Memo to the Historic Preservation Commission

HEARING DATE: SEPTEMBER 7, 2011

Project Name: Planning Code Amendments: Articles 10 & 11

Case Number: 2011.0167T

Initiated by: John Rahaim, Director of PlanningStaff Contact: Sophie Hayward, Legislative Affairs

sophie.hayward@sfgov.org, 415-558-6372

Reviewed by: Tim Frye, Preservation Coordinator

tim.frye@sfgov.org, 415-575-6822

This memorandum concerns the Planning Code Amendments to Articles 10 and 11.

The proposed revisions to Planning Code Articles 10 and 11 were drafted by the Historic Preservation Commission (HPC) over the course of a series of hearings held between July and December, 2010. The City Attorney's office has reviewed the amendments to both Articles 10 and 11 as drafted by the HPC and has made suggested revisions on the drafts in order to approve them as-to-form.

Included in today's packet are:

- 1. Historic Preservation Commission Resolution No. 657 (October 6, 2010), recommending that the Board of Supervisors adopt with modifications proposed amendments to Article 10 of the Planning Code;
- 2. Historic Preservation Commission Resolution 659 (December 1, 2010), recommending that the Board of Supervisors adopt with modifications proposed amendments to Article 11 of the Planning Code;
- 3. Revisions to the HPC-amended Articles 10 and 11 recommended by the City Attorney's office in order to clarify the intent and to enable a legal, approved-to-form ordinance.
- 4. Draft Resolution recommending that the Board of Supervisors adopt with modifications an ordinance that would amend Article 10 of the Planning Code;
- 5. Draft Resolution recommending that the Board of Supervisors adopt with modifications an ordinance that would amend Article 11 of the Planning Code.

SUMMARY OF THE AUGUST 17, 2011 HEARING

At the August 17, 2011 HPC hearing, Deputy City Attorney Marlena Byrne reviewed with the HPC suggested edits that she made to the draft Ordinances, with a particular focus on edits and comments that were substantive in nature. The following table summarizes comments made by Deputy City Attorney Byrne (identified by comment number and page number) discussed at the August 17, 2011 hearing:

1650 Mission St. Suite 400 San Francisco, CA 94103-2479

Reception: 415.558.6378

Fax:

415.558.6409

Planning Information: 415.558.6377

Comment	Page No.	Summary
MB Comment 5	8	All of Section 1003 may be deleted. This language was taken from the Charter, and there is no need to duplicate it in the Planning Code.
MB Comment 9	11	The phrase "resolution of intent" was changed and replaced with "by resolution." A resolution of intent is not legally effective.
MB Comment 10	11	The language was edited in order to make it clear that the HPC must take an action, not just hold a hearing.
MB Comment 12	12	Language was deleted that explicitly called for the Department to set a time and place for public hearings, as the time/place for hearings has been established by existing City/State laws.
MB Comment 14	13	No explicit time limit is outlined for an HPC hearing if a designation is initiated by any body other than the Board of Supervisors A specified time frame may be useful.
MB Comment 15	13	Edits for clarity: 1. If the HPC disapproves a landmark designation, that decision is final and does not get referred to the BOS (the disapproval may be appealed to the BPA). 2. The Department ensures that the HPC and the PC review landmark district recommendations, and that the recommendations get forwarded to the BOS.
MB Comment 17	17	This edit adds a phrase to describe how "conformity" is achieved: either through modifications to the proposed project, or through an amended C of A.
MB Comment 20	19	"And" was replaced by "or," to show that it is an alternative.
MB Comment 29	23	A timeframe for review by the HPC of administrative C's of A was added in order to provide certainty to project sponsors.
MB Comment 31	23	Consolidated what were two separate noticing procedures (one for C's of A, one for appeals of admin C's of A) into a single, standard procedure.
MB Comment 32	24	A section was removed that stated that failure to notice to a property owner whose address is not on the Assessor's rolls would invalidate any procedures. This seems overly constraining, and is not consistent with case law (notice doesn't need to be perfect).
MB Comment 37	30	Planning Commission was added to clarify that decisions made by the HPC or the PC may be appealed.
MB Comment 40	31	The Board of Supervisors may approve, disapprove, or modify decisions by the HPC. (This section references decisions affecting City Hall.) The prior version had not included the option for the BOS to modify only to approve or to disapprove.
MB Comment 41	33	Phrase edited for clarity the procedures of this Article need to be followed.
MB Comment 43	35	This section addresses the 180 day hold for permits for sites with pending landmark designations. As previously drafted, this section would have allowed a private (non-city) party to submit a completed landmark designation application and, once that application were deemed complete, a 180 day permit hold would commence. The edit re-works the language to clarify that only after the HPC initiates designation does the hold go into effect.

ISSUES FOR CONSIDERATION AT THE SEPTEMBER 7, 2011 HEARING

Of the comments summarized in the table above, a number of points were discussed without full resolution at the August 17 hearing. The Department seeks additional guidance from the HPC in the following five areas:

- 1. MB Comment 9, on Page 11: Consensus seemed to be that the HPC will adopt a policy that directs staff to prepare two draft motions for proposed landmark designations: one draft motion that initiates designation, and one that does not initiate the designation. Alternatively, staff may provide a single draft motion with an area reserved for the HPC to make findings at the public hearing regarding whether or not to initiate designation. This will prevent having to continue an item to a subsequent hearing if the HPC does not agree with the Department recommendation. Although there appeared to be consensus on this item, the HPC will need to draft and adopt the specific policy.
- 2. MB Comment 14, on Page 13: This comment was left unresolved at the August 17 hearing. No timeframe is currently outlined for an HPC hearing if a designation is initiated by anyone other than the Board of Supervisors. Does the HPC wish to add specific timelines for HPC hearings on designation initiated by bodies other than the Board of Supervisors?
- 3. MB Comment 29, on Page 23: This section was edited in order to provide a 30-day timeframe for the request for hearing period for Administrative Certificates of Appropriateness (C of A) issued by the Planning Department. There was discussion at the August 17 hearing about whether a 45-day timeframe may be more reasonable request for hearing period, but there was no resolution regarding an appropriate timeframe. The Department recommends that the timeframe be reduced to 20 days. The HPC approved the issuance of administrative Certificates of Appropriateness in June, 2011. The purpose of the administrative C of A is to allow for expedited review of small projects with limited scopes of work. For example, a non-visible rooftop HVAC installation would not require notice for any reason; however, the Department could not approve the permit until a 30-day request for hearing period expires. Another example for the HPC to consider is the construction of a new rear deck, which would require Planning Code Section 311 neighborhood notice for the building expansion. The required 311 notification period is 30-days, and the Department recommends that the time frame for the request to review an Administrative C of A not exceed that 30-day time frame. However, the Department believes that if the timeframe for the request to review an Administrative C of A is longer than 20 days, a likely scenario is that projects that qualify for Administrative C's of A would be delayed beyond the regular noticing and hearing requirements for a Certificate of Appropriateness that is required to be reviewed at a full HPC hearing.
- 4. MB Comment 43, on Page 35: This Section addresses the 180 day hold on permits for sites with pending landmark designation applications. At the August 17, 2011 hearing, the Department recommended that the HPC adopt further clarifications in order to clarify that work may be approved if a Certificate of Appropriateness is granted for the work proposed for the site or district with a pending landmark designation. **Does the HPC wish to add this clarification?**
- 5. A member of the public noted during the public comment period that on Page 18, Line 24, the HPC has 50 days in which to provide advice to the Art Commission regarding murals on landmarks or contributory structures. 50 days is anomalous as a timeframe

within Article 10. Would the HPC like to amend the 50 day timeline to 45 days, in order to be consistent with other specific timeframes for review?

As was the case at the August hearing, Deputy City Attorney Marlena Byrne will be available at the September 7 hearing to discuss the proposed amendments to Articles 10 and 11. For the most part, the edits are not substantive and are meant to clarify the content of the two Articles and to bring the proposed Ordinances into conformity with legal requirements and legislative drafting practice.

The focus of the September 7 HPC hearing will be to complete the review of recommended changes to Article 10 and to discuss new changes to Article 11. If there is sufficient time, the Department would also like to discuss the status of and procedures for Administrative Certificates of Appropriateness, and whether or not additional amendments to the existing Article 10 may be required in order to further clarify the administrative review process.

RECOMMENDATION

The Department recommends that the Historic Preservation Commission adopt each of the two attached draft resolutions.

Historic Preservation Commission Resolution No. 657

Planning Code Text Changes: Article 10

HEARING DATE: OCTOBER 6, 2010

Project Name: 2010 Planning Code Amendments

Case Number: 2010.0080T

Initiated by: John Rahaim, Director of Planning

Initiated: July 8, 2010

Staff Contact: Tara Sullivan, Legislative Affairs

tara.sullivan@sfgov.org, 415-558-6257

Reviewed by: Tim Frye, Acting Preservation Coordinator

tim.frye@sfgov.org, 415-575-6822

Recommendation: Approve Article 10 Amendments with Modifications

1650 Mission St. Suite 400 San Francisco, CA 94103-2479

Reception: 415.558.6378

Fax: 415.558.6409

Planning Information: 415.558.6377

RECOMMENDING THAT THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS <u>ADOPT WITH MODIFICATIONS</u> AN ORDINANCE INITIATED BY THE PLANNING COMMISSION THAT WOULD AMEND THE PLANNING CODE ARTICLE 10 – PRESERVATION OF HISTORICAL ARCHITECTURAL AND AESTHETIC LANDMARKS PER HPC DRAFT DATED OCTOBER 6, 2010; ADOPTING FINDINGS, INCLUDING ENVIRONMENTAL FINDINGS AND PLANNING CODE SECTION 101.1 FINDINGS.

PREAMBLE

WHEREAS, on February 3, 2010, the Planning Director requested that amendments be made to the Planning Code under Case Number 2010.0080T; and

WHEREAS, the proposed Planning Code text changes would amend several sections of the Code and in particular, to Articles 10 and 11; and

WHEREAS, the Planning Commission conducted a duly noticed public hearing to consider the initiation of the proposed Ordinance on July 8, 2010; and

WHEREAS the Planning Commission adopted Resolution No. 18133 initiating amendments to the Planning Code on July 8, 2010; and

WHEREAS, the Planning Commission conducted a duly noticed public hearing to consider the proposed Ordinance on August 5, 2010; and

HPC Resolution No. 657

Hearing Date: October 6, 2010

CASE NO. 2010.0080T

Article 10 Amendments

WHEREAS the Planning Commission adopted Resolution No. 18157 recommending approval with modifications of the proposed ordinance to the Board of Supervisors on August 5, 2010; and

WHEREAS, pursuant to Charter Section 4.135, any proposed ordinance concerning historic preservation issues must be submitted to the Historic Preservation Commission ("HPC") for review and recommendation to the Board of Supervisors; and

WHEREAS, the Historic Preservation Commission conducted a duly noticed public hearings to consider the proposed amendments to Articles 10 & 11 on July 21st, August 4th, 18th, September 1st, 15th, 29th, and October 6th, 2010; and

WHEREAS, the proposed Ordinance has been determined to be categorically exempt from environmental review under the California Environmental Quality Act Section 15060(c); and

WHEREAS, the HPC has heard and considered the testimony presented to it at the public hearing and has further considered written materials and oral testimony presented on behalf of Department staff and other interested parties; and

WHEREAS, the all pertinent documents may be found in the files of the Department, as the custodian of records, at 1650 Mission Street, Suite 400, San Francisco; and

WHEREAS, the HPC has reviewed the proposed Ordinance; and

MOVED, that the HPC hereby recommends that the Board of Supervisors *approve with modifications* the proposed ordinance for Article 10 as detailed in the draft dated October 6, 2010.

FINDINGS

Having reviewed the materials identified in the preamble above, and having heard all testimony and arguments, this Commission finds, concludes, and determines as follows:

- 1. This Historic Preservation Commission was created in the fall of 2008 when the voters passed amendments to the San Francisco Charter establishing Section 4.135.
- 2. Article 10 (Preservation of Historical and Architectural and Aesthetic Landmarks) and Article 11 (Preservation of Buildings and Districts of Architectural, Historical, and Aesthetic Importance in the C-3 Districts) are the Planning Code chapters that outline the designation and permit review processes for historic buildings.
- 3. These Articles have not been updated and do not conform to Charter Section 4.135. The proposed revisions will make them consistent with Charter Section 4.135.
- 4. The additional changes proposed to Articles 10 and 11 will update preservation practices and policies and help streamline the designation and permit review processes.

SAN FRANCISCO
PLANNING DEPARTMENT

- 5. Therefore, the HPC recommends approval of Article 10 with modifications in the draft dated October 6, 2010 of the proposed Ordinance.
- 6. **General Plan Compliance.** The proposed Ordinance is, on balance, consistent with the following Objectives and Policies of the General Plan:

I. COMMERCE & INDUSTRY ELEMENT

THE COMMERCE & INDUSTRY ELEMENT SETS FORTH OBJECTIVES AND POLICES THAT ADDRESS THE BROAD RANGE OF ECONOMIC ACTIVITIES, FACILITIES AND SUPPORT SYSTEMS THAT CONSTITUTE SAN FRANCISCO'S EMPLOYMENT AND SERVICE BASE. THE PLAN SERVES AS A COMPREHENSIVE GUIDE FOR BOTH THE PUBLIC AND PRIVATE SECTORS WHEN MAKING DECISIONS RELATED TO ECONOMIC GROWTH AND CHANGE.

GOALS

The objectives and policies are based on the premise that economic development activities in San Francisco must be designed to achieve three overall goals: 1) Economic Vitality - the first goal is to maintain and expand a healthy, vital and diverse economy which will provide jobs essential to personal well-being and revenues to pay for the services essential to the quality of life in the city; 2) Social Equity - the second goal is to assure that all segments of the San Francisco labor force benefit from economic growth. This will require that particular attention be given to reducing the level of unemployment, particularly among the chronically unemployed and those excluded from full participation by race, language or lack of formal occupational training; and 3) Environmental Quality - the third goal is to maintain and enhance the environment. San Francisco's unique and attractive environment is one of the principal reasons San Francisco is a desirable place for residents to live, businesses to locate, and tourists to visit. The pursuit of employment opportunities and economic expansion must not be at the expense of the environment appreciated by all.

OBJECTIVE 1

MANAGE ECONOMIC GROWTH AND CHANGE TO ENSURE ENHANCEMENT OF THE TOTAL CITY LIVING AND WORKING ENVIRONMENT.

POLICY 1.3

Locate commercial and industrial activities according to a generalized commercial and industrial land use plan.

OBJECTIVE 6

MAINTAIN AND STRENGTHEN VIABLE NEIGHBORHOOD COMMERCIAL AREAS EASILY ACCESSIBLE TO CITY RESIDENTS.

POLICY 6.1

Ensure and encourage the retention and provision of neighborhood-serving goods and services in the city's neighborhood commercial districts, while recognizing and encouraging diversity among the districts.

POLICY 6.3

Preserve and promote the mixed commercial-residential character in neighborhood commercial districts. Strike a balance between the preservation of existing affordable housing and needed expansion of commercial activity.

POLICY 6.8

Preserve historically and/or architecturally important buildings or groups of buildings in neighborhood commercial districts.

II. URBAN DESIGN ELEMENT

THE URBAN DESIGN ELEMENT CONCERNS THE PHYSICAL CHARACTER AND ORDER OF THE CITY, AND THE RELATIONSHIP BETWEEN PEOPLE AND THEIR ENVIRONMENT.

GOALS

The Urban Design Element is concerned both with development and with preservation. It is a concerted effort to recognize the positive attributes of the city, to enhance and conserve those attributes, and to improve the living environment where it is less than satisfactory. The Plan is a definition of quality, a definition based upon human needs.

OBJECTIVE 1

EMPHASIS OF THE CHARACTERISTIC PATTERN WHICH GIVES TO THE CITY AND ITS NEIGHBORHOODS AN IMAGE, A SENSE OF PURPOSE, AND A MEANS OF ORIENTATION.

POLICY 1.3

Recognize that buildings, when seen together, produce a total effect that characterizes the city and its districts.

OBJECTIVE 2

CONSERVATION OF RESOURCES WHICH PROVIDE A SENSE OF NATURE, CONTINUITY WITH THE PAST, AND FREEDOM FROM OVERCROWDING.

POLICY 2.4

Preserve notable landmarks and areas of historic, architectural or aesthetic value, and promote the preservation of other buildings and features that provide continuity with past development.

POLICY 2.5

Use care in remodeling of older buildings, in order to enhance rather than weaken the original character of such buildings.

POLICY 2.7

Recognize and protect outstanding and unique areas that contribute in an extraordinary degree to San Francisco's visual form and character.

III. DOWNTOWN ELEMENT

THE DOWNTOWN PLAN GROWS OUT OF AN AWARENESS OF THE PUBLIC CONCERN IN RECENT YEARS OVER THE DEGREE OF CHANGE OCCURRING DOWNTOWN — AND OF

HPC Resolution No. 657 Hearing Date: October 6, 2010 CASE NO. 2010.0080T Article 10 Amendments

THE OFTEN CONFLICTING CIVIC OBJECTIVES BETWEEN FOSTERING A VITAL ECONOMY AND RETAINING THE URBAN PATTERNS AND STRUCTURES WHICH COLLECTIVELY FOR THE PHYSICAL ESSENCE OF SAN FRANCISCO.

OBJECTIVE 1

MANAGE ECONOMIC GROWTH AND CHANGE TO ENSURE ENHANCEMENT OF THE TOTAL CITY LIVING AND WORKING ENVIRONMENT.

OBJECTIVE 12

CONSERVE RESOURCES THAT PROVIDE CONTINUITY WITH SAN FRANCISCO'S PAST.

Policy 12.1

Preserve notable landmarks and areas of historic, architectural, or aesthetic value, and promote the preservation of other buildings and features that provide continuity with past development.

The goal of the proposed Ordinance is to make typographical and clerical errors to the Planning Code, as well as to update Articles 10 and 11 to make it conform to Charter Section 4.135.

- 7. The proposed replacement project is generally consistent with the eight General Plan priority policies set forth in Section 101.1 in that:
 - A) The existing neighborhood-serving retail uses will be preserved and enhanced and future opportunities for resident employment in and ownership of such businesses will be enhanced:
 - The proposed Ordinance would not significantly impact existing neighborhood-serving retail uses or opportunities for employment in or ownership of such businesses.
 - B) The existing housing and neighborhood character will be conserved and protected in order to preserve the cultural and economic diversity of our neighborhoods:
 - The proposed Ordinance will not impact existing housing and neighborhood character.
 - C) The City's supply of affordable housing will be preserved and enhanced:
 - The proposed Ordinance will not impact the supply of affordable housing.
 - D) The commuter traffic will not impede MUNI transit service or overburden our streets or neighborhood parking:

The proposed Ordinance will not result in commuter traffic impeding MUNI transit service or overburdening the streets or neighborhood parking.

HPC Resolution No. 657 Hearing Date: October 6, 2010 CASE NO. 2010.0080T Article 10 Amendments

E) A diverse economic base will be maintained by protecting our industrial and service sectors from displacement due to commercial office development. And future opportunities for resident employment and ownership in these sectors will be enhanced:

The proposed Ordinance would not adversely affect the industrial or service sectors or future opportunities for resident employment or ownership in these sectors.

F) The City will achieve the greatest possible preparedness to protect against injury and loss of life in an earthquake.

Preparedness against injury and loss of life in an earthquake is unaffected by the proposed amendments.

