLOOR
SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94108

SHEET TITLE:
ELEVATION

SHEET NUMBER:
A-8

ISSUE STATUS


Δ	DATE	DESCRIPTION	BY
	02/28/13	ZD 90%	C.C
	04/01/13	ZD 100%	C.C
	09/17/13	CLIENT REV	C.C
	11/04/13	CLIENT REV	C.C
	-	-	-
	-	-	-

DRAWN BY: C. CODY

CHECKED BY: C. MATHISEN

APPROVED BY: -

DATE: 11/04/13



WEST ELEVATION

3/16" = 1'-0"

VIEW FROM 27TH AVE

Streamline Engineering
and Design, Inc.

8445 Sierra College Blvd, Suite E Granite Bay, CA 95746
Contact: Larry Houghtby Phone: 916-275-4180
E-Mail: larry@streamlineeng.com Fax: 916-660-1941

THESE PLANS AND SPECIFICATIONS, AS INSTRUMENTS OF SERVICE, ARE AND SHALL REMAIN THE PROPERTY OF STREAMLINE ENGINEERING AND DESIGN, INC. WHETHER THE PRODUCTS FOR WHICH THEY ARE DRAWN ARE EXECUTED OR NOT. THESE PLANS AND SPECIFICATIONS ARE TO BE USED ONLY FOR THE PROJECT AND SITE SPECIFICALLY IDENTIFIED HEREIN. NO PART OF THESE PLANS OR SPECIFICATIONS ARE TO BE REPRODUCED OR TRANSMITTED IN ANY FORM OR BY ANY MEANS, ELECTRONIC OR MECHANICAL, WITHOUT THE WRITTEN CONSENT OF THE ENGINEER. COPYRIGHT © 2008, STREAMLINE ENGINEERING AND DESIGN, INC. ALL RIGHTS RESERVED.



430 BUSH ST, 5TH FLOOR
SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94108

SHEET TITLE:
ELEVATION

SHEET NUMBER:
A-9

ISSUE STATUS

Δ	DATE	DESCRIPTION	BY
	02/28/13	ZD 90%	C.C
	04/01/13	ZD 100%	C.C
	09/17/13	CLIENT REV	C.C
	11/04/13	CLIENT REV	C.C
	-	-	-
	-	-	-

DRAWN BY: C. CODY

CHECKED BY: C. MATHISEN

APPROVED BY: -

DATE: 11/04/13

Streamline Engineering
and Design, Inc.

8445 Sierra College Blvd, Suite E Granite Bay, CA 95746
Contact: Larry Houghtby Phone: 916-275-4180
E-Mail: larry@streamlineeng.com Fax: 916-660-1941


THESE PLANS AND SPECIFICATIONS, AS INSTRUMENTS OF SERVICE, ARE AND SHALL REMAIN THE PROPERTY OF STREAMLINE ENGINEERING AND DESIGN, INC. WHETHER THE PRODUCTS FOR WHICH THEY ARE DRAWN ARE FACULTY OR NOT. THESE PLANS AND SPECIFICATIONS ARE NOT TO BE REPRODUCED, COPIED, OR TRANSMITTED IN ANY FORM OR BY ANY MEANS, WITHOUT THE WRITTEN CONSENT OF THE ENGINEER. COPYRIGHT © 2008 BY STREAMLINE ENGINEERING AND DESIGN, INC. ALL RIGHTS RESERVED.




at&t

430 BUSH ST, 5TH FLOOR
SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94108


SHEET TITLE:
DETAILS
SHEET NUMBER:
A-10



① ANTENNA DETAIL
1/2"=1'-0" MAX WEIGHT: 38 LBS




② ANTENNA DETAIL
1/2"=1'-0" MAX WEIGHT = 100LBS



③ RRH DETAIL
1"=1'-0" MAX WEIGHT = 50LBS
ERICSSON RRUS-11



④ GPS DETAIL
1"=6"



⑤ SURGE SUPPRESSOR DETAIL
1"=1'-0" MAX WEIGHT = 32.8LBS MAX WEIGHT = 32.8LBS