G) That landmark and historic buildings will be preserved:

The proposed Ordinance will update the Planning Code to reflect Charter Section 4.135 to incorporate the Historic Preservation Commission.

H) Parks and open space and their access to sunlight and vistas will be protected from development:

The proposed Ordinance will not impact the City's parks and open space.

I hereby certify that the foregoing Resolution was ADOPTED by the San Francisco Planning Commission on October 6, 2010.

Linda D. Avery Commission Secretary

AYES: Chase, Damkroger, Hasz, Martinez, Wolfram

NOES:

ABSENT: Buckley, Matsuda

ADOPTED: October 6, 2010

Exhibit A: Draft Ordinance with October 21, 2010 amendments to Article 10

Historic Preservation Commission Resolution No. 659

Planning Code Text Changes: Article 11

HEARING DATE: DECEMBER 1, 2010

Project Name: 2010 Planning Code Amendments

Case Number: 2010.0080T

Initiated by: John Rahaim, Director of Planning

Initiated: July 8, 2010

Staff Contact: Tara Sullivan, Legislative Affairs

tara.sullivan@sfgov.org, 415-558-6257

Reviewed by: Tim Frye, Acting Preservation Coordinator

tim.frye@sfgov.org, 415-575-6822

Recommendation: Approve Article 11 Amendments with Modifications

1650 Mission St. Suite 400 San Francisco, CA 94103-2479

Reception: 415.558.6378

Fax: 415.558.6409

Planning Information: 415.558.6377

RECOMMENDING THAT THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS <u>ADOPT WITH MODIFICATIONS</u> AN ORDINANCE INITIATED BY THE PLANNING COMMISSION THAT WOULD AMEND THE PLANNING CODE ARTICLE 11 – PRESERVATION OF BUILDINGS AND DISTRICTS OF ARCHITECTURAL, HISTORIC, AND AESTHETIC IMPORTANCE IN C-3 DISTRICTS PER HPC DRAFT DATED DECEMBWER 1, 2010; ADOPTING FINDINGS, INCLUDING ENVIRONMENTAL FINDINGS AND PLANNING CODE SECTION 101.1 FINDINGS.

PREAMBLE

WHEREAS, on February 3, 2010, the Planning Director requested that amendments be made to the Planning Code under Case Number 2010.0080T; and

WHEREAS, the proposed Planning Code text changes would amend several sections of the Code and in particular, to Articles 10 and 11; and

WHEREAS, the Planning Commission conducted a duly noticed public hearing to consider the initiation of the proposed Ordinance on July 8, 2010; and

WHEREAS the Planning Commission adopted Resolution No. 18133 initiating amendments to the Planning Code on July 8, 2010; and

HPC Resolution No. 659

CASE NO. 2010.0080T

Hearing Date: December 1, 2010

Article 11 Amendments

WHEREAS, the Planning Commission conducted a duly noticed public hearing to consider the proposed Ordinance on August 5, 2010; and

WHEREAS the Planning Commission adopted Resolution No. 18157 recommending approval with modifications of the proposed ordinance to the Board of Supervisors on August 5, 2010; and

WHEREAS, pursuant to Charter Section 4.135, any proposed ordinance concerning historic preservation issues must be submitted to the Historic Preservation Commission ("HPC") for review and recommendation to the Board of Supervisors; and

WHEREAS, the Historic Preservation Commission conducted a duly noticed public hearings to consider the proposed amendments to Articles 10 & 11 on July 21st, August 4th, 18th, September 1st, 15th, 29th, October 6th, 15th November 3rd and 17th and December 1st 2010; and

WHEREAS, the proposed Ordinance has been determined to be categorically exempt from environmental review under the California Environmental Quality Act Section 15060(c); and

WHEREAS, the HPC has heard and considered the testimony presented to it at the public hearing and has further considered written materials and oral testimony presented on behalf of Department staff and other interested parties; and

WHEREAS, the all pertinent documents may be found in the files of the Department, as the custodian of records, at 1650 Mission Street, Suite 400, San Francisco; and

WHEREAS, the HPC has reviewed the proposed Ordinance; and

MOVED, that the HPC hereby recommends that the Board of Supervisors *approve with modifications* the proposed ordinance for Article 11 as detailed in the draft dated December 1, 2010.

FINDINGS

Having reviewed the materials identified in the preamble above, and having heard all testimony and arguments, this Commission finds, concludes, and determines as follows:

- 1. This Historic Preservation Commission was created in the fall of 2008 when the voters passed amendments to the San Francisco Charter establishing Section 4.135.
- Article 10 (Preservation of Historical and Architectural and Aesthetic Landmarks) and Article 11 (Preservation of Buildings and Districts of Architectural, Historical, and Aesthetic Importance in the C-3 Districts) are the Planning Code chapters that outline the designation and permit review processes for historic buildings.
- 3. These Articles have not been updated and do not conform to Charter Section 4.135. The proposed revisions will simply make them consistent with Charter Section 4.135.

SAN FRANCISCO
PLANNING DEPARTMENT

HPC Resolution No. 659 Hearing Date: December 1, 2010 CASE NO. 2010.0080T Article 11 Amendments

- 4. The additional changes proposed to Articles 10 and 11 will update preservation practices and policies and help streamline the designation and permit review processes.
- 5. Therefore, the HPC recommends approval of Article 11 with modifications in the draft dated December 1, 2010 of the proposed Ordinance.
- 6. **General Plan Compliance.** The proposed Ordinance is, on balance, consistent with the following Objectives and Policies of the General Plan:

I. COMMERCE & INDUSTRY ELEMENT

THE COMMERCE & INDUSTRY ELEMENT SETS FORTH OBJECTIVES AND POLICES THAT ADDRESS THE BROAD RANGE OF ECONOMIC ACTIVITIES, FACILITIES AND SUPPORT SYSTEMS THAT CONSTITUTE SAN FRANCISCO'S EMPLOYMENT AND SERVICE BASE. THE PLAN SERVES AS A COMPREHENSIVE GUIDE FOR BOTH THE PUBLIC AND PRIVATE SECTORS WHEN MAKING DECISIONS RELATED TO ECONOMIC GROWTH AND CHANGE.

GOALS

The objectives and policies are based on the premise that economic development activities in San Francisco must be designed to achieve three overall goals: 1) Economic Vitality - the first goal is to maintain and expand a healthy, vital and diverse economy which will provide jobs essential to personal well-being and revenues to pay for the services essential to the quality of life in the city; 2) Social Equity - the second goal is to assure that all segments of the San Francisco labor force benefit from economic growth. This will require that particular attention be given to reducing the level of unemployment, particularly among the chronically unemployed and those excluded from full participation by race, language or lack of formal occupational training; and 3) Environmental Quality - the third goal is to maintain and enhance the environment. San Francisco's unique and attractive environment is one of the principal reasons San Francisco is a desirable place for residents to live, businesses to locate, and tourists to visit. The pursuit of employment opportunities and economic expansion must not be at the expense of the environment appreciated by all.

OBJECTIVE 1

MANAGE ECONOMIC GROWTH AND CHANGE TO ENSURE ENHANCEMENT OF THE TOTAL CITY LIVING AND WORKING ENVIRONMENT.

POLICY 1.3

Locate commercial and industrial activities according to a generalized commercial and industrial land use plan.

OBJECTIVE 6

MAINTAIN AND STRENGTHEN VIABLE NEIGHBORHOOD COMMERCIAL AREAS EASILY ACCESSIBLE TO CITY RESIDENTS.

POLICY 6.1

Ensure and encourage the retention and provision of neighborhood-serving goods and services in the city's neighborhood commercial districts, while recognizing and encouraging diversity among the districts.

POLICY 6.3

Preserve and promote the mixed commercial-residential character in neighborhood commercial districts. Strike a balance between the preservation of existing affordable housing and needed expansion of commercial activity.

POLICY 6.8

Preserve historically and/or architecturally important buildings or groups of buildings in neighborhood commercial districts.

II. URBAN DESIGN ELEMENT

THE URBAN DESIGN ELEMENT CONCERNS THE PHYSICAL CHARACTER AND ORDER OF THE CITY, AND THE RELATIONSHIP BETWEEN PEOPLE AND THEIR ENVIRONMENT.

GOALS

The Urban Design Element is concerned both with development and with preservation. It is a concerted effort to recognize the positive attributes of the city, to enhance and conserve those attributes, and to improve the living environment where it is less than satisfactory. The Plan is a definition of quality, a definition based upon human needs.

OBJECTIVE 1

EMPHASIS OF THE CHARACTERISTIC PATTERN WHICH GIVES TO THE CITY AND ITS NEIGHBORHOODS AN IMAGE, A SENSE OF PURPOSE, AND A MEANS OF ORIENTATION.

POLICY 1.3

Recognize that buildings, when seen together, produce a total effect that characterizes the city and its districts.

OBJECTIVE 2

CONSERVATION OF RESOURCES WHICH PROVIDE A SENSE OF NATURE, CONTINUITY WITH THE PAST, AND FREEDOM FROM OVERCROWDING.

POLICY 2.4

Preserve notable landmarks and areas of historic, architectural or aesthetic value, and promote the preservation of other buildings and features that provide continuity with past development.

POLICY 2.5

Use care in remodeling of older buildings, in order to enhance rather than weaken the original character of such buildings.

POLICY 2.7

Recognize and protect outstanding and unique areas that contribute in an extraordinary degree to San Francisco's visual form and character.

III. DOWNTOWN ELEMENT

HPC Resolution No. 659 Hearing Date: December 1, 2010

THE DOWNTOWN PLAN GROWS OUT OF AN AWARENESS OF THE PUBLIC CONCERN IN RECENT YEARS OVER THE DEGREE OF CHANGE OCCURRING DOWNTOWN — AND OF THE OFTEN CONFLICTING CIVIC OBJECTIVES BETWEEN FOSTERING A VITAL ECONOMY AND RETAINING THE URBAN PATTERNS AND STRUCTURES WHICH COLLECTIVELY FOR THE PHYSICAL ESSENCE OF SAN FRANCISCO.

OBJECTIVE 1

MANAGE ECONOMIC GROWTH AND CHANGE TO ENSURE ENHANCEMENT OF THE TOTAL CITY LIVING AND WORKING ENVIRONMENT.

OBJECTIVE 12

CONSERVE RESOURCES THAT PROVIDE CONTINUITY WITH SAN FRANCISCO'S PAST.

Policy 12.1

Preserve notable landmarks and areas of historic, architectural, or aesthetic value, and promote the preservation of other buildings and features that provide continuity with past development.

The goal of the proposed Ordinance is to make typographical and clerical errors to the Planning Code, as well as to update Articles 10 and 11 to make it conform to Charter Section 4.135.

- 7. The proposed replacement project is generally consistent with the eight General Plan priority policies set forth in Section 101.1 in that:
 - A) The existing neighborhood-serving retail uses will be preserved and enhanced and future opportunities for resident employment in and ownership of such businesses will be enhanced:
 - The proposed Ordinance would not significantly impact existing neighborhood-serving retail uses or opportunities for employment in or ownership of such businesses.
 - B) The existing housing and neighborhood character will be conserved and protected in order to preserve the cultural and economic diversity of our neighborhoods:
 - The proposed Ordinance will not impact existing housing and neighborhood character.
 - C) The City's supply of affordable housing will be preserved and enhanced:
 - The proposed Ordinance will not impact the supply of affordable housing.
 - D) The commuter traffic will not impede MUNI transit service or overburden our streets or neighborhood parking:

The proposed Ordinance will not result in commuter traffic impeding MUNI transit service or overburdening the streets or neighborhood parking.

HPC Resolution No. 659 Hearing Date: December 1, 2010 CASE NO. 2010.0080T Article 11 Amendments

E) A diverse economic base will be maintained by protecting our industrial and service sectors from displacement due to commercial office development. And future opportunities for resident employment and ownership in these sectors will be enhanced:

The proposed Ordinance would not adversely affect the industrial or service sectors or future opportunities for resident employment or ownership in these sectors.

F) The City will achieve the greatest possible preparedness to protect against injury and loss of life in an earthquake.

Preparedness against injury and loss of life in an earthquake is unaffected by the proposed amendments.

G) That landmark and historic buildings will be preserved:

The proposed Ordinance will update the Planning Code to reflect Charter Section 4.135 to incorporate the Historic Preservation Commission.

H) Parks and open space and their access to sunlight and vistas will be protected from development:

The proposed Ordinance will not impact the City's parks and open space.

I hereby certify that the foregoing Resolution was ADOPTED by the San Francisco Planning Commission on December 1, 2010.

Linda D. Avery Commission Secretary

AYES: Chase, Damkroger, Hasz, Matsuda, Martinez, Wolfram, Buckley

NOES:

ABSENT:

ADOPTED: December 1, 2010

Exhibit A: Draft Ordinance with December 1, 2010 amendments to Article 11

DRAFT DRAFT** FOR DISCUSSION PURPOSES ONLY** DRAFT DRA

[Planning Code—Article 10] 1 2 Ordinance amending Article 10 of the San Francisco Planning Code in its entirety; 3 making environmental findings and findings of consistency with general plan and 4 Planning Code Section 101.1(b). 5 NOTE: Additions are single-underline italics Times New Roman; 6 deletions are strike through italics Times New Roman. Board amendment additions are double-underlined; 7 Board amendment deletions are strikethrough normal. 8 Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco: 9 Section 1. Findings. The Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco 10 hereby finds and determines that: 11 General Plan and Planning Code Findings. (a) 12 (1) at a duly noticed public hearing, the Planning Commission 13 found that the proposed Planning Code amendments in Resolution No. 14 contained in this ordinance were consistent with the City's General Plan and with Planning 15 Code Section 101.1(b). In addition, the Planning Commission recommended that the Board 16 of Supervisors adopt the proposed Planning Code amendments. A copy of said Resolution is 17 on file with the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors in File No. and is 18 incorporated herein by reference. The Board finds that the proposed Planning Code 19 amendments contained in this ordinance are on balance consistent with the City's General 20 Plan and with Planning Code Section 101.1(b) for the reasons set forth in said Resolution. 21 (2)Pursuant to Planning Code Section 302, the Board finds that the proposed 22 ordinance will serve the public necessity, convenience and welfare for the reasons set forth in 23 Planning Commission Resolution No. , which reasons are incorporated 24 herein by reference as though fully set forth. 25 Planning Department **BOARD OF SUPERVISORS** Page 1 c:\docume~1\smiddleb\locals~1\temp\notese1ef34\articles 10 2010 cleanup (08-10-11 mgb markup to hpc public).doc Comment [MB1]: Global Comment: Wherever a change has been made from the version proposed by the HPC, that change has been called out and discussed in a comment here in the margin.

Comment [MB2]: Global Comment: wherever possible, changes have been made to shorten language and remove unnecessary wording for clarity and readability, as well as to remove overly legalistic sounding language and to use plain language, per the City Attorney's requirements for legislative drafting.

1	(b) Historic Preservation Commission Findings. On at a duly
2	noticed public hearing, the Historic Preservation Commission in Resolution No.
3	recommended that the Board of Supervisors adopt the proposed Planning
4	Code amendments. A copy of said Resolution is on file with the Clerk of the Board of
5	Supervisors in File No and is incorporated herein by reference.
6	(c) Environmental Findings. The Planning Department has determined that the
7	actions contemplated in this Ordinance are in compliance with the California Environmental
8	Quality Act (California Public Resources Code section 21000 et seq.). Said determination is
9	on file with the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors in File No and is
10	incorporated herein by reference.
11	
12	Section 2. The San Francisco Planning Code is hereby amended by amending Article
13	10, to read as follows:
14	
15	SEC. 1001. PURPOSES.
16	It is hereby found that structures, sites and areas of special character or special
17	historical, architectural or aesthetic interest or value have been and continue to be
18	unnecessarily destroyed or impaired, despite the feasibility of preserving them. It is further
19	found that the prevention of such needless destruction and impairment is essential to the
20	health, safety and general welfare of the public. The purpose of this legislation is to promote
21	the health, safety and general welfare of the public through:
22	(a) The protection, enhancement, perpetuation and use of structures, sites and
23	areas that are reminders of past eras, events and persons important in local, State or national
24	history, or which provide significant examples of architectural styles of the past or are
25	landmarks in the history of architecture, or which are unique and irreplaceable assets to the

1	City and its neighborhoods, or which provide for this and future generations examples of the
2	physical surroundings in which past generations lived;
3	(b) The development and maintenance of appropriate settings and environment for
4	such structures, and in such sites and areas;
5	(c) The enhancement of property values, the stabilization of neighborhoods and
6	areas of the City, the increase of economic and financial benefits to the City and its
7	inhabitants, and the promotion of tourist trade and interest;
8	(d) The preservation and encouragement of a City of varied architectural styles,
9	reflecting the distinct phases of its history: cultural, social, economic, political and architectural
10	and
11	(e) The enrichment of human life in its educational and cultural dimensions in order
12	to serve spiritual as well as material needs, by fostering knowledge of the living heritage of the
13	past.
14	
15	SEC. 1002. POWERS AND DUTIES OF <u>THE PLANNING</u> DEPARTMENT <u>AND THE</u>
16	HISTORIC PRESERVATION COMMISSION-OF CITY PLANNING AND CITY PLANNING
17	COMMISSION.
18	The Planning Department of City Planning (hereinafter referred to as the "Department")
19	and the Planning Commission Historic Preservation Commission ("HPC") shall have and exercise
20	the powers and shall perform the duties set forth in this Section and elsewhere in this Article
21	10 with respect to historical preservation. The Department and the Planning Commission shall be
22	advised in the exercise and performance of their powers and duties by the Landmarks Preservation
23	Advisory Board hereinafter created.
24	(a) The <i>Planning Commission</i> HPC:

(1)	Shall recommend to the Board of Supervisors, after public hearing, on the
designation	of landmarks and historic districts, as more fully set forth in Section 1004.3 below in
this Article 10	2;
(2)	Shall in appropriate cases, after public hearing, review and decide on applications
for construct	tion, alteration, demolition and other applications pertaining to landmark sites and
historic distr	icts, as more fully set forth below in this Article 10;
(3)	May take steps to encourage or bring about preservation of structures or other
features who	ere the $\frac{Planning\ Commission}{HPC}$ has decided to suspend action on an application,
as more fully	y set forth in Section 1006.6 below; <i>and</i>
(4)	May establish and maintain a list of structures and other features deemed
deserving of	f official recognition although not designated as landmarks or historic districts, and
take approp	riate measures of recognition, as more fully set forth in Section 1011 below;
<u>(5)</u>	Shall have the authority to review and comment upon environmental documents under
the California	a Environmental Quality Act and the National Environmental Policy Act for proposed
projects that	may have an impact on historic or cultural resources:
<u>(6)</u>	Shall act as the City's local historic preservation review commission for the purposes of
the Certified	Local Government Program, may recommend properties for inclusion in the National
Register of H	istoric Places, and may review and comment on federal undertakings where authorized
under the Na	tional Historic Preservation Act;
<u>(7)</u>	Shall review and comment upon any agreements proposed under the National Historic
Preservation	Act where the City is a signatory prior to any approval action on such agreement;
(8)	Shall have the authority to oversee and direct the survey and inventory of historic
properties;	
<u>(9)</u>	Shall review and provide written reports to the Planning Commission and Board of
Supervisors of	on ordinances and resolutions concerning historic preservation issues and historic

1	resources; re	development project plans; waterfront land use and project plans; and such other matters
2	as may be pre	escribed by ordinance;
3	(10)	Shall have the authority to recommend approval, disapproval, or modification of
4	historical pro	perty contracts pursuant to the Mills Act (Cal. Govt. Code Section 50280 et seq.) to the
5	Board of Sup	ervisors, without referral or recommendation of the Planning Commission; and
6	<u>(11)</u>	Shall recommend to the Planning Commission a Preservation Element of the General
7	Plan and sha	ll periodically recommend to the Planning Commission proposed amendments to such
8	<u>Preservation</u>	Element of the General Plan; and shall comment and provide recommendations to the
9	Planning Con	nmission and Board of Supervisors on other objectives, policies and provisions of the
10	General Plan	and special area, neighborhood, and other plans designed to carry out the General Plan,
11	and proposed	amendments thereto, that are not contained within such Preservation Element but
12	concern histo	ric preservation.
13	(b)	The Department and the Planning Commission HPC:
14	(1)	May carry out, assist and collaborate in studies and programs designed to
15	identify and	evaluate structures, sites and areas worthy of preservation;
16	(2)	May consult with and consider the ideas and recommendations of civic groups,
17	public agend	cies, and citizens interested in historical preservation;
18	(3)	May inspect and investigate structures, sites and areas which they have reason
19	to believe we	orthy of preservation;
20	(4)	May disseminate information to the public concerning those structures, sites and
21	areas deem	ed worthy of preservation, and may encourage and advise property owners in the
22	protection, e	nhancement, perpetuation and use of landmarks, property in historic districts, and
23	other official	ly recognized property of historical interest;
24		
25		
	Planning Depart	ment

1	(5) May consider methods other than those provided for in this Article 10 for
2	encouraging and achieving historical preservation, and make appropriate recommendations to
3	the Board of Supervisors and to other bodies and agencies, both public and private; and
4	(6) May establish such policies, rules and regulations as they deem necessary to
5	administer and enforce this Article 10 and Charter Section 4.135 establishing the HPC.
6	
7	SEC. 1003. LANDMARKS PRESERVATION ADVISORY BOARD HISTORIC
8	PRESERVATION COMMISSION.
9	There is hereby created a Landmarks Preservation Advisory Board (hereinafter referred to as
10	the "Advisory Board"), which shall advise the Department and the Planning Commission on historical
11	preservation matters. The Advisory Board shall consist of nine voting members appointed by the Mayor
12	and serving at his pleasure, without salary. Of the original appointments, five shall be for a four year
13	term and four for a two year term; after the expiration of the said original terms, all appointments shall
14	be for four year terms. In addition, the Art Commission shall choose one of its members to be an ex
15	officio member of the Advisory Board, without vote.
16	(a) In making appointments, the Mayor may consult persons and organizations interested in
17	historical preservation. Appointees to the Advisory board shall be persons specially qualified by reason
18	of training or experience in the historic and cultural traditions of the City, and interested in the
19	preservation of its historic structures, sites and areas. The voting members shall be residents of the
20	City.
21	Charter Section 4.135 created the HPC, which shall advise the City on historic preservation
22	matters, participate in processes that involve historic or cultural resources, and take such other actions
23	concerning historic preservation as may be prescribed by ordinance. The HPC shall consist of seven
24	members nominated by the Mayor and subject to approval by a majority of the Board of Supervisors.
25	Of the original appointments to the HPC, four shall be for a four-year term and three for a two-year

1	term as follows: the odd-numbered seats shall be for four-year terms and the even-numbered seats shal
2	be for two-year terms. After the expiration of the original terms, all appointments shall be for four-
3	year terms, provided however, that a member may holdover until a successor has been nominated by
4	the Mayor and approved by the Board of Supervisors. There shall be no limit on the number of terms a
5	member may serve. Members may be removed by the appointing officer only pursuant to Charter
6	Section 15.105.
7	Within 60 days of the expiration of a term or other vacancy the Mayor shall nominate a
8	qualified person to fill the vacant seat for the term, or the remainder of the term, subject to approval by
9	a majority of the Board of Supervisors who shall hold a public hearing and vote on the nomination
10	within 60 days of the Mayor's transmittal of the nomination to the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors. If
11	the Mayor fails to make such nomination within 60 days, the nomination may be made by the President
12	of the Board of Supervisors, subject to the approval of a majority of the Board of Supervisors. The
13	appointment shall become effective on the date the Board of Supervisors adopts a motion approving the
14	nomination or after 60 days from the date the Mayor transmits the nomination to the Clerk of the Board
15	of Supervisors if the Board of Supervisors fails to act.
16	(a) In addition to the specific requirements set forth below, members of the HPC shall be
17	persons specially qualified by reason of interest, competence, knowledge, training and experience in
18	the historic, architectural, aesthetic, and cultural traditions of the City, interested in the preservation of
19	its historic structures, sites and areas, and residents of the City. Six of the members of the HPC shall
20	be specifically qualified in the following fields:
21	(1) Seats 1 and 2: licensed architects meeting the Secretary of the Interior's Professional
22	Qualifications Standards for historic architecture;
23	(2) Seat 3: an architectural historian meeting the Secretary of the Interior's Professional
24	Qualifications Standards for architectural history with specialized training and/or demonstrable

Planning Department BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

25

experience in North American or Bay Area architectural history;

1	(3) Seat 4: an historian meeting the Secretary of the Interior's Professional Qualifications
2	Standards for history with specialized training and/or demonstrable experience in North American or
3	Bay Area history;
4	(4) Seat 5: an historic preservation professional or professional in a field such as law, land
5	use, community planning or urban design with specialized training and/or demonstrable experience in
6	historic preservation or historic preservation planning.
7	(5) Seat 6 shall be specially qualified in one of the following fields or in one of the fields set
8	forth for Seats 1, 2, or 3:
9	(i) A professional archeologist meeting the Secretary of the Interior's Professional
10	Qualification Standards for Archeology:
11	(ii) A real estate professional or contractor who has demonstrated a special interest,
12	competence, experience, and knowledge in historic preservation;
13	(iii) A licensed structural engineer with at least four years of experience in seismic and
14	structural engineering principals applied to historic structures; or
15	(iv) A person with training and professional experience with materials conservation.
16	(6) Seat 7 shall be an at large seat subject to the minimum qualifications set forth above.
17	(b) The Planning Director of City Planning, or his delegate, shall assume the powers and
18	duties that would otherwise be executed by an HPC department head serve as Secretary of the Advisory
19	Board, without vote. The Department shall render staff assistance to the Advisory Board HPC.
20	The HPC may review and make recommendations on the Department budget and on any rates, fees,
21	and similar charges with respect to appropriate items coming within the HPC's jurisdiction to the

Comment [MB3]: HPC version used "the Director of City Planning". A global change was made throughout this Article to conform to current use of "Planning Director."

Comment [MB4]: I deleted "voting" here because, unlike the LPAB, the HPC has no non-voting members.

Comment [MB5]: This comment applies to this entire section 1003: It is not necessary to include this language here and doing so does not conform to the standards used in other sections of the Municipal Code. The Charter, which is the controlling legislation, establishes these exact requirements and the Charter is the appropriate place for such requirements. Adding it here as well is repetitive and could create confusion. I would recommend instead adding a short cross-reference to Charter Section 4.125.

Planning Department **BOARD OF SUPERVISORS**

(c)

Director of Planning or the Planning Commission

22

23

24 25 The Advisory Board HPC shall elect a Chairman President from among its voting

members, and shall establish rules and regulations for its own organization and procedure.

SEC. 1004. DESIGNATION OF LANDMARKS AND HISTORIC DISTRICTS.

The HPC shall have the authority to recommend approval, disapproval, or modification (a) of landmark designations and historic district designations under this Code to the Board of Supervisors. Pursuant to the procedures set forth hereinafter:

Comment [MB6]: HPC version said

- (1)
- The Board of Supervisors may, by ordinance, designate an individual structure or other feature or an integrated group of structures and features on a single lot or site, having a special character or special historical, architectural or aesthetic interest or value, as a landmark, and shall designate a landmark site for each landmark; and
- (2)The Board of Supervisors may, by ordinance, designate an area containing a number of structures having a special character or special historical, architectural or aesthetic interest or value, and constituting a distinct section of the City, as a historic district.
- (b) Each such designating ordinance shall include, or shall incorporate by reference to the pertinent resolution of the Planning Commission HPC then on file with the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors, as though fully set forth in such designating ordinance, the location and boundaries of the landmark site or historic district, a description of the characteristics of the landmark or historic district that justify its designation, and a description of the particular features that should be preserved. Any such designation shall be in furtherance of and in conformance with the purposes of this Article 10 and the standards set forth herein.
- The property included in any such designation shall upon designation be subject to the controls and standards set forth in this Article 10. In addition, the said property shall be subject to the following further controls and standards if imposed by the designating ordinance:
- (1) For a publicly-owned landmark, review of proposed changes to significant interior architectural features.

Planning Department **BOARD OF SUPERVISORS**

1

2

3

4 5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20 21

22

23

24

25

Page 9

8/11/2011

- (4) For a City-owned park, square, plaza or garden on a landmark site, review of alterations as identified in the designating ordinance.
- (d) The Board of Supervisors may amend or rescind a designation at any time, subject to all of the procedures set forth in this Article 10 for an original designation; provided, however, that in the event that a landmark is accidentally destroyed or is demolished or removed in conformity with the provisions of Section 1007, or is legally demolished or relocated after compliance has been had with the provisions of Section 1006.2this Article 10, the Director of Planning Director may request the Planning CommissionHPC to recommend to the Board of Supervisors that the designation be amended or rescinded, and in such case the procedures for an original designation set forth in Sections 1004.1, 1004.2 and 1004.3 hereof shall not apply.

SEC. 1004.1. <u>NOMINATION AND</u> INITIATION OF <u>LANDMARK AND HISTORIC DISTRICT</u> DESIGNATION.

(a) Nomination. the Department, property owner(s), or member of the public may request the HPC initiate designation of a property as a landmark site or historic district. A nomination for initiation shall contain supporting historic, architectural, and/or cultural documentation, as well as any additional information the HPC may require. For the nomination of a landmark site, the Department

Planning Department **BOARD OF SUPERVISORS**

1

2

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21 22

23

24

25

1	shall schedule a hearing before the HPC no later than 30 days from the date a completed nomination
2	application, as determined by the Department, has been submitted by the applicant.
3	(b) Initiation. Initiation of designation shall be by the Board of Supervisors or by a
4	resolution of intention by the Planning Commission, the Art Commission or the Advisory Board, HPC
5	or on the verified application of owners of the property to be designated or their authorized agents.
6	made by one of the following methods:
7	(1) For a Landmark Site:
8	(i) by resolution of the Board of Supervisors;
9	(ii) by resolution of the HPC; or
10	(iii) upon submittal of a complete nomination application pursuant to subsection (a) above.
11	The HPC shall confirm the imitation of designation at a public hearing no later than 30 days from the
12	date of submittal of the complete nomination application Failure to confirm initiation within 30 days
13	from the date of submittal shall constitute disapproval of initiation.
14	(2) For an Historic District:
15	(i) by resolution of the Board of Supervisors; or
16	(ii) by resolution of the HPC.
17	The Board of Supervisors and the HPC shall make findings in support of any initiation of
18	designation of a landmark site or historic district. The Board of Supervisors shall promptly refer any
19	initiation of designation to the HPC for its review and recommendationAny such application shall be
20	filed with the Department upon forms prescribed by the Planning Commission, and shall be
21	accompanied by all data required by the Planning Commission. Where such an application is submitted
22	for designation of a historic district, the application must be subscribed by or on behalf of at least 66
23	percent of the property owners in the proposed district.
24	
25	SEC. 1004.2 REFERRAL TO LANDMARKS PRESERVATION ADVISORY BOARD.
	Planning Department BOARD OF SUPERVISORS Page 11 8/11/2011

Comment [MB7]: Thought this should be added to make clear that it's the Dept, not the applicant, that determines when the application is complete, and thus starts the clock ticking on the permit

Comment [MB8]: This subsection (a) was edited and shortened for readability and clarity. With the exception of the change referenced immediately above, none of these changes were substantive.

Comment [MB9]: This language was changed to "by resolution". A resolution of intention is not legally effective, thus to have legal effect (i.e. to start the 180day permit hold running) this action must be made by resolution.

Comment [MB10]: This language was edited to make it clear that the HPC had to make a decision to confirm within 30 days, not just conduct the hearing. without making a decision.

The proposed designation, resolution or application shall be promptly referred to the Advisory Board for review and report to the Planning Commission as to conformance with the purposes and standards of this Article 10. The Advisory Board shall recommend approval, disapproval or modification of the proposal, or shall report its failure to reach a decision thereon, within 60 days after such referral. If no recommendation is rendered within 60 days, the Planning Commission may consider the proposed designation as provided in Section 1004.3 below notwithstanding the lack of such a recommendation.

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

SEC. 1004.3. HEARING BY CITY PLANNING COMMISSION DECISION BY THE HISTORIC PRESERVATION COMMISSION.

Comment [MB11]: Global Edit: HPC version used "HPC" here, but should be spelled out in all subheadings.

After receiving a report from the Advisory Board or after the expiration of 60 days from the date of referral to the Advisory Board, whichever is sooner, the Planning Commission The HPC shall hold a public hearing on the proposal proposed designation.; the Department shall set a time and place for such hearing. A record of pertinent information presented at the hearing shall be made and maintained as a permanent record.

Comment [MB12]: Language deleted as unnecessary. The HPC already sets time and place for its public hearing under its rules, so this isn't needed here. And, City and state laws, (e.g. Brown Act, Sunshine Ord) require that any meeting of the HPC be at a public hearing, with notice, etc...

(a) Notice of Hearing. Notice of the time, place and purpose of such hearing shall be given by at least one publication in a newspaper of general circulation in the City not less than 20 days prior to the date of hearing. Notice shall also be mailed not less than 10 days prior to the date of hearing to the owners of all property included in the proposed designation, using for this purpose the names and addresses of the last known owners as shown on the records of the Assessor Tax Collector and to the applicant, if any. Failure to send notice by mail to any such property owner where the address of such owner is not a matter of public record shall not invalidate any proceedings in connection with the proposed designation. The

Department may also give such other notice as it may deem desirable and practicable.

Comment [MB13]: This highlighted phrase was edited for clarity and to shorten

Planning Department BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

Page 12 8/11/2011

(b) Time Limitation. The Planning Commission HPC shall consider the report and
recommendation of the Advisory Board, if any, and shall consider the conformance or lack of
conformance of the proposed designation with the purposes and standards of this Article 10.
Where the Board of Supervisors has referred an initiation of designation to the HPC, The Planning
Commissionthe HPC shall hold a public hearing and shall approve, disapprove or modify the
proposal within 90 days from the date of referral of the proposed designation to the Advisory
Board HPC. Failure to act within said time shall constitute approval. The Board of Supervisors
may, by resolution, extend the time within which the $\frac{Planning\ Commission}{HPC}$ is to render its
decision.

Comment [MB14]: As currently drafted here, there is no time limit for a hearing when the initiation is not made by the BOS. Does the HPC/Department want to add one?

(c) Notice of Action Taken. The Planning Commission shall promptly notify the applicant of action taken. If the Planning Commission approves or modifies the proposed designation in whole or in part, it shall transmit the proposal together with a copy of the resolution of approval, to the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors.

(d) In the event that a proposed designation has been initiated prior to July 18, 2006, and the Planning Commission has failed to act upon such proposed designation as of the effective date of this ordinance, the Board of Supervisors may act on the proposed designation notwithstanding the Planning Commission failure to act on the proposed designation. Referral of Proposed Designation. If the HPC recommends approval of a landmark designation, it shall send its recommendation to the Board of Supervisors, without referral to the Planning Commission. If the HPC recommends approval of an historic district designation, it shall refer its recommendation to the Planning Commission, which shall have 45 days to review and comment on the proposed designation, which comments, if any, shall be sent by the Department to the Board of Supervisors with the HPC's recommendation. If the HPC disapproves designation of a landmark or historic district, that decision shall be final and shall not require referral unless appealed as set forth below.

c:\docume~1\smiddleb\locals~1\temp\notese1ef34\articles 10 2010 cleanup (08-10-11 mgb markup to hpc public).doc

Comment [MB15]: This subsection (d) was edited for clarity regarding the following points:

- Landmark and district designations would only be referred on from the HPC if the HPC recommends "approval".
 Otherwise, the HPC's decision is final (under the Charter) and thus does not get referred to any other body.
- 2. It's the Dept's responsibility to make sure both the HPC and CPC review the district approval recommendations and to send them to the BOS together.

Comment [MB16]: The numbering was redone to eliminate use of "Intentionally Left Blank" wherever possible.

The Board of Supervisors shall hold a public hearing on any proposal so transmitted to it, after due notice to the owners of the property included in the proposal, and such other notice as the said Board may deem necessary. The Board of Supervisors may approve, or modify and approve, the designation by a majority vote of all its members.

SEC. 1004.51004.4. APPEAL TO BOARD OF SUPERVISORS.

If the *Planning Commission HPC* disapproves the proposed designation, such action shall be final, except upon the filing of a valid appeal to the Board of Supervisors within 30 days by a protest subscribed by the owners of at least 20 percent of the property proposed to be designated, or by any governmental body or agency, or by an organization with a recognized interest in historical preservation; provided, however, that if the proposal was initiated by the Board of Supervisors, the Clerk of the said Board shall be notified immediately of the disapproval without the necessity for an appeal.

- (a) Hearing. The Board of Supervisors shall hold a public hearing on any such proposal appealed to it or initiated by it, after due notice to the owners of the property included in the proposal <u>and any applicant(s)</u>, and such other notice as the said Board may deem necessary.
- (b) Decision. The Board of Supervisors may overrule the *Planning Commission HPC* and approve, *or* modify and approve the designation by a majority vote of all its members.
- (c) Resubmission, Reconsideration. If a proposal initiated by application has been disapproved by the *Planning CommissionHPC* or by the Board of Supervisors *on appeal*, no subsequent application that is the same or substantially the same may be submitted or reconsidered for at least one year from the effective date of final action of the original proposal.

Planning Department
BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

Page 14

1	
^	

3

4 5 SEC. 1004.61004.5. NOTICE OF DESIGNATION BY BOARD OF SUPERVISORS.

When a landmark or historic district has been designated by the Board of Supervisors as provided above, the Department shall promptly notify the owners of the property included therein. The Department shall cause a copy of the designating ordinance, or notice thereof, to be recorded in the office of the County Recorder.

7 8

9

10

11

12

6

SEC. 1004.71004.6. NOTICE OF AMENDMENT OR RESCISSION OF DESIGNATION.

When a landmark or historic district designation has been amended or rescinded, the Department shall promptly notify the owners of the property included therein, and shall cause a copy of the appropriate ordinance, or notice thereof, to be recorded in the office of the County Recorder.

13 14

15

19

20

22

23

24

25

SEC. 1005. CONFORMITY AND PERMITS.

16 17 18

site or in a designated historic district any construction, alteration, removal or demolition of a structure or any work involving a sign, awning, marquee, canopy, mural or other appendage, for which a City permit is required, except in conformity with the provisions of this Article 10. In addition, no such work shall take place unless all other applicable laws and regulations have

No person shall carry out or cause to be carried out on a designated landmark

been complied with, and any required permit has been issued for said work.

21

- (1) Installation of a new general advertising sign is prohibited in any \underline{Hh} istoric \underline{Dd} istrict or on any historic property regulated by this Article 10.
- The Central Permit Bureau shall not issue, and no other City department or agency shall issue, any permit for construction, alteration, removal or demolition of a structure

Planning Department **BOARD OF SUPERVISORS**

(b)

or any permit for work involving a sign, awning, marquee, canopy, mural or other appendage on a landmark site or in an *Historic District* <u>historic district</u>, except in conformity with the provisions of this Article 10. In addition, no such permit shall be issued unless all other applicable laws and regulations have been complied with.

(c)

- (1) Where so provided in the designating ordinance for a historic district, any or all exterior changes visible from a public street or other public place shall require approval in accordance with the provisions of this Article 10, regardless of whether or not a City permit is required for such exterior changes. Such exterior changes may include, but shall not be limited to, painting and repainting; landscaping; fencing; and installation of lighting fixtures and other building appendages.
- (2) The addition of a mural to any landmark or contributory structure in a historic district shall require compliance with the provisions of this Article 10, regardless of whether or not a City permit is required for the mural.
- (3) Alterations to City-owned parks, squares, plazas or gardens on a landmark site, where the designating ordinance identifies such alterations, shall require approval in accordance with the provisions of this Article 10, regardless of whether or not a City permit is required.
- (d) The Department shall maintain with the Central Permit Bureau a current record of designated landmarks and historic districts. Upon receipt of any application for a permit to carry out any construction, alteration, removal or demolition of a structure or any work involving a sign, awning, marquee, canopy, mural or other appendage, on a landmark site or in a historic district, the Central Permit Bureau shall, unless the structure or feature concerned has been declared unsafe or dangerous pursuant to Section 1007 of this Article 10, promptly forward such permit application to the Department.

Planning Department
BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

(e) After receiving a permit application from the Central Permit Bureau in
accordance with the preceding subsection, the Department shall ascertain whether Section
1006 requires a Certificate of Appropriateness is required or has been approved for the work
proposed in such permit application. If $\frac{\partial u}{\partial t}$ Certificate $\frac{\partial u}{\partial t}$ Certificate $\frac{\partial u}{\partial t}$ is required and has
been issued, and if the permit application conforms to such the work approved in the Certificate
of Appropriateness, the permit application shall be processed without further reference to this
Article 10. If such a Certificate of Appropriateness is required and has not been issued, or if in
the sole judgment of the Department the permit application does not so conform to what was
approved, the permit application shall be disapproved or held by the Department until such
time as conformity does exist either through modifications to the proposed work or through the
issuance of an amended or new Certificate of Appropriateness; the decision and action of the
Department shall be final. Notwithstanding the foregoing, in the following cases the Department
shall process the permit application without further reference to this Article 10:

Comment [MB17]: This phrase was added to indicate of how "conformity" would be achieved, which was ambiguous.

- (1) When the application is for a permit to construct on a landmark site where the landmark has been lawfully demolished and the site is not within a designated historic district;
- (2) When the application is for a permit to make interior alterations only on a privately-owned structure or on a publicly-owned structure, unless the designating ordinance requires review of such alterations to the privately- or publicly-owned structure pursuant to Section 1004(c) hereof. Notwithstanding the foregoing, if any proposed interior alteration would result in any a significant visual or material impact to the exterior of the subject building, a Certificate of Appropriateness shall be required to address such exterior effects.

Comment [MB18]: This sentence was edited for clarity and readability, however the substance was not changed.

(3) When the application is for a permit to do ordinary maintenance and repairs only. For the purpose of this Article 10, "ordinary maintenance and repairs" shall mean any work, the sole purpose and effect of which is to correct deterioration, decay or damage, including repair of damage caused by fire or other disaster;

Planning Department
BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

11

12 13

14

15 16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Page 17 8/11/2011

(4)	When the application is for a permit to comply with the UMB Seismic Retrofit
Ordinances o	and the Zoning Administrator determines that the proposed work complies with the UMB
Retrofit Arch	nitectural Design Guidelines, which guidelines shall be adopted by the Planning
Commission.	-
(f)	For purposes of this Article 10, demolition shall be defined as any one of the
following:	
(1)	Removal of more than 25 percent of the surface of all external walls facing a
public stree	t(s); or
(2)	Removal of more than 50 percent of all external walls from their function as all
external wa	lls; or
(3)	Removal of more than 25 percent of external walls from function as either
external or	internal walls; or
(4)	Removal of more than 75 percent of the building's existing internal structural
framework (or floor plates unless the City determines that such removal is the only feasible
means to m	neet the standards for seismic load and forces of the latest adopted version of the
San Francis	sco Building Code and the State Historical Building Code.
(g)	The following procedures shall govern review of the addition of murals to any
landmark o	r contributory structure in a historic district:
(1)	Where the mural is proposed to be added to a landmark or contributory structure
in a historic	district, located on property owned by the City, no Certificate of Appropriateness
shall be req	uired. On such structures, the Art Commission shall not approve the mural until
the <i>Advisor</i> y	* Board HPC has provided advice to the Art Commission on the impact of the mural
on the histo	rical structure. The $Advisory Board HPC$ shall provide advice to the Art Commission
within 50 da	ays of receipt of a written request for advice and information regarding the
placement,	size and location of the proposed mural;

(2) Where the mural is proposed to be added to a landmark or contributory structure in a historic district, located on property that is not owned by the City, a Certificate of Appropriateness shall be required. The *Advisory Board HPC* shall not act on the Certificate of Appropriateness until the Art Commission has provided advice to the *Advisory Board HPC* on the mural. The Art Commission shall provide advice to the *Advisory Board HPC* within 50 days of receipt of a written request for advice and information regarding the proposed mural.

SEC. 1006. CERTIFICATE OF APPROPRIATENESS REQUIRED.

A Certificate of Appropriateness shall be required and shall govern review of permit applications as provided in Sections 1005(e) and 1005(g), except in the specific cases set forth in Section 1005(e), for the following types of work. In the case of:

Comment [MB19]: This sentence was moved up from the end of this section for clarity and readability.

- (1) Any construction, alteration, removal or demolition of a structure or any work involving a sign, awning, marquee, canopy, mural (as set forth in Planning Code Section 1005(g), or other appendage, for which a City permit is required, on a landmark site or in a historic district;
- (2) Exterior changes in a historic district visible from a public street or other public place, where the designating ordinance requires approval of such changes pursuant to the provisions of this Article 10; *and*
- (3) The addition of a mural to any landmark or contributory structure in a historic district, which is not owned by the City or located on property owned by the City, as set forth in Planning Code Section 1005(g), regardless of whether or not a City permit is required for the mural; and or

Comment [MB20]: This list is in the alternative, not conjunctive.

(4) Alterations to City-owned parks, squares, plazas or gardens on a landmark site, where the designating ordinance identifies the alterations that require approval under this Article 10.

Planning Department
BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

Page 19

8/11/2011

A Certificate of Appropriateness shall be required and shall govern review of permit applications as provided in Sections 1005(e) and 1005(g), except in the specific cases set forth in Section 1005(e). The procedures, requirements, controls and standards in Sections 1006 through 1006.8 shall apply to all applications for Certificates of Appropriateness; provided, however, that the designating ordinance for a historic district, or for a City-owned park, square, plaza or garden on a landmark site, may modify or add to these procedures, requirements, controls and standards.

SEC. 1006.1.APPLICATIONS FOR CERTIFICATE OF APPROPRIATENESS.

- (a) Who May Apply. An application for a Certificate of Appropriateness may be filed by the owner, or authorized agent for the owner, of the property for which the Certificate is sought.
- (b) Where to File. Applications shall be filed in the office of the <u>Planning</u> Department of City Planning.
- (c) Content of Applications. The content of applications shall be in accordance with the policies, rules and regulations of the Department and the City Planning CommissionHPC. All applications shall be upon forms prescribed therefore, and shall contain or be accompanied by all information required to assure the presentation of pertinent facts for proper consideration of the case and for the permanent record. In general, the application shall be accompanied by plans and specifications showing the proposed exterior appearance, including but not limited to color, texture of materials, and architectural design and detail; drawings or photographs showing the property in the context of its surroundings may also be required. The applicant may be required to file with his the application the additional information needed for the preparation and mailing of notices as specified in Section 1006.3.

1	(d) Verification. Each application filed by or on behalf of one or more property
2	owners shall be verified by at least one such owner or his authorized agent attesting to the
3	truth and correctness of all facts, statements and information presented.
4	(e) Conditional Uses. In the case of any proposal for which the City Planning Code requires
5	a conditional use authorization in addition to a Certificate of Appropriateness, the Department may
6	combine the required applications, notices and hearings for administrative convenience and in the
7	interests of the applicant and the public, to the extent deemed feasible and desirable by the Department.
8	Multiple Planning Approvals. For projects that require multiple planning approvals, the HPC must
9	review and act on any Certificate of Appropriateness before any other planning approval action. For
10	projects that (1) require a conditional use authorization or permit review under Section 309, et. seq. of
11	the Code, and (2) do not concern an individually landmarked property, the Planning Commission may
12	modify any decision on a Certificate of Appropriateness by a two-thirds vote, provided that the
13	Planning Commission shall apply all applicable historic resources provisions of the Code. For
14	properties located on vacant lots, the Planning Commission may modify any decision on a Certificate
15	of Appropriateness by a two-thirds vote, provided that the Planning Commission shall apply all
16	applicable historic resources provisions of the Planning Code.
17	
18	SEC. 1006.2.REVIEW BY <u>PLANNING</u> DEPARTMENT OF CITY PLANNING AND CITY
19	PLANNING COMMISSION.
20	(a) Cases Other Than Construction, Removal or Demolition.
21	(1) In the case of any alteration of a structure or any work involving a sign, awning,
22	marquee, canopy or other appendage, or exterior changes in a historic district visible from a public
23	street or other public place, or alterations to a City owned park, square, plaza or garden on a
24	landmark site, where a Certificate of Appropriateness is required, the application for said Certificate
25	shall be reviewed by the Department with the advice of the Advisory Board. The department, with the

1	advice of the Advisory Board, shall determine within 20 days after the application is accepted for filing,
2	whether or not the proposal would have a significant impact upon, or is potentially detrimental to, the
3	landmark site or historic district; and the Department shall notify the applicant of the determination
4	made. If it is determined that there would be no such significant impact or potential detriment, the
5	Department shall issue a Certificate of Appropriateness to the applicant.
6	(2) If it is determined that the proposal would have a significant impact upon, or is
7	potentially detrimental to, the landmark site or historic district, or upon request of the Planning
8	Commission, the Planning Commission shall hold a public hearing on the application.
9	(b) Construction, Removal or Demolition. The Planning Commission shall hold a public
10	hearing on the application for a Certificate of Appropriateness for any construction, removal or
11	demolition of a structure, except as may be otherwise provided in the designating ordinance for a
12	historic district or for City owned park, square, plaza or garden on a landmark site.
13	The Department shall review an application for a Certificate of Appropriateness and determine
14	whether the application is complete or whether additional information is required within 30 days of
15	submittal.
16	(a) The HPC may define certain categories of work as Minor Alterations and delegate
17	approval of an Administrative Certificate of Appropriateness for such Minor Alterations to Department

Comment [MB21]: HPC version had indicated this deletion at the end of the new language. For readability and clarity, the deletion was moved to the beginning; however, this is the same deletion.

Comment [MB22]: This sentence was edited to remove passive voice.

Comment [MB23]: The subheading "Minor Alternations" was deleted because several of these subheadings address this topic, not just (a).

Comment [MB24]: Reference to the appeal process was deleted here as unnecessary because the appeal process is described in this same section.

Comment [MB25]: The language regarding "but not limited to" was deleted here because it is not necessary if (3) is here because (3) is a catch-all that means the HPC can delegate anything else it sees fit.

Comment [MB26]: HPC version used "and" here however this list is not conjunctive, but rather in the alternative, thus "or" is appropriate. Otherwise, the project would have to meet all three criteria.

damage;

(2) Work the sole purpose and effect of which is to comply with the Unreinforced Masonry

Building (UMB) Seismic Retrofit Ordinance and where the proposed work complies with the UMB

staff. If the HPC delegates such approvals to Department staff, Minor Alterations shall include the

10 shall mean any work the sole purpose and effect of which is to correct deterioration, decay, or

Retrofit Architectural Design Guidelines adopted by the HPC; or

Planning Department
BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

following categories of work:

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Page 22

8/11/2011

Work to perform ordinary maintenance and repairs, which for the purpose of this Article

1	(3) Any other work so delegated to the Department by the HPC.
2	(b) An Administrative Certificate of Appropriateness for Minor Alteration work may be
3	approved by the Department without a hearing before the HPC. The Department shall mail the
4	Department's written decision on an Administrative Certificate of Appropriateness to the applicant and
5	to any individuals or organizations who so request. Any Departmental decision on an Administrative
6	Certificate of Appropriateness may be appealed to the HPC within 15 days of the date of the written
7	decision. The HPC may also request review of any Departmental decision on an Administrative
8	Certificate of Appropriateness by its own motion within 30 days of the written decision.
9	(c) Applications for a Certificate of Appropriateness that are not Minor Alterations
10	delegated to Department staff shall be scheduled for hearing by the HPC pursuant to Sections 1006.3
11	<u>and 1006.5 below.</u>
12	SEC. 1006.3.SCHEDULING AND NOTICE OF HEARING.
13	(a) When an application for a Certificate of Appropriateness has been filed and Section
14	1006.2 provides that the Planning Commission shall hold a public hearing thereon, If a public hearing
15	before the HPC on a Certificate of Appropriateness is required, a timely appeal has been made of an
16	Administrative Certificate of Appropriateness or the HPC has requested timely review of an
17	Administrative Certificate of Appropriateness, the Department shall set a time and place for said
18	hearing within a reasonable period. Notice of the time, place and purpose of the hearing shall
19	be given by the Department as follows:
20	(a)(1) By mail to the applicant not less than 20 days prior to the date of the hearing;
21	(b) By mail not less than 10 days prior to the date of the hearing to the owners of all real
22	property that is the subject of the application and, if said property is in a historic district, to the owners
23	of all real property within the historic district, using for this purpose the names and addresses of the
24	owners as shown on the latest citywide assessment roll in the office of the Tax Collector. Failure to
25	

Comment [MB27]: The language regarding delegation was deleted here because the term "Administrative Certificate of Appropriateness" is a defined term that only including Minor Alterations that have been delegated by HPC to staff.

Comment [MB28]: took out "approving" because the Dept could deny.

Comment [MB29]: This section was edited to add a 30-day time limit on when the HPC can request review of the Department's written decision to provide some certainty for the applicant and for other City decisionmakers. If 30 days isn't long enough, a longer time may be appropriate.

Comment [MB30]: This subsection (c) was edited for clarity, but not substance.

Comment [MB31]: This highlighted section was added to provide for only one noticing procedure for any HPC hearing on a C of A. The HPC's version had two different noticing procedures—one for required" hearings and one for appeals of Admin C of A's. It seemed unnecessary to have two different noticing procedures for hearings before the HPC, so this was consolidated into one noticing requirement for all types of hearings.

Planning Department BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

Page 23

1	send notice by mail to any such property owner where the address of such owner is not shown on such
2	assessment roll shall not invalidate any proceedings in connection with such action;
3	(c) By publication at least once in a newspaper of general circulation in the City not less
4	than 20 days prior to the date of the hearing;
5	(2) By mail to any interested parties who so request in writing to the Department;
6	(3) For landmark sites: by mail not less than 20 days prior to the date of the hearing to all
7	owners and occupants of the subject property and owners and occupants of properties within 150 feet
8	of the subject property;
9	(4) For buildings located in historic districts: by mail not less than 20 days prior to the date
10	of the hearing to all owners and occupants of the subject property and owners and occupants of
11	properties within 300 feet of the subject property.
12	(5) By posting notice on the site not less than 20 days prior to the date of the hearing; and
13	$\frac{(d)(6)}{2}$ Such other notice as the Department $\frac{1}{2}$ deem $\frac{1}{2}$ appropriate.
14	(b) For the purposes of mailed notice, the latest citywide assessment roll for names and
15	addresses of owners shall be used, and all efforts shall be made to the extent practical, to notify
16	occupants of properties in the notification area.
17	
18	SEC. 1006.4. INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK. REFERRAL TO ADVISORY BOARD PRIOR
19	TO HEARING.
20	Where a public hearing before the Planning Commission has been scheduled thereon, the
21	application for a Certificate of Appropriateness shall be promptly referred to the Advisory Board and
22	shall be considered by the Advisory Board at its next regular meeting, or at a special meeting called for
23	that specific purpose. The Advisory Board shall render a report to the Planning Commission at or prior
24	to the scheduled public hearing; failure of the Advisory Board to consider the application or to render

a report shall not constitute grounds for continuation of the public hearing.

Comment [MB32]: The HPC version included a sentence here that stated that failure to send notice to a property owner whose address is not on the assessment roll would not invalidate any procedures was deleted. This language was not necessary and could be read as overly constraining on the City's authority. Case law has held that even if the City failed to send notice to people whose correct address the City did have, that would still not necessarily invalidate any proceeding. Courts have held that notice does not have to be perfect.

Planning Department
BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

25

Page 24

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13 14

15

16

17

18

19

20 21

22

23

2425

- (a) Report and Recommendation. The Department shall make necessary investigations and studies prior to the hearing of the *Planning Commission HPC*. The *Department shall provide its* report and recommendation of the Director of Planning shall be submitted at the hearing to the HPC.
- (b) Record. A record shall be kept of the pertinent information presented at the hearing, and such record shall be maintained as a part of the permanent public records of the Department. A verbatim record may be made if permitted or ordered by the *Planning***Commission**HPC.
- (c) Continuations. The *Planning Commission HPC* shall determine the instances in which cases scheduled for hearing may be continued or taken under advisement. In such cases, new notice need not be given of the further hearing date, provided such date is announced at the scheduled hearing.
- (d) Decision. The HPC shall approve, disapprove, or approve with modifications

 Certificates of Appropriateness for work to designated landmarks or within historic districts, except

 where it delegates such decisions to Departmental staff under the provisions of Section 1006.2 above.

 The decision of the Planning Commission HPC shall be rendered within 30 days from the date of conclusion of the hearing; failure of the Commission HPC to act within the prescribed time shall be deemed to constitute disapproval of the application. The decision of the Planning

 Commission HPC in either approving or, disapproving the application pursuant to Section 1006.6, shall be final except upon the filing of a valid appeal to the Board of Appeals or Board of Supervisors as provided in Section 1006.8. The decision of the Planning Commission, in

suspending action on an application pursuant to Section 1006.6, shall be final. If the Planning

Comment [MB33]: Changed from "modify" to approve with modifications". "Modify" made sense when this section concerned the Planning Commission modifying a Certificate of Appropriateness the HPC had already acted on. Now, the HPC is the first entity to approve, so "appropriate."

Comment [MB34]: highlighted language added for consistency.

Comment [MB35]: Highlighted language deleted as unnecessary because the first line of this subsection (d) defines what type of decision the HPC is making.

Planning Department
BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

Page 25

Commission HPC, or the Board of Appeals or Board of Supervisors on appeal, approves the application, or after the expiration of any suspension period imposed by the Commission, the Department shall issue a Certificate of Appropriateness to the applicant.

Comment [MB36]: The HPC should be retained here so that it's clear that the Department has to issue to Certificate of Appropriateness once the HPC make a non-appealed decision.

- (e) Time Limit for Exercise. When approving an application for a Certificate of Appropriateness as provided herein, the *Planning Commission HPC* may impose a time limit for submission of a permit application conforming to the Certificate; otherwise, such permit application must be submitted within a reasonable time.
- (f) Delegation of Hearing. The *Planning Commission HPC* may delegate to a committee of one or more of its members, or to the Director of Planning or his *or her* designee, or to the Advisory Board, or to any combination of the foregoing, the holding of the hearing required by this Article 10 for a Certificate of Appropriateness. The delegate or delegates shall submit to the *Planning Commission HPC* a record of the hearing, together with a report of findings and recommendations relative thereto, for the consideration of the *Commission HPC* in reaching its decision in the case.
- (g) Reconsideration. Whenever an application has been disapproved by the *Planning Commission HPC*, or by the *Board of Appeals or* Board of Supervisors on appeal as described in Section 1006.8, no application, the same or substantially the same as that which was disapproved, shall be resubmitted to or reconsidered by the *Planning Commission HPC* within a period of one year from the effective date of final action upon the earlier application.

SEC. 1006.6.NATURE OF *PLANNING COMMISSION*THE HPC'S DECISION.

The decision of the *Planning Commission* <u>HPC</u> after its public hearing shall be in accordance with the following provisions:

(a) If the application for a Certificate of Appropriateness proposes construction or alteration of a structure or any work involving a sign, awning, marquee, canopy, mural or other

Planning Department
BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12 13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20 21

22

23

2425

Page 26 8/11/2011 appendage, or exterior changes in a historic district visible from a public street or other public place, the *Planning Commission HPC* shall approve or, disapprove, or modify the application in whole or in part.

- If the application proposes removal or demolition of a structure on a designated (b) landmark site, the *Planning Commission* HPC may disapprove or approve the application, or may suspend action on it for a period not to exceed 180 days; provided that the Board of Supervisors by resolution may, for good cause shown, extend the suspension for an additional period not to exceed 180 days, if the said Board acts not more than 90 days and not less than 30 days prior to the expiration of the original 180-day period.
- If the application proposes removal or demolition of a structure in a designated (c) historic district, other than on a designated landmark site, the Planning Commission HPC may disapprove or approve the application, or may suspend action on it for a period not to exceed 90 days, subject to extension by the Board of Supervisors as provided in the preceding subsection; provided, however, that the designating ordinance for the historic district may authorize the suspension of action for an alternate period which shall in no event exceed 90 days, without extension, and in such event the provision of the designating ordinance shall govern.
- (d) In the event action on an application to remove or demolish a structure is suspended as provided in this Section, the Planning Commission HPC, with the advice and assistance of the Advisory Board, may take such steps as it determines are necessary to preserve the structure concerned, in accordance with the purposes of this Article 10. Such steps may include, but shall not be limited to, consultations with civic groups, public agencies, and interested citizens, recommendations for acquisition of property by public or private bodies or agencies, and exploration of the possibility of moving one or more structures or other features.

Planning Department **BOARD OF SUPERVISORS**

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20 21

22

23

24 25

1	
2	

SEC. 1006.7.STANDARDS FOR REVIEW OF APPLICATIONS.

The *Planning Commission*, *HPC*, the Department, and the Advisory Board, and, in the case of multiple approvals under Section 1006.1(f), the Planning Commission, and any other decisionmaking body shall be guided by the standards in this Section in their review of applications for Certificates of Appropriateness for proposed work on a landmark site or in a historic district. In appraising the effects and relationships mentioned herein, the *Planning Commission*, the *Department and the Advisory Board decisionmaking body* shall in all cases consider the factors of architectural style, design, arrangement, texture, materials, color, and any other pertinent factors.

- (a) The proposed work shall be appropriate for and consistent with the effectuation of the purposes of this Article 10.
- (b) The proposed work shall comply with the Secretary of the Interior's Standards for the Treatment of Historic Properties.

(b)(c) For applications pertaining to landmark sites, the proposed work shall preserve, enhance or restore, and shall not damage or destroy, the exterior architectural features of the landmark and, where specified in the designating ordinance pursuant to Section 1004(c), its major interior architectural features. The proposed work shall not adversely affect the special character or special historical, architectural or aesthetic interest or value of the landmark and its site, as viewed both in themselves and in their setting, nor of the historic district in applicable cases.

(c)(d) For applications pertaining to property in historic districts, other than on a designated landmark site, any new construction, addition or exterior change shall be compatible with the character of the historic district as described in the designating ordinance; and, in any exterior change, reasonable efforts shall be made to preserve, enhance or restore,

Planning Department
BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

and not to damage or destroy, the exterior architectural features of the subject property which are compatible with the character of the historic district. Notwithstanding the foregoing, for any exterior change where the subject property is not already compatible with the character of the historic district, reasonable efforts shall be made to produce compatibility, and in no event shall there be a greater deviation from compatibility. Where the required compatibility exists, the application for a Certificate of Appropriateness shall be approved.

(d)(e) For applications pertaining to all property in historic districts, the proposed work shall also conform to such further standards as may be embodied in the ordinance designating the historic district.

(e)(f) For applications pertaining to the addition of murals on a landmark or contributory structure in a historic district, the *Advisory Board and the Planning Commission* HPC shall consider only the placement, size and location of the mural, to determine whether the mural covers or obscures significant architectural features of the landmark or contributory structure. For purposes of review under this Article 10, the City shall not consider the content or artistic merit of the mural.

SEC. 1006.8.APPEALS *FROM PLANNING COMMISSION DECISION OF A CERTIFICATE OF APPROPRIATENESS*.

(a) Right of Appeal. <u>The HPC's or the Planning Commission's decision on a Certificate of Appropriateness shall be final unless appealed to the Board of Appeals, which may modify the decision by a 4/5 vote; provided however, that if the project requires Board of Supervisors approval or is appealed to the Board of Supervisors as a conditional use authorization, the decision shall not be appealed to the Board of Appeals but rather to the Board of Supervisors, which may modify the decision by a majority vote. The action of the Planning Commission in approving or disapproving in whole or in part an application for a Certificate of Appropriateness shall be subject to appeal to the</u>

Planning Department

BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

Page 29

Board of Supervisors in accordance with this Section. An action of the Commission on a Any Certificate of Appropriateness so appealed from shall not become effective unless and until approved by the Board of Appeals or Board of Supervisors in accordance with this Section. Nothing in this Section shall be construed to authorize the appeal of any decision under Section 1006.6 of this Article 10 to suspend action on an application.

- Notice of Appeal. Any appeal under this Section shall be taken by filing written notice of appeal with the Board of Appeals or Clerk of the Board of Supervisors, whichever entity is appropriate under the requirements of subsection 1006.8(a), within 30 days after the date of action by the Planning CommissionHPC or Planning Commission. In the case of a historic district, the notice of appeal shall be subscribed by the owners of at least 20 percent of the property affected by the proposed Certificate of Appropriateness; for the purposes of this calculation, the property affected shall be deemed to be all property within the historic district. In the case of a landmark not in a historic district, the notice of appeal shall be subscribed by the property owner, or by any governmental body or agency, or by an organization with a recognized interest in historical preservation.
- Hearing. Upon the filing of such written notice of appeal so subscribed, tThe Board of Supervisors, the Board of Appeals or the Clerk(s) thereof shall set a time and place for hearing such appeal, which shall be not less than 10 nor more than 30 days after such filing. The Board of Appeals or the Board of Supervisors must decide such appeal within 30 days of the time set for the hearing thereon; provided that, if the full membership of the Board board is not present on the last day on which said appeal is set or continued for hearing within said period, the Board board may postpone said hearing and decision thereon until, but not later than, the full membership of the Board is present; provided, further, that the latest date to which said hearing and decision may be so postponed shall be not more than 90 days from the date of filing of the appeal. Failure of the Board of Appeals or the Board of Supervisors to act within

Comment [MB371: The CPC was added here because to clarify that the CPC's decision would also be appealable to the BOS or BOA if the CPC modifies the HPC's decision under the multiple Planning approvals provisions.

Comment [MB38]: Added for clarity.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20 21

22

23

24 25

1	such time limit shall be deemed to constitute approval by the Board of the action decision of the
2	<u>HPC or</u> Planning Commission.
3	(d) Decision. In acting upon any such appeal, the Board of Supervisors may disapprove the
4	action of the Planning Commission only by a vote of not less than of all members of the Board.
5	(e)—Decisions Affecting City Hall. The provisions of this Subsection shall govern
6	decisions by the City Planning Commission HPC on a Certificate of Appropriateness for
7	alteration work to be done at City Hall, in lieu of any other provision set forth above. Upon the
8	approval or disapproval by the City Planning Commission HPC of a Certificate of
9	Appropriateness for alteration of City Hall, the Secretary of the City Planning Commission HPC
10	shall transmit to the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors written notification of the
11	Commission HPC's decision. The Clerk shall set a time and place for hearing on the decision,
12	which shall be not less than 10 nor more than 30 days after receipt of such notification. The
13	Board of Supervisors may either approve, disapprove, or modify the Commission's HPC's
14	decision by majority vote. The Board of Supervisors must take this action within 30 days of the
15	time set for the hearing thereon, provided that, if the full membership of the Board is not
16	present on the last day on which said hearing is set or continued within said period, the Board
17	may postpone said hearing and decision thereon until, but not later than, the full membership
18	of the Board is present; provided further, that the latest date to which said hearing and
19	decision may be so postponed shall be not more than 90 days from the date of the receipt of
20	written notification. Failure of the Board of Supervisors to act within such time limit shall be
21	deemed to constitute approval by the Board of the action of the ${\it City Planning Commission \underline{HPC}}.$
22	
23	SEC. 1007. UNSAFE OR DANGEROUS CONDITIONS.
24	None of the provisions of this Article 10 shall be construed to prevent any measures of

Comment [MB39]: "Either" was deleted because there are 3 possible choices, not 2.

Comment [MB40]: Edited to add back "modify" per Charter Section 4.125. The BOS had the authority to modify the HPC's decision under the Charter.

Planning Department BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

25

Page 31

8/11/2011

construction, alteration, or demolition necessary to correct the unsafe or dangerous condition

of any structure, other feature, or part thereof, where such condition has been declared unsafe or dangerous by the *Superintendent Director* of the *Bureau Department* of Building Inspection or the Chief of the Bureau of Fire Prevention and Public Safety, and where the proposed measures have been declared necessary, by such official, to correct the said condition; provided, however, that only such work as is absolutely necessary to correct the unsafe or dangerous condition may be performed pursuant to this Section. In the event any structure or other feature shall be damaged by fire, or other calamity, or by Act of God or by the public enemy, to such an extent that in the opinion of the aforesaid officials it cannot reasonably be repaired and restored, it may be removed in conformity with normal permit procedures and applicable laws.

SEC. 1008. COMPLIANCE WITH MAINTENANCE REQUIREMENTS.

The owner, lessee or other person in actual charge of a landmark, or of a structure in an historic district, shall comply with all applicable codes, laws and regulations governing the maintenance of the property. It is the intent of this Section to preserve from deliberate or inadvertent neglect the exterior portions of such landmark or structure, the interior portions thereof when subject to control as specified in the designating ordinance, and all interior portions thereof whose maintenance is necessary to prevent deterioration and decay of any exterior portion. *Failure to comply with this Section shall be subject to enforcement and penalties pursuant to Section 1013 below.*

SEC. 1009. ADVICE AND GUIDANCE TO PROPERTY OWNERS.

The Advisory Board HPC may, upon request of the property owner, render advice and guidance with respect to any proposed work for which a Certificate of Appropriateness is not required, on a designated landmark site or in a designated historic district. In rendering such

Planning Department
BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

Page 32 8/11/2011 advice and guidance, the *Advisory Board HPC* shall be guided by the purposes and standards in this Article 10. This Section shall not be construed to impose any regulations or controls upon any property.

4 5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

1

2

SEC. 1010. PROPERTY OWNED BY PUBLIC AGENCIES.

(a) The Department shall take appropriate steps to notify all public agencies which own or may acquire property in the City, about the existence and character of designated landmarks and historic districts; if possible, the Department shall cause a current record of such landmarks and historic districts to be maintained in each such public agency. In the case of any publicly owned property on a landmark site or in a historic district which is not subject to the permit review procedures of the City, the agency owning the said property shall seek the advice of the *Planning Commission* HPC prior to approval or authorization of any construction, alteration or demolition thereon; and the Planning Commission, with the aid of the Advisory Board and HPC, in consultation with the Art Commission in appropriate cases, shall render a report to the owner as expeditiously as possible, based on the purposes and standards in this Article 10. If Planning Commission HPC review of a public project involving construction, alteration or demolition on a landmark site or in a historic district is required under any other law, or under the Charter, the Planning Commission shall render the report referred to in this Section to such public agency without specific request therefor a Certificate of Appropriateness shall be required subject to the procedures set forth in this Article 10.

22 23

2425

(b) All officers, boards, commissions and departments of the City shall cooperate with the *Advisory Board and the Planning Commission* HPC in carrying out the spirit and intent of this Article 10.

Comment [MB41]: This final phrase was edited to clarity that the procedures of this Article need to be followed. Using "pursuant to" here would mean that the Certificate of Appropriateness would only be required if this Article required it, which is circular.

Comment [MB42]: This sentence was edited to clarify that, if a permit is required for the work, that permit must get a Certificate of Appropriateness.

Planning Department BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

(c) Nothing in this Article 10 shall be construed to imposed any regulations or controls upon designated landmarks owned or controlled by the Golden Gate Bridge Highway and Transportation District.

SEC. 1011. RECOGNITION OF STRUCTURES OF MERIT.

- (a) The Advisory Board may recommend, and the Planning Commission HPC may approve, a list of structures of historical, architectural or aesthetic merit which that have not been designated as landmarks and are not situated in designated historic districts. The said This list may be added to from time to time. The purpose of this list shall be to recognize and encourage the protection, enhancement, perpetuation and use of such structures. The Advisory Board and the Planning Commission HPC shall maintain a record of historic structures in the City which have been officially designated by agencies of the State or federal government, and shall cause such structures to be added to the aforesaid list.
- (b) Nothing in this Article 10 shall be construed to impose any regulations or controls upon such structures of merit included on the said list and neither designated as landmarks nor situated in historic districts.
- (c) The *Planning Commission, with the advice of the Advisory Board, HPC* may authorize such steps as it deems desirable to recognize the merit of, and to encourage the protection, enhancement, perpetuation and use of any such listed structure, or of any designated landmark or any structure in a designated historic district, including but not limited to the issuance of a certificate of recognition and the authorization of a plaque to be affixed to the exterior of the structure; and the *Planning Commission HPC* shall cooperate with appropriate State and federal agencies in such efforts.
- (d) The Planning Commission, with the advice of the Advisory Board, HPC may make recommendations to the Board of Supervisors and to any other body or agency responsible,

Planning Department

BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

1	to encourage giving names pertaining to San Francisco history to streets, squares, walks,
2	plazas and other public places.
3	
4	SEC. 1013. ENFORCEMENT AND PENALTIES.
5	Enforcement and Penalties shall be as provided in Sections 176 and 176.1 of this
6	Code.
7	
8	SEC. 1014. APPLICABILITY.
9	(a) No application for a permit to construct, alter or demolish any structure or other
10	feature on a proposed landmark site or in a proposed historic district, filed subsequent to the
11	day that an application has been filed or a resolution adopted to initiate or confirm initiation of
12	designation of the $\frac{1}{2}$ proposed landmark site or historic district, shall be approved by the
13	Department while proceedings are pending on such designation; provided however, that after
14	180 days have elapsed from the date of initiation of said designation, if final action on such designation
15	has not been completed, the permit application may be approved. for the following time periods:
16	(1) For proposed landmark sites: 180 days after the date of initiation;
17	(2) For historic districts: 1 year after the date of initiation.
18	The HPC or the Board of Supervisors may approve by resolution a one-time extension of up to
19	180 days of either of the above-time periods. If final action on such designation has not been
20	completed before the end of the relevant time period, the permit application may be approved.
21	(b) The provisions of this Article 10 shall be inapplicable to the construction,
22	alteration or demolition of any structure or other feature on a landmark site or in a historic
23	district, where a permit for the performance of such work was issued prior to the effective date
24	of the designation of the said landmark site or historic district, and where such permit has not
25	

Comment [MB43]: The HPC's version stated that "on initiation" the 180 day permit hold would apply. This raises an unlawful delegation issue. One of the ways "initiation" is defined above is upon the completed application by a private party. Thus, as previously drafted, this would have allowed a private party to file an application for landmarking and, once the application is deemed complete, a 180 day permit hold would commence. The City can not allow a non-City entity to bind its regulatory and decision-making authority in that way.

Planning Department BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

expired or been cancelled or revoked, provided that construction is started and diligently
prosecuted to completion in accordance with the Building Code.
(c) Notwithstanding subsection (a) above, the Department may approve a permit to
construct, alter, or demolish a structure or other feature on a proposed landmark site or in a proposed
historic district during the pendency of a proposed designation if the property owner or authorized
agent of the property owner applies for and is granted approval of a Certificate of Appropriateness for
such work pursuant to the requirements of this Article 10.
SEC. 1015 SEVERABILITY.
If any Section, Subsection, Subdivision, Paragraph, sentence, clause or phrase of this
Article 10 or any part thereof, is for any reason held to be unconstitutional or invalid, such
decision shall not affect the validity of the remaining portions of this Article 10 or any part
thereof. The Board of Supervisors hereby declares that it would have passed each Section,
Subsection, Subdivision, Paragraph, sentence, clause or phrase thereof, irrespective of the
fact that any one or more Sections, Subsections, Subdivisions, Paragraphs, sentences,
clauses or phrases be declared unconstitutional.
APPROVED AS TO FORM: DENNIS J. HERRERA, City Attorney
By: Marlena G. Byrne Deputy City Attorney

Planning Department BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

> Page 36 8/11/2011

Comment [MB44]: This subsection (c) was edited for clarity and to more closely follow subsection (a).

DRAFT DRAFT** FOR DISCUSSION PURPOSES ONLY** DRAFT DRAFT DRAFT FILE NO. ORDINANCE NO.

[Planning Code—Article 11] 1 2 Ordinance amending Article 11 of the San Francisco Planning Code in its entirety; 3 making environmental findings and findings of consistency with general plan and 4 Planning Code Section 101.1(b). 5 NOTE: Additions are *single-underline italics Times New Roman*; 6 deletions are strike through italics Times New Roman. Board amendment additions are double-underlined; 7 Board amendment deletions are strikethrough normal. 8 Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco: 9 Section 1. Findings. The Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco 10 hereby finds and determines that: 11 General Plan and Planning Code Findings. (a) 12 (1) at a duly noticed public hearing, the Planning Commission 13 found that the proposed Planning Code amendments in Resolution No. 14 contained in this ordinance were consistent with the City's General Plan and with Planning 15 Code Section 101.1(b). In addition, the Planning Commission recommended that the Board 16 of Supervisors adopt the proposed Planning Code amendments. A copy of said Resolution is 17 on file with the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors in File No. and is 18 incorporated herein by reference. The Board finds that the proposed Planning Code 19 amendments contained in this ordinance are on balance consistent with the City's General 20 Plan and with Planning Code Section 101.1(b) for the reasons set forth in said Resolution. 21 (2)Pursuant to Planning Code Section 302, the Board finds that the proposed 22 ordinance will serve the public necessity, convenience and welfare for the reasons set forth in 23 Planning Commission Resolution No. , which reasons are incorporated 24 herein by reference as though fully set forth. 25 Planning Department **BOARD OF SUPERVISORS** Page 1

Comment [MB1]: Global Comment: Wherever a change has been made from the version proposed by the HPC, that change has been called out and discussed in a comment here in the margin.

Comment [MB2]: Global Comment: wherever possible, changes have been made to shorten language and remove unnecessary wording for clarity and readability, as well as to remove overly legalistic sounding language and to use plain language, per the City Attorney's requirements for legislative drafting.

(b)	Historic Preservation Co	ommission Findings. On _		at a duly
noticed pub	lic hearing, the Historic Pr	eservation Commission in	Resolution No	
	recommended tha	t the Board of Supervisor	s adopt the pro	posed Planning
Code amen	dments. A copy of said R	esolution is on file with the	e Clerk of the B	oard of
Supervisors	s in File No	and is incorporated	herein by refere	ence.
(c)	Environmental Findings	The Planning Departme	nt has determir	ned that the
ctions con	templated in this Ordinand	e are in compliance with t	the California E	nvironmental
Quality Act	(California Public Resourc	es Code section 21000 e	t seq.). Said de	etermination is
on file with	the Clerk of the Board of S	Supervisors in File No		_ and is
ncorporate	d herein by reference.			
Sect	ion 1. The San Francisco	Planning Code is hereby	amended by an	nending Article
SEC	. 1101. FINDINGS AND F	PURPOSES. substantial number of the	e buildings in the	e C-3 District
nave a spec	cial architectural, historical	, and aesthetic value. The	ese buildings co	ontribute
substantially	y to San Francisco's reput	ation throughout the Unite	ed States as a C	City of
outstanding	beauty and physical harm	nony. A substantial numbe	er of these spec	ial buildings
nave been a	and continue to be unnece	ssarily destroyed or impa	ired, despite the	e feasibility of
reserving a	and continuing their use, a	nd without adequate cons	sideration for the	e irreplaceable
oss to the p	people of the City of their a	esthetic, cultural, historic	and economic	value.
(b)	It is further found that di	stinct and definable subar	eas within the (C-3 District
oossess co	ncentrations of buildings th	nat together create a uniq	ue historic, arch	nitectural, and
aesthetic ch	naracter which contributes	to the beauty and attracti	veness of the C	ity. The quality
Planning Denag	tment			

1

2

3

4

5

6

12 13 14

11

15 16 17

19 20

18

21 22 23

24

25

of these geographic areas has been and continues to be degraded by the unnecessary demolition of buildings of substantial architectural and aesthetic merit, by their replacement with buildings which conflict with the character and scale of the area, and by alteration of buildings in a manner which conflicts with the character and scale of the area.

- It is therefore declared that the protection, enhancement, and perpetuation of buildings and definable subareas of special architectural, historical, and aesthetic interest is necessary to promote the health, safety, prosperity and welfare of the people of the City. Accordingly, the purposes of this Article are:
- (1) The protection, enhancement, and perpetuation of structures and subareas of special architectural, historical, and aesthetic character which contribute to the urban environment;
- (2)The maintenance and improvement of a healthy economy for the City by enhancing both property values and the City's attractiveness as a place to do business;
- The protection and improvement of the City's attractiveness to tourists and other visitors, and the stimulus to business provided thereby;
- The enrichment of the educational, cultural, aesthetic and spiritual life of the inhabitants of the City by fostering knowledge of the heritage of the City's past and retaining the quality of the City's urban environment.
- It is further found that the use of Transferable Development Rights ("TDR") as (d) provided herein is necessary to promote the urban planning and design goals of the Master General Plan by (1) maintaining appropriate overall development capacities in each zoning district within the C-3 area, as defined by applicable floor area, height, bulk and other parameters; (2) encouraging and directing development into the Special Development District in order to maintain a compact downtown financial district; and (3) facilitating the retention of Significant Buildings, and encouraging the retention of Contributory Buildings, and the

Planning Department **BOARD OF SUPERVISORS**

Page 3

compatible replacement or alteration of Unrated buildings in Conservation Districts, as defined herein.

2

1

3 4

5 6

7 8

9

10 11

12 13

14 15

16 17

18 19

20

21 22

23 24

25

SEC. 1102. STANDARDS FOR DESIGNATION OF BUILDINGS.

The buildings in the C-3 Districts are divided into five categories according to the Building Rating methodology as set forth and explained in the Preservation of the Past section of the Downtown Plan, a component of the *Master General* Plan. Those categories are as follows:

- (a) Significant Buildings Category I. Buildings which:
- (1) Are at least 40 years old; and
- (2) Are judged to be Buildings of Individual Importance; and
- (3) Are rated Excellent in Architectural Design or are rated Very Good in both Architectural Design and Relationship to the Environment.
 - (b) Significant Buildings Category II. Buildings:
 - (1) Which meet the standards in Section 1102(a) above; and
- (2) To which, because of their depth and relationship to other structures, it is feasible to add different and higher replacement structures or additions to height at the rear of the structure, even if visible when viewing the principal facades, without affecting their architectural quality or relationship to the environment and without affecting the appearance of the retained portions as separate structures when viewing the principal facades. The designation of Category II Buildings shall identify for each building the portion of the building beyond which such additions may be permitted.
 - (c) Contributory Buildings Category III. Buildings which:
 - (1) Are located outside a designated Conservation District; and
 - (2) Are at least 40 years old; and

Planning Department BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

Page 4

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25

	(3)	Are judged t	be Buildings	of Individual	Importance; and
--	-----	--------------	--------------	---------------	-----------------

- (4) Are rated either Very Good in Architectural Design or Excellent or Very Good in Relationship to the Environment.
 - (d) Contributory Buildings Category IV. Buildings which:
 - (1) Are located in a designated Conservation District; and
 - (2) Are at least 40 years old; and
- (3) Are judged to be Buildings of Individual Importance, and are rated either Very Good in Architectural Design or Excellent or Very Good in Relationship to the Environment.
- (4) Are judged to be Buildings of Contextual Importance and are rated Very Good in Architectural Design and/or Excellent or Very Good in Relationship to the Environment.
- (e) Unrated Buildings Category V. Buildings which are not designated as Significant or Contributory.

SEC. 1102.1. DESIGNATION OF BUILDINGS.

The buildings in the C-3 District are classified as follows:

- (a) Significant Buildings Category I. The buildings listed in Appendix A to this Article 11 are hereby designated as Significant Buildings Category I.
- (b) Significant Buildings Category II. The buildings listed in Appendix B to this Article 11 are hereby designated as Significant Buildings Category II.
- (c) Contributory Buildings Category III. The buildings listed in Appendix C to this Article 11 are hereby designated as Contributory Buildings Category III.
- (d) Contributory Buildings Category IV. The buildings listed in Appendix D to this Article 11 are hereby designated as Contributory Buildings Category IV.
- (e) Unrated Buildings Category V. All buildings in the C-3 District not otherwise designated in this Section are hereby designated as Unrated Category V.

Planning Department
BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

Page 5 8/11/2011

SEC. 1103. STANDARDS FOR DESIGNATION OF CONSERVATION DISTRICTS.

Portions of the C-3 District may be designated as Conservation Districts if they contain substantial concentrations of buildings that together create subareas of special architectural and aesthetic importance. Such areas shall contain substantial concentrations of Significant and Contributory Buildings and possess substantial overall architectural, aesthetic or historic qualities justifying additional controls in order to protect and promote those qualities.

SEC. 1103.1. CONSERVATION DISTRICT DESIGNATIONS.

The following Conservation Districts are hereby designated for the reasons indicated in the appropriate Appendix:

- (a) The Kearny-Market-Mason-Sutter Conservation District is hereby designated as set forth in Appendix E.
- (b) The New Montgomery-Second Street Conservation District is hereby designated as set forth in Appendix F.
- (c) The Commercial-Leidesdorff Conservation District is hereby designated as set forth in Appendix G.
- (d) The Front-California Conservation District is hereby designated as set forth in Appendix H.
- (e) The Kearny-Belden Conservation District is hereby designated as set forth in Appendix I.
- (f) The Pine-Sansome Conservation District is hereby designated as set forth in Appendix J.

SEC. 1104. INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK. NOTICE OF DESIGNATION.

Planning Department
BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

Page 6

	1		
	2		
	3		
	4		
	5		
	6		
	7		
	8		
	9		
1	0		
1	1		
1	2		
1	3		
	4		
	5		
	6		
	7		
	8		
	9		
	0		
	1		
	2		
2	3		

24

25

(a)	The Zoning A	\dministrator sh	iall notify by i	mail the own	ers of every bu	iilding desig	natea
by this ordine	ance as a Signi	ficant or Contri	butory Buildi	ing and every	building with	in a conserv	ation
district as est	tablished by the	's ordinance.					

- With respect to buildings designated Significant or Contributory by this ordinance, notice shall also be given by posting each such building in a conspicuous place as well as by publication pursuant to the provisions of California Government Code Section 6064. The notice shall state that the owner of every building so designated has the right to request a change of designation and the time permitted for making such a request.
- The Zoning Administrator shall cause a copy of this ordinance, or notice thereof, to be recorded in the office of the County Recorder for properties designated as Significant or Contributory, and for properties designated within a conservation district, by this ordinance.

SEC. 1105. INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK. RECONSIDERATION OF DESIGNATION.

Request for Reconsideration. Within 45 days of the effective date of this ordinance, a request for reconsideration and change of a designation may be filed by any affected property owner, by any organization or group which has historic preservation stated as one of its goals in its bylaws or articles of incorporation, or the application of at least 50 registered voters of the City, based on the grounds that under the standards contained in Section 1102 the designation set forth in this ordinance is incorrect. Such a request shall be filed with the Department of City Planning on forms provided for that purpose. The Department of City Planning shall not accept or act upon any application filed after 45 days have passed. Once a request for reconsideration has been made as to any building, no additional requests shall be accepted as to that building; however, another applicant may seek a change of designation different from that sought in the original reconsideration request. Any property owner who contends that the designation applicable to its property deprives the owner of a constitutionally protected property right, or that, by reason of such application, the property owner is

Planning Department **BOARD OF SUPERVISORS**

Page 7

entitled to compensation, shall assert such argument in connection with and in aid of the application filed under this Section and provide all evidence in the property owner's possession in support of such contention.

(b) Referral to the Landmarks Preservation Advisory Board; Review by the Department of City Planning. Upon determination by the Zoning Administrator that an application is complete, the Zoning Administrator shall promptly refer the matter to the Landmarks Preservation Advisory Board for review and recommendation, and the Department of City Planning shall undertake a study of the reconsideration request and prepare a report and recommendation. The Landmarks board shall recommend approval, disapproval, or approval with modifications of the application within 30 days of receiving it; provided, however, that if more than 30 applications are received within any 15 day period at the Department of City Planning, the Zoning Administrator may extend the time for Advisory Board action with respect to those applications for an additional period of time not to exceed 45 days, and if more than 50 applications are received within such time, for an additional period of time deemed necessary to allow sufficient time for Board review. If the Landmarks Board fails to respond within the allowed time the City Planning Commission shall proceed without a recommendation from the Landmarks Board.

(c) Submittal to the Planning Commission. Upon completion of the study by the Department of City Planning and recommendation by the Landmarks Advisory Board, the matter shall be scheduled for public hearing before the Planning Commission; provided, however, that in no event shall it be scheduled later than 30 days after the Advisory Board has made its recommendation unless the applicant consents to an extension of this time limit. Notice of the hearing shall be given by mail to the applicant and to any other persons requesting notice.

(d) City Planning Commission Decision. The Planning Commission may approve,
disapprove, or approve with modifications the reconsideration application. The building shall be
deemed to be designated according to the decision of the Planning Commission and the provisions of

Planning Department
BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

Page 8

this Article 11 applicable to that designation shall apply to the building notwithstanding another designation of the building in Appendices A, B, C or D to this Article.

SEC. 1106. PROCEDURES FOR CHANGE OF DESIGNATION: AND DESIGNATION OF ADDITIONAL SIGNIFICANT AND CONTRIBUTORY BUILDINGS.

Buildings may be designated <u>as Significant or Contributory or</u> their designation may be changed through amendment of Appendices A, B, C and D of this Article. Such designation or change of designation shall be governed by the following provisions in lieu of the provisions of Section 302:

Contributory building may be initiated by motion of the Board of Supervisors, by resolution of the Planning Commission or the Landmarks Preservation Advisory Board Historic Preservation Commission, by the verified application of the owner or authorized agent of the affected property, by the application of any organization or group which that has historic preservation stated as one of its goals in its bylaws or articles of incorporation, or by the application of at least 50 registered voters of the City. Except in the case of initiation by governmental bodies, any such application shall *contain historic, architectural, and/or cultural documentation to support* the initiation or change of designation as well as any additional information that may be required by

> Comment [MB4]: This concept (that there is no referral to the CPC on individual designations) was moved here from below because the BOS needs to know that it would not be referring the matter to the CPC. This concept was in section (c) below, which made it seem like it was the

Historic Preservation Commission

that did the referring in all instances instead of also the BOS when the

designation is initiated by that body.

(a) Initiation. The designation or change of designation of a Significant or the application procedures and policies established by the Historic Preservation Commission. be filed with the Department of City Planning upon forms prescribed by the Department of City Planning, and shall be accompanied by all data required by the Department. If initiated by motion of the Board of Supervisors, the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors shall refer the matter to the Historic Preservation Commission for its review and recommendation prior to passage by the Board of Supervisors, without referral to the Planning Commission.

Planning Department **BOARD OF SUPERVISORS**

1

2 3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Page 9 8/11/2011

c:\docume~1\smiddleb\locals~1\temp\notese1ef34\article 11 2011 cleanup (08-10-11 mgb markup to hpc public).doc

Comment [MB3]: Word "Structures" deleted here because designated properties are not referred to that way any where else. Also, the sentence remains grammatically correct without

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23

24

25

(b) Notice; Referral to the Landmarks Preservation Advisory Board; Referral to the Historic Preservation Commission; Review by the Planning Department of City Planning. Upon determination by the Zoning Administrator Department that a verified application is complete and contains all necessary information or upon receipt of the motion or resolution of one of the governmental bodies set forth in Subsection (a) above, the Zoning Administrator Department shall; (1) promptly schedule a hearing before the Historic Preservation Commission on the proposed designation or change of designation; and (2) send notice of the proposed designation or change of designation and (2) send notice of the proposed designation or change of designation hearing by mail no less than 20 days prior to the date of the hearing to the owner(s) of the affected property, unless the application is that of the owner, the applicant(s), if any, for the designation or change in designation; to the owners of all properties within 150 feet of the affected property; and to any interested parties who so request in writing to the Department, and (2) promptly refer the matter to the Landmarks Preservation Advisory Board for review and the submittal of a recommendation. The Department of City Planning shall also undertake a study of the proposed designation or change of designation.

Comment [MB5]: Deleted this phrase because is a good idea to provide the same notice to the owner as well. Although the owner would presumably know when the hearing is to be scheduled, it is better policy to provide them with the actual hearing notice as well.

- (c) Action by the <u>Planning Historic Preservation</u> Commission. <u>Upon completion of the review of the The</u> proposed designation or change of designation <u>by the Department of City</u>

 <u>Planning and the submittal of the report by the Landmarks Board, the matter</u> shall be placed on the agenda of the <u>Planning Historic Preservation</u> Commission for public hearing. The <u>Planning Historic Preservation</u> Commission or change in designation of the building. If the <u>Planning Historic Preservation</u> Commission approves or modifies the <u>proposed</u> designation or change of designation in whole or in part, it shall transmit <u>the proposalits recommendation</u>, together with a copy of the resolution <u>of approval</u>, to the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors <u>without referral to the Planning Commission</u>.
- (d) Designation by Board of Supervisors. The Board of Supervisors, or a committee thereof, shall hold a public hearing on any proposal so transmitted to it. The Board of

Planning Department
BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

Page 10

Supervisors may approve, modify and approve, or disapprove the designation or change of designation by a majority vote of all its members.

- (e) Appeal to Board of Supervisors. If the *Planning Historic Preservation* Commission disapproves the proposed designation or change of designation, such action shall be final except upon the filing of a notice of appeal to the Board of Supervisors within 30 days by the applicant or any of the persons, organizations or groups listed in Section 1106(a); provided, however, that if the proposal was initiated by the Board of Supervisors, the Clerk of the *said* Board shall be notified immediately of the disapproval without the necessity for an appeal.
- (f) Hearing and Decision <u>By the Board of Supervisors on Appeal</u>. The Board of Supervisors, or a committee thereof, shall hold a public hearing on any such proposal appealed to it or <u>initiated by it</u>. The Board of Supervisors may uphold the <u>Planning Historic</u>

 <u>Preservation Commission</u>, overrule the <u>Planning Historic Preservation Commission</u> and approve, or modify and approve, or disapprove the designation or change of designation by a majority vote of all its members.
- (g) Notice of Proceedings <u>Before the Board of Supervisors</u>. Notice of the hearing scheduled before the <u>Planning Commission and</u> Board of Supervisors, and of the availability of applicable reports, shall be given by mail <u>no less than 20 days prior to the date of the hearing</u> to the initiators of the designation or change of designation, to the <u>owners owner(s)</u> of any affected building, to <u>any</u> appellants, and to any other interested person or organization <u>who so</u> requests in writing to the Department requesting notice.
- (h) Grounds for Designation or Change of Designation. The designation of a building may be changed if (1) changes in the area in the vicinity of a building located outside a Conservation District warrant a change in the rating of the building with respect to its relationship to the environment and therefore place it in a different category, pursuant to Section 1102; or (2) changes in Conservation District boundaries make a building of

Comment [MB6]: Added "or disapprove" per Charter Section 4.135 and state law requirements regarding the powers of the BOS in approving or disapproving local landmark designations.

Comment [MB7]: I did not add the word "public" in front of "hearing" or the language regarding "proposed designation or change of designation", both of which were in the final HPC version, because those phrases are superfluous—all hearings must be public as required by law; and all "applicable" reports are already required thus it is not necessary to specifically mention that the reports need to concern the proposed designation or change in designation.

Planning Department
BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

Page 11

Contextual Importance fall outside a Conservation District and therefore no longer eligible for designation as a Contributory building, or, conversely, make a building of Contextual Importance fall within a Conservation District and therefore eligible for designation as a Contributory Building; or (3) changes in the physical features of the building due to circumstances beyond the control of the owner, or otherwise permitted by this Article, warrant placing the building in a different category pursuant to the standards set forth in Section 1102; or (4) restoration of the building to its original quality and character warrants placing the building in a different category pursuant to the standards set forth in Section 1102; or (5) by the passage of time, the building has become at least 40 years old, making it eligible to be considered for designation as a Significant or Contributory building, pursuant to Section 1102; or (6) the discovery of new factual information (for example, information about the history of the building) makes the building eligible for rating as a Building of Individual or Contextual Importance and, therefore, eligible to be designated as a Significant or Contributory Building.

SEC. 1107. PROCEDURES FOR DESIGNATION OF ADDITIONAL CONSERVATION DISTRICTS OR BOUNDARY CHANGE OF CONSERVATION DISTRICTS.

A Conservation District may be designated or its boundary changed through amendment of Section 1103.1 of this Article 11. <u>The Historic Preservation Commission may recommend approval, disapproval, or modification of Conservation District designations or boundary changes to the Board of Supervisors.</u> Such designation or boundary change shall be governed by the following provisions in lieu of the provisions of Section 302.

(a) Initiation of Designation or Boundary Change. The designation of an area of the C-3 District as a Conservation District or the change of District boundaries may be initiated by motion of the Board of Supervisors, by resolution of the *PlanningHistoric Preservation* Commission*er the Landmarks Preservation Advisory Board*, upon the verified application of the Comment [MB8]: The word "may" is more appropriate here (rather than "shall"). "May" indicates that the HPC has this authority (which is created by the Charter, not this Code) and may, of its own accord, choose whether to exercise it or not. Using "shall" here makes it sound like the BOS is ordering the HPC to do this—under the Charter the BOS does not have the authority to order the HPC to do this—rather it's the HPC's decision whether to exercise this authority or not

Planning Department
BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

Page 12 8/11/2011

c:\docume~1\smiddleb\locals~1\temp\notese1ef34\article 11 2011 cleanup (08-10-11 mgb markup to hpc public).doc

owners or other authorized agents of greater than 25 percent of the structures in the area proposed for designation (or, as to an alteration, 25 percent of the structures of the proposed new district unless it would be an area smaller than the existing district, in which case it shall be 25 percent of the structures of the existing district), upon the verified application of any organization or group **which**that** has historic preservation stated as one of its goals in its bylaws or articles of incorporation, or upon the verified application of at least 150 registered voters of the City. Except in case of an initiation by governmental bodies, any such application shall *contain historic*, architectural*, and/or cultural documentation to support the designation or boundary change as well as any additional information that may be required by the application procedures and policies established by the Historic Preservation Commission be filed with the Department of City Planning upon forms prescribed by the Department of City Planning, and shall be accompanied by all data required by said Department.

(b) Notice; Referral to the Landmarks Preservation Advisory Board Historic Preservation

Commission; Review by the Planning Department of City Planning. Notice, referral to the

Landmarks Board and review by the Department of City Planning shall be as provided in Section

1106(b) of this Article. If a proposed Conservation District designation or boundary change is initiated by the Board of Supervisors, the Clerk of the Board shall refer the matter to the Historic Preservation

Commission for its review and recommendation. Upon determination by the Planning Department that a verified application is complete and contains all necessary information or upon receipt of a motion or resolution by the Board of Supervisors or the Historic Preservation Commission initiating designation or a change in designation, the Department shall (1) promptly schedule a hearing before the Historic Preservation Commission on the proposed district or boundary change; and (2) send notice of the Historic Preservation Commission hearing by mail no less than 20 days prior to the date of the hearing

to the initiators of the designation or boundary change, to the owners of all lots within the proposed

Comment [MB9]: Added this language per Charter requirement that BOS refer such matters to HPC.

Comment [MB10]: Added a specific reference to exactly which "governmental agencies" can initiate rather than using the generic language.

Planning Department
BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

Page 13

new district or the district being modified, and to any interested parties who make a request in writing to the Department.

- (c) Submittal to the Planning Commission. Submittal to and action by the Planning

 Commission shall be as set forth in Section 1106(e) of this Article. Action by the Historic Preservation

 Commission. The proposed designation or boundary change shall be placed on the agenda of the

 Historic Preservation Commission for public hearing. If the Historic Preservation Commission

 approves or modifies the proposed designation or boundary change in whole or in part, the

 Department shall transmit the Historic Preservation Commission's recommendation together with a

 copy of the Historic Preservation Commission's resolution and with any comments of the Planning

 Commission, as set forth in subsection (d) below, to the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors.
- (d) Review by the Planning Commission. Following action by the Historic Preservation

 Commission, the Department shall promptly refer the Historic Preservation Commission 's

 recommendation on the proposed Conservation District designation or boundary change to the

 Planning Commission, which shall have 45 days to review and comment on the proposed designation

 or boundary change. The Planning Commission's comments, if any, shall be forwarded to the Board of

 Supervisors together with the Historic Preservation Commission's recommendation. Notice of the

 Planning Commission hearing shall be given as provided in Section 1107(b) of this Article.
- (e) Designation by Board of Supervisors. The Board of Supervisors, or a committee thereof, shall hold a public hearing on any proposal so transmitted to it. The Board of Supervisors may approve, modify and approve, or disapprove the designation or boundary change by a majority vote of all its members.
- (e)(f) Appeal to Board of Supervisors. If the *Planning Historic Preservation* Commission disapproves the proposed designation or boundary change, such action shall be final except upon the filing of a notice of appeal to the Board of Supervisors within 30 days by the applicant or any of the persons, organizations, or groups listed in Section 1107(a); provided,

Planning Department
BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Comment [MB11]: I recommend providing notice of any changes to the entire district and not merely the "portion of the district" being modified (which was the limitation in the HPC's prior version).

Comment [MB12]: I deleted "The Historic Preservation Commission shall determine the appropriate designation or boundary change of the Conservation District." because it's unnecessary language and could also be incorrect if construed to narrowly. The subject of any designation hearing for a district will naturally include recommendations regarding the appropriate boundaries and the HPC had the authority under the Charter to recommend to the BOS approval, approval with modifications, or disapproval of any boundary changes (This is in fact stated in the very next sentence here). However, under both state law requirements and Charter section 4.135, it is up to the BOS to make the ultimate determination regarding the boundaries of any locally designated historic district.

Comment [MB13]: This was changed from the HPC version to indicate that the obligation is on the Department to transmit the recommendation because the Department serves both Commissions and the obligation is to both Commissions here and in the Charter.

Page 14

however, that if the proposal was initiated by the Board of Supervisors, the Clerk of the said bB oard shall be notified immediately of the disapproval without the necessity for an appeal.

(f)(g) Hearing and Decision by the Board of Supervisors. The Board of Supervisors, or a committee thereof, shall hold a public hearing on any such proposal appealed to it or initiated by it. The Board of Supervisors may uphold the Planning Historic Preservation Commission, overrule the Planning Historic Preservation Commission and approve, or disapprove the designation or boundary change by a majority vote of all its members.

(g)(h) Notice of <u>Board of Supervisors</u> Proceedings. Notice of the hearing scheduled <u>pursuant to this Section</u> <u>before the Planning Commission</u> shall be given by mail <u>no less than 20 days</u> <u>prior to the date of the hearing</u> to: the <u>initiators of applicants for</u> the designation or alteration, <u>if</u> <u>any</u>; the owners of all lots within <u>300 feet of</u> the proposed new district or <u>of that portion of</u> the district being altered; <u>appellants, if any</u>; <u>as well as and</u> to interested individuals or organizations who request such notice <u>in writing to the Department</u>.

(h)(i) Standards Applicable to Designation or Boundary Change. The standards governing the designation and change of District boundaries are those set forth in Section 1103. Areas may be removed from Conservation Districts if the character of the area has changed such that the area no longer qualifies under the standards set forth in Section 1103.

SEC. 1108. NOTICE OF DESIGNATION.

When a building has been designated Significant or Contributory or its designation is changed pursuant to Section 1106, or when a new Conservation District is established or the boundary of a Conservation District changed pursuant to Section 1107, the *Zoning***Administrator**Planning Department** shall notify each affected property owner by mail and shall cause a copy of the ordinance, or notice thereof, to be recorded in the office of with the County Recorder. The Department shall file in its permanent records any new designation or change of

Planning Department
BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Page 15

8/11/2011

Comment [MB14]: added "and disapprove" per Charter and state law.

Comment [MB15]: The plural for hearing was removed here. As is the custom and practice with all hearings before the HPC, CPC, and BOS, only the first hearing gets the more extensive notice (e.g. mailed notice, posting). Subsequent hearings are noticed only through the meeting agendas because it is understood that t if there are continuances of other hearings, everyone noticed will know about it because they have been made aware of the first hearing and will be able to rely on the regular agendas for later notice. Also reference to the report being available was removed because that could establish a requirement that the staff reports, etc would have to be complete 20 days before the hearing, when generally they go out with the packet a week or so ahead of time.

Comment [MB16]: This was changed from initiator to applicants because that seemed to be an error. It would be odd to provide notice to the initiators since they are either the BOS or the HPC, neither of which would need notice. However, any private applicants would need this notice.

Comment [MB17]: This phrase was deleted because (as noted above) I recommend notice be provided to the whole district of any boundary change of an existing district, rather than just those portions that are being added/subtracted.

Comment [MB18]: edit for clarity/language.

designation of a Significant or Contributory Building or a new Conservation District or change of a

Conservation District boundary and shall notify the Central Permit Bureau pursuant to Section 1117 of

this Article.

SEC. 1109. PRESERVATION LOTS: ELIGIBILITY FOR TRANSFER OF DEVELOPMENT RIGHTS.

For the purpose of transfer of development rights (TDR) as provided in Section 128 of this

Code, IL ots on which are located Significant or Contributory Buildings, or Category V Buildings in those certain Conservation Districts and portions thereof as indicated in Section 8 of the Appendix relating to that District are eligible preservation lots as provided in Section 128 of this

Code for the purposes of Transferable Development Rights ("TDR"), as provided in this Section:

Comment [MB19]: Edits were made to this highlighted section for clarity/grammar. The substance and meaning have not been changed.

- (a) Significant Buildings. Lots on which are located buildings designated as Significant Buildings Category I or Category II are eligible to transfer the difference between the allowable gross floor area permitted on the lot by Section 124 of this Code and the gross floor area of the development on the lot, if all the requirements for transfer set forth in Section 128 are met. Lots on which are located Significant Buildings which have been altered in conformance with the provisions of this Article retain eligibility for the transfer of TDR.
- (b) Contributory Buildings. Lots on which are located buildings designated as Contributory Buildings Category III or Category IV are eligible to transfer the difference between the allowable gross floor area permitted on the lot by Section 124 of the this Code and the gross floor area of the development on the lot, if all the requirements for transfer set forth in Section 128 are met. Alteration or demolition of such a building in violation of Section 1110 or Section 1112, or alterations or demolitions made without a permit issued pursuant to Sections 1111 through 1111.68, eliminates eligibility for the transfer of TDR; provided,

Planning Department
BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

Page 16 8/11/2011

c:\docume~1\smiddleb\locals~1\temp\notese1ef34\article 11 2011 cleanup (08-10-11 mgb markup to hpc public).doc

however, that such eligibility may nonetheless be retained or acquired again if, pursuant to Section 1111(b)1116(b): the property owner demonstrates as to any alteration that it was not major, or if the property owner restores the demolished or altered building a Minor Alteration as defined herein and has applied for a Permit for Minor Alteration pursuant to Section 1111.1; or that the property owner has obtained a Permit to Alter to restore the original distinguishing qualities and character-defining features that were altered. Once any TDR have been transferred from a Contributory Building, the building is subject to the same restrictions on demolition and alteration as a Significant Building. These restrictions may not be removed by the transfer of TDR back to the building.

(c) Category V Buildings in Conservation Districts. Where explicitly permitted in Section 8 of the Appendix establishing a Conservation District, lots located in such a District on which are located Category V Buildings (designated as neither Significant nor Contributor are eligible to transfer the difference between the allowable gross floor area permitted on the lot under Section 124 of the Code and the gross floor area of the development on the lot, if a the requirements for transfer set forth in Section 128 are met; provided, however, that a lot is

Comment [MB20]: I think this conjunction is supposed to be an "or" (the word 'and' appeared the Historic Preservation Commission version). It seems that it would either be one of these two things in the alternative.

(c) Category V Buildings in Conservation Districts. Where explicitly permitted in Section 8 of the Appendix establishing a Conservation District, lots located in such a District on which are located Category V Buildings (designated as neither Significant nor Contributory) are eligible to transfer the difference between the allowable gross floor area permitted on the lot under Section 124 of the Code and the gross floor area of the development on the lot, if all the requirements for transfer set forth in Section 128 are met; provided, however, that a lot is eligible as a Preservation Lot pursuant to this Section only if: (1) the exterior of the building is substantially altered so as to make it compatible with the scale and character of the Significant and Contributory Buildings in the district, including those features described in Sections 6 and 7 of the Appendix to Article 11 describing the relevant district, and has thus been determined by the Historic Preservation Commission to be a Compatible Rehabilitation, and the building meets or has been reinforced to meet the standards for seismic loads and forces of the 1975 Building Code; or (2) the building on the lot is new, having replaced a Category V Building, and has received approval by the Historic Preservation Commission as a Compatible Replacement Building, pursuant to Section 1113. The procedures governing these determinations

Comment [MB21]: I recommend having some standards for making this determination regarding whether a building is a "Compatible "Replacement" given that it would allow the property owner to sell TDR (per Section 1113).

Comment [MB22]: I deleted language here regarding this determination being a "final administrative decision". The reasons for adding such language are unclear and it does not appear to have any legal effect.

Planning Department BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

are set forth in Section 309.

Page 17

22

23

2425

SEC. 1110. <u>CONSTRUCTION</u>, ALTERATION <u>OR DEMOLITION</u> OF SIGNIFICANT OR CONTRIBUTORY BUILDINGS OR BUILDINGS IN CONSERVATION DISTRICTS.

With respect to a designated Significant or Contributory Building or any Category V Building in a Conservation District, no person shall carry out or cause to be carried out any alteration to the exterior of a building for which a permit is required pursuant to the Building Code unless the permit is approved pursuant to the provisions of Sections 1111 through 1111.6 of this Article; provided, however, that this approval is not required with respect to the owner of a Contributory Building of Category III who has not transferred any TDR and who elects to proceed with a major alteration without reference to Sections 1111 through 1111.6. Election to proceed without a permit pursuant to this Section may be made at the time that the Zoning Administrator determines that the proposed alteration is major pursuant to Section 1111.1. If no election is made at the time of the Zoning Administrator's determination that an alteration is major, the applicant may make such election at any time thereafter. Review under Sections 1111 through 1111.6 shall cease after such election has been made and the permit shall be processed without regard to the requirements of that Section. Election shall be made in writing on a form provided by the Zoning Administrator. Where an owner elects not to proceed pursuant to Sections 1111 through 1111.6, the proposed alteration for which the application is filed shall be deemed not to meet the requirements of Section 1111.6, and if the alteration permit is issued and work commenced thereunder, the Zoning Administrator shall not issue a Statement of Eligibility for the lot on which the building is located.

(a) No person shall carry out or cause to be carried out any construction, alteration,

removal or demolition of a structure or any work involving a sign, awning, marquee, canopy, mural, or

other appendage, or any new or replacement construction for which a permit is required pursuant to

the Building Code, on any designated Significant or Contributory Building or any building in a

Planning Department
BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

Page 18 8/11/2011 Comment [MB23]: The words

permits required for such work.

whatever other permits may be required in other sections of the

Municipal Code, including the

Building Code and maybe other

Planning Permits, etc.

"Permits Required for" was deleted

Instead, this section is in addition to

because it could be read as indicating that this section describes all of the

Conservation District unless a permit for such work has been approved pursuant to the provisions of this Article 11.

(b) The Historic Preservation Commission shall approve, disapprove, or modify all applications for permits to alter or demolish any Significant or Contributory Buildings or buildings within Conservation Districts, and permits for any new and replacement construction within Conservation Districts, subject to appeal as provided in Section 1115 of this Article 11. The Historic Preservation Commission shall review and act on such permits prior to any other Planning approval action(s). Buildings or areas within the C-3 District designated pursuant to the provisions of both Article 10 and Article 11 shall be regulated pursuant to the procedures of both Articles. In case of conflict, the more restrictive provisions shall apply.

Comment [MB24]: The phrase "have the authority to" was deleted here and throughout because that is the language that grants the authority, which is in the Charter. This Code can not grant the authority (which is already done by the Charter); rather this Code should say that they shall do it.

(c) If the proposed work would constitute a demolition as defined in Section 1005(f) of this

Code, such work shall, in addition to any other requirements, be subject to the provisions of this Article

11 governing demolitions and shall require a "Permit to Demolish." All other proposed construction

or alteration of a structure, including any new or replacement construction, or any work involving a

sign, awning, marquee, canopy, mural, or other appendage work shall require a "Permit to Alter."

Comment [MB25]: This phrase was added to make clear that an applicant would need to comply with Article 11 AND any other provisions of the Code, not just Article 11.

(d) No person shall demolish or cause to be demolished a Significant or Contributory

Building or any building in a Conservation District without obtaining a Permit to Demolish and, if

located within a Conservation District, a permit for a Compatible Replacement Building.

Comment [MB26]: Changed "<u>as to any building"</u> to "if". Also deleted " <u>pursuant to the provisions of this Article</u>" for clarity and because the wording was unnecessary.

(e) If at any time following the approval of a Permit to Alter and prior to completion of the proposed work, due to a change in the scope of work or for any other reason, the work would constitute a demolition as defined herein, the owner shall file a new application for a Permit to Demolish.

Comment [MB27]: "Failure to do so is a violation of this Article 11" was deleted here. This language is not necessary because the sentence uses the word "shall", which. legally, is directive and means that it is a mandatory requirement. Additionally, this requirement should not be called out any more than any other mandatory requirement on an applicant – to do so could make it read as if failure to comply with the other mandatory requirements of the Code are not violations of Article 11 if it is not said specifically.

(f) A building permit application or amendment for any work that exceeds the scope of work of an approved Permit to Alter or Permit to Demolish shall be referred to the Planning

Department by the Central Permit Bureau for the Historic Preservation Commission's review and approval pursuant to Article 11 before the permit may be approved or issued.

Planning Department

BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

Page 19 8/11/2011

1	
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	

24

25

<u>(g)</u>	Notwithstand	ding the	foregoing,	in the	<u>following</u>	cases th	ıe Dep	partment	may	process	the
permit applic	ation without	further r	eference to	o this A	rticle 11:						

- (1) When the application is for a permit to construct any new or replacement structures on a site where a Significant or Contributory Building has been lawfully demolished pursuant to this Code and the site is not within a designated Conservation District; and
- (2) When the application is for a permit to make interior alterations only and does not constitute a demolition as defined herein, unless the Department has determined that the proposed interior alterations may result in any visual or material impact to the exterior of the building or when the designating ordinance or applicable Appendix in this Article requires review of such interior alterations.

Comment [MB28]: The language" on a privately-owned structure or on a publically-owned structure" was deleted because it this includes both private and public, then that includes everything and there's no need to say so

SEC. 1111. APPLICATIONS FOR PERMITS TO ALTER. <u>PERMITS TO DEMOLISH</u>. <u>AND PERMITS FOR NEW CONSTRUCTION IN CONSERVATION DISTRICTS</u>.

The Zoning Administrator may define categories of alterations which are deemed to be minor alterations and individual permits falling within those categories shall be reviewed and acted upon without referral to the Zoning Administrator for review pursuant to Sections 1111 through 1111.6. All other applications for permits to undertake any alteration of a building designated Significant or Contributory or a building in any Conservation District shall be referred to the Zoning Administrator by the Central Permit Bureau within five days of receipt. An applicant for a major alteration permit for a Category V Building in any of the Conservation Districts which provides for such eligibility may request on the application a determination that if the proposed alteration is completed as approved, the building will be deemed a Compatible Rehabilitation under Section 1109(c) so that the lot on which the building is located becomes eligible as a Preservation Lot for the transfer of TDR.

Upon receipt of any application for a building permit, demolition permit, site permit, alteration permit, or any other permit relating to a Significant or Contributory Building or a building within a

Planning Department BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

Page 20

1	
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	

23

24

25

Conservation District, the Central Permit Bureau shall forward such application to the Planning

Department for determination as to whether the application is subject to the provisions of this Article
and, if so, for approval under this Article. An application for a Permit to Alter or Permit to Demolish
or for new and replacement construction in any Conservation District shall be filed by the owner or
authorized agent for the owner of the property for which the permit is sought with the Planning
Department. Each application shall be verified by at least one property owner or his or her authorized
agent attesting to the truth and correctness of all facts, statements and information presented.

Comment [MB29]: The language was condensed here into one paragraph for clarity, brevity, and readability. The substance of these requirements was not changed.

Comment [MB30]: Capitalization of

this phrase was removed. Capitalization is only used for defined

terms, which this is not.

- (a) Content of Applications. The content of applications shall be in accordance with the policies, rules and regulations of the Department and the Historic Preservation Commission. All applications shall be upon forms prescribed therefore and shall contain or be accompanied by all information required to assure the presentation of all pertinent facts for proper consideration of the case and for the permanent record. Applications shall include the following information:
- (1) Plans, sections and elevations showing all existing and proposed work, including but not limited to color, texture of materials, architectural design, profile, and detail;
- (2) All demolition calculations and associated detail drawings showing all interior and exterior alterations associated with the proposed scope of work, including but not limited to any changes to the exterior and internal structural framework, floor plates, removal of interior walls, or changes to the foundation;

of

- (3) Specifications describing the means and methods associated with the proposed scope of work, including any technical specifications for all exterior restoration or cleaning work;
 - (4) Photographs showing the property and the context of its surroundings;
- (5) Any other information that the Department determines may be necessary for the particular scope of work proposed; and
- (6) Information needed for the preparation and mailing of notices as specified in Section 1111.4.

Planning Department
BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

Page 21

8/11/2011

c:\docume~1\smiddleb\locals~1\temp\notese1ef34\article 11 2011 cleanup (08-10-11 mgb markup to hpc public).doc

(b)	<i>In addition to the contents specified for applications in (1) above, any application</i>
Permit to Der	nolish shall also contain the following information:
(1)	An updated historic resource evaluation and conditions assessment report that in
any pertinent	information on the condition of the building and historical, architectural, and cult
<u>documentatio</u>	n about the building;
<u>(2)</u>	The amount paid for the property;
<u>(3)</u>	The date of purchase, the party from whom purchased, and a description of the b
or family rela	tionship, if any, between the owner and the person from whom the property was
purchased;	
<u>(4)</u>	The cost of any improvements since purchase by the applicant and date the
<u>improvements</u>	were made;
<u>(5)</u>	The assessed value of the land, and improvements thereon, according to the most
assessments;	
<u>(6)</u>	Real estate taxes for the previous five years;
<u>(7)</u>	Annual debt service, if any, for the previous five years:
<u>(8)</u>	All appraisals obtained within the previous five years by the owner or applicant to
connection w	th his or her purchase, financing or ownership of the property;
<u>(9)</u>	Any listing of the property for sale or rent, price asked and offers received, if any
(10)	Any consideration by the owner for profitable and adaptive uses for the property
including ren	ovation studies, plans, and bids, if any;
<u>(11)</u>	If it is a Preservation Lot eligible to transfer TDR, the amount and value of such
<u>untransferred</u>	TDR;
<u>(12)</u>	Annual gross income from the property for the previous five years;
(13)	Itemized operating and maintenance expenses for the previous five years;
(14)	Annual cash flow for the previous four years; and
Planning Depart	ment PERVISORS

Comment [MB31]: Where did this language come from and what is the rationale for requesting this information?

1	(15) B	uilding plans, elevations, sections, detail drawings, and any other information required
2	for the Replacen	nent Building.
3	(c) F	or Permits to Demolish buildings from which TDR have been transferred the
3	application shall	contain the following information in addition to that set forth in (a) and (b) above:
•	(1) T	he Statement of Eligibility as set forth in Section 128;
5	<u>(2)</u> A	n itemized list of the amount of TDR that has been transferred from the property;
6	(3) A	list of the amount of untransferred TDR rights remaining on the property:
7	(4) T	he amount received for rights transferred;
8	(5) T	he transferee(s); and
9	(6) A	copy of each document effecting a transfer of such rights.
10	(d) A	n application for a Permit to Demolish any building located in a Conservation District
11	or an application	n for new construction on vacant lots shall include plans, specifications and elevations
12	showing the prop	posed exterior appearance, including but not limited to color, texture of materials, and
13	architectural des	sign and detail, for the replacement construction.
4	<u>(e)</u> C	ategory V Buildings (Unrated). The owner or owner's representative of a Category V
5	building located	in a Conservation District may apply for one of the following:
6 7	(1) C	ompatible Rehabilitation. An applicant for a Permit to Alter a Category V Building
	(Unrated) may r	equest on the application a determination by the Historic Preservation Commission
3	that if the propos	sed alteration is completed as approved, the building will be deemed a Compatible
9	Rehabilitation un	nder Section 1109(c) so that the lot on which the building is located becomes eligible
0	as a Preservatio	n Lot for the transfer of TDR.
:1	<u>(2)</u> C	ompatible Replacement Building. An applicant for new construction in a Conservation
2	District on a lot	where a Category V Building (Unrated) has been lawfully demolished may request on
23	the application a	determination by the Historic Preservation Commission that if the proposed new
4	construction is c	ompleted as approved, the new building will be deemed a Compatible Replacement
25	Planning Departmer	nt

BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

Page 23

Comment [MB32]: added this phrase for clarity.

24

25

Building under Section 1109(c) so that the lot on which the building is located becomes eligible as a Preservation Lot for the transfer of TDR.

Comment [MB33]: Confirming that the intent here is to allow newly constructed buildings to sell TDR. Under what circumstances would this determination be made?

SEC. 1111.1. DETERMINATION OF MINOR AND MAJOR ALTERATIONS.

Within 10 days after referral by the Central Permit Bureau, the Zoning Administrator shall determine in writing if the proposed alteration is a Major Alteration or a Minor Alteration.

- (a) An alteration is considered Major if any of the following apply:
- (1) The alteration will substantially change, obscure or destroy exterior character defining spaces, materials, features or finishes; or
- (2) The alteration would affect all or any substantial part of a building's structural elements, exterior walls or exterior ornamentation; or
- (3) The alteration occurs by virtue of construction which results in a substantial addition of height above the height of the building.
 - (b) An alteration is considered minor if:
 - (1) The criteria set forth in Subsection (a) do not apply; or
- (2) It is an alteration of the ground floor display areas within the architectural frame (piers and lintels) of the building to meet the needs of first floor commercial uses; or
- (a) The Historic Preservation Commission may determine if a proposed alteration is a

 Major Alteration or a Minor Alterations and may delegate approval of Minor Alteration to Department

 staff, whose decisions may be appealed to the Historic Preservation Commission pursuant to

 subsection 1111.1(c). All work not determined to be a Minor Alteration shall be a Major Alteration

 and subject to Historic Preservation Commission approval. If so delegated to Department staff, the

 categories of Minor Alteration shall include but are not limited to the following:
- (1) "Ordinary maintenance and repairs," which for the purpose of this Article shall mean any work, the sole purpose and effect of which is to correct deterioration, decay, or damage;

Planning Department
BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

Comment [MB34]: "May" used instead of "shall have the authority to." The Charter, not this Code, grants the HPC this authority. "May" is more appropriate here because the HPC may choose to exercise this authority at its own discretion—i.e., the HPC doesn't have to define major versus minor if it doesn't want to, but only if it wants to delegate the Minor to staff. The HPC could choose to retain approval authority over all Permits to Alter, and that decision is up to the HPC. Thus, "may" is the appropriate

Comment [MB35]: changed from "review"—the HPC must approve, not just review. Additional edits were made to this subsection to shorten it but not change substance.

word for this Code section.

Comment [MB36]: deleted the "and" here and instead used "or". It appears these 3 items are in the alternative, not collective.

Page 24

8/11/2011
c:\docume~1\smiddleb\locals~1\temp\notese1ef34\article 11 2011 cleanup (08-10-11 mgb markup to hpc public).doc

1	
2	UMB S
3	<u>that</u> cor
-	adopte
4	
5	<u>Commi</u>
6	
7	<u>modific</u>
8	
9	<u>before t</u>
10	<u>approvi</u>
11	<u>have so</u>
12	<u>Historia</u>
13	<u>Preserv</u>
14	<u>motion</u>
15	<u>Departi</u>
16	Preserv
17	
18	organiz
19	
20	Decisio
21	of the w
22	
23	determi

24 25

(3)(2) The <u>Alterations whose</u> sole purpose and effect of the alteration is to comply with the
UMB Seismic Retrofit Ordinances and the Zoning Administrator determines that the proposed work
that complies with the UMB Retrofit Architectural Design Guidelines, which guidelines shall be
adopted by the Planning Historic Preservation Commission : or

- (3) Any other work so delegated to the Department by the Historic Preservation Commission.
- (b) Minor Alterations delegated to Department staff shall be approved, approved with modifications, or disapproved as a Permit for Minor Alteration by the Department without a hearing before the Historic Preservation Commission. The Department shall mail its written decision approving a Permit for Minor Alteration to the applicant and any individuals or organizations who have so requested in writing to the Department. The Department's decision may be appealed to the Historic Preservation Commission within 15 days of the date of the written decision. The Historic Preservation Commission may also review the decisions of the Department by its own motion if such motion is made within 30 days of the date of the written decision.
- (c) All applications for a Permit to Alter that are not Minor Alterations delegated to

 Department staff shall be approved, approved with modifications, or disapproved by the Historic

 Preservation Commission pursuant to the procedures in Section 1111.4 below.
- (c) The Zoning Administrator shall mail to the applicant and any individuals or organizations who so request the written determination as to the category of the proposed alteration.

 Decisions of the Zoning Administrator may be appealed to the Board of Permit Appeals within 10 days of the written determination in the manner provided in Section 308.2.
- (d) Permits determined to be for minor alterations shall be returned, with that

 determination noted, to the Central Permit Bureau for further processing; provided, however, that the

 Zoning Administrator may take any action with respect to the application otherwise authorized.

Comment [MB37]: Highlighted language added, imposing a 30 day timeline for the HPC to make a motion to review a Minor Permit to Alter. For due process purposes, it's important to have a timeline for the HPC to review. If 30 days is too short, a longer time period could be used, but there should be some time limit. Additionally, other minor edits were made to this section to shorten it, but they are not substantive.

Comment [MB38]: This language in subsection (c) was rephrased to provide greater clarity, but these edits do not change the substance of the section.

Planning Department
BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

Page 25

25

I		
2		
3		
1		
5		
3		
7		
3		
)		
)		
I		
2		
3		
1		
5		
3		
7		

	SEC. 1111.2	. REFERRAL	. OF APPLIC	ATIONS FOR	? MAJOR ALTI	ERATIONS TO)
LANDM	ARKS PRESE	ERVATION AI	OVISORY BO	ARD: REVIE	W BY THE DE	PARTMENT (OF CITY
PLANN.	ING.						

(a) Upon determination that the proposed alteration is a major alteration, the Director of Planning shall refer applications for permits to alter Significant and Contributory Buildings to the Landmarks Preservation Advisory Board for its report and recommendation, which shall be rendered within 30 days. Said time limit for the Board to render its report may be extended by the Department of City Planning for an additional 30 days to render its report in the case of complex alterations, multiple hearings, or upon request of the applicant. If the Board fails to submit a report and recommendation within the time allowed, the matter may be considered without reference to such report and recommendation.

- (b) Simultaneously with the proceedings before the Landmarks Board, the application shall be reviewed by the Department of City Planning.
- (c) Applications for permits to alter any Category V building in a Conservation District which alteration is determined to be major shall be governed by the standards of Section 1111.6(c) and the procedures set forth in Section 309.—SIGN PERMITS.
- (a) New general advertising signs are prohibited in any Historic District or Conservation

 District or on any historic property regulated by this Article 11.
- (b) If a permit for a sign is required pursuant to Article 6 of this Code, the requirements of this Section shall apply to such permit in addition to those of Article 6.
- (c) Apart from and in addition to the requirements of Article 6, an application for a business sign, general advertising sign, identifying sign, or nameplate to be located on a Significant or Contributory Building or any building in a Conservation District shall be subject to review by the Historic Preservation Commission pursuant to the provisions of this Article. The Historic Preservation Commission shall disapprove the application or approve it with modifications if the proposed location,

Planning Department
BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

Comment [MB39]: deleted "installation of". It's the signs that are prohibited, not just their installation.

Comment [MB40]: I recommend deleting the phrase "Historic Districts" here because historic districts are addressed in Article 10, not here. If the HPC wants to address historic districts, then similar language should be included in Article 10.

Comment [MB41]: These subsections (b) and (c) were edited for clarity. Their substance has not been altered.

Page 26

8/11/2011

c:\docume~1\smiddleb\locals~1\temp\notese1ef34\article 11 2011 cleanup (08-10-11 mgb markup to hpc public).doc

materials, typeset, size of lettering, means of illumination, method of replacement, or the attachment would adversely affect the special architectural, historical or aesthetic significance of the subject building or the Conservation District. No application shall be denied on the basis of the content of the sign.

SEC. 1111.3. RECOMMENDATION BY THE DIRECTOR OF PLANNING.

After considering any report and recommendation submitted by the Landmarks Preservation

Advisory Board, the Director of Planning shall make a determination on the application and shall submit a written recommendation containing findings to the Planning Commission. The recommendation may be to approve, to approve with conditions, or disapprove the application for alteration, and, where applicable, the application for a determination that the building is a Compatible Rehabilitation. The Commission, the applicant and any other person who so requests shall be supplied with a copy of reports and recommendations of the Landmarks Preservation Advisory Board and the findings and recommendations of the Director of Planning. REVIEW BY THE PLANNING DEPARTMENT.

The Department shall review all applications and shall determine within 30 days after the application is filed whether the application is complete. Applications for Minor Alterations that have been delegated to Department staff may be approved by the Department pursuant to Section 1111.1 without a hearing before the Historic Preservation Commission. Upon acceptance as complete of any other application under this Article or upon appeal to or a request by the Historic Preservation

Commission to exercise its review powers over a Minor Permit to Alter as set forth in 1111.1, the Historic Preservation Commission shall hold a hearing and approve, approve with modifications, or disapprove the application in accordance with the procedures set forth in the section 1111.

Comment [MB42]: This sentence was changed from the passive voice to active.

Comment [MB43]: Rather than list all the types of applications (as in the Historic Preservation Commission version) I changed it to "any other application under this Article"

Comment [MB44]: This highlighted language was edited to track the Charter language more closely and for clarity.

Planning Department
BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

Page 27

8/11/2011

c:\docume~1\smiddleb\locals~1\temp\notese1ef34\article 11 2011 cleanup (08-10-11 mgb markup to hpc public).doc

COMMISSI	ON.
(a)	The recommendation of the Director of Planning shall be placed on the consent
calendar of	the City Planning Commission; provided, however, that upon the request of the applicant
or of any pe	rson prior to the City Planning Commission meeting or by a member of the Commission at
the meeting,	the matter may be removed from the consent calendar and calendared for a public hearing
before the P	lanning Commission at a later meeting, which shall be the next regular meeting of the
Commission	unless the applicant otherwise consents.
(b)	Notice of the time, place and purpose of the hearing before the City Planning
Commission	shall begin given as follows:
(1)	By mail to the applicant;
(2)	When the application is for alteration of a building located in a Conservation District,
by mail not i	less than 10 days prior to the date of the hearing to the owners of all real property within
300 feet of p	property that is the subject of the application. SCHEDULING AND NOTICE OF Historic
Preservation	n Commission HEARINGS
<u>If a p</u>	public hearing before the Historic Preservation Commission is required under this Section
1111, the De	epartment shall set a time and place for the hearing within a reasonable period. Notice of
the time, pla	ce, and purpose of the hearing shall be given by the Department not less than 20 days prior
to the date o	Comment [MB45]: This set was shortened for clarity. The substance has not been alter
<u>(a)</u>	By mail to the owner of the subject property;
<u>(b)</u>	By mail to the applicant;
<u>(c)</u>	By mail to any interested parties who make a request in writing to the Department;
<u>(d)</u>	For applications for a building located in a Conservation District, by mail to the owners
of all real pr	roperty within 300 feet of the subject property;
Planning Depa	rtment
i iaiiiiiiy Depa	THE PARTY OF THE P

(e) For applications for a building not located in a Conservation District, by mail to the
owners of all real property within 150 feet of the subject property;
(f) By posting notice on the site; and
(g) By any other means as the Department deems appropriate.
Notice for Historic Preservation Commission review of Minor Permits to Alter. A hearing for
the Historic Preservation Commission to exercise its review powers over a Minor Permit to Alter sha
<u>be noticed:</u>
(a) By mail not less than 10 days prior to the date of the hearing to the applicant, all owner
within 150 feet of the subject property, as well as to any other interested parties who so request in
writing to the Department; and
(b) By posted notice on the site not less than 10 days prior to the date of the hearing.
SEC. 1111.5. DECISION BY THE CITY PLANNING HISTORIC PRESERVATION
COMMISSION.
(a) The Planning Historic Preservation Commission may approve, disapprove, or
approve with conditions an application for an alteration permit a Permit to Alter or a Permit to
<u>Demolish</u> and, where applicable <u>for new or replacement construction</u> , for a determination that the
building is a Compatible Rehabilitation under Section 113 or a Compatible Replacement Building
under section 1109(c), and shall make findings in support of its decision. If the Planning
Commission approves the recommendation of the Director of Planning, it may adopt or modify the
findings of the Director of Planning as appropriate. Where the Planning Commission disapproves the
recommendations of the Director of Planning, it shall make findings supporting its decision. If the
Commission disapproves the application for a permit to alter, it shall recommend disapproval to the
Central Permit Bureau which shall deny the application. The Planning Commission's determination
that a building qualifies or fails to qualify as a Compatible Rehabilitation is a final administrative

Comment [MB47]: removed references to this "Article" as unnecessary.

BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

Page 29

1	
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	

2425

decision. Any decision of the Planning Commission rendered pursuant to this Section shall be rendered within 30 days from the date of conclusion of the hearing.

- (b) For applications for a Permit to Demolish, the applicant has the burden of establishing that the criteria governing the approval of applications set forth in Section 1111.7 have been met.
- (c) The decisions of the Historic Preservation Commission shall be final except upon modification by the Planning Commission as provided in Section 1114 or upon the filing of a timely appeal to the Board of Appeals or Board of Supervisors as provided in Section 1115.

Comment [MB48]: This subsection (c) was edited for clarity and to shorten. The substance was not altered.

SEC. 1111.6. STANDARDS AND REQUIREMENTS FOR REVIEW OF APPLICATIONS FOR ALTERATIONS.

The <u>Historic Preservation Commission, the</u> Board of Permit Appeals, <u>the Board of Supervisors</u>, the <u>City</u> Planning Commission <u>and the Department</u>, <u>the Director of Planning</u>, <u>and the Landmarks Board</u> shall be governed by the following standards in the review of applications for <u>major alteration permits Permits to Alter</u>. <u>In the case of conflict with other requirements, including the requirements of Article 10</u>, the more restrictive standards shall apply.

Comment [MB49]: This language was changed add a specific reference to Article 10.

- (a) The proposed alteration shall be consistent with and appropriate for the effectuation of the purposes of this Article 11.
- (b) The proposed work shall comply with the Secretary of the Interior's Standards for the

 Treatment of Historic Properties, including any Guidelines, Interpretations, Bulletins, or other

 materials that the Historic Preservation Commission has adopted.
- (b)(c) For Significant Buildings Categories I and II, and for Contributory Buildings Categories III and IV, proposed alterations of structural elements and exterior features shall be consistent with the architectural character of the building, and shall comply with the following specific requirements:

Comment [MB50]: deleted the language "as interpreted by the Historic Preservation Commission" because the Charter provides for appeal to the BOS and the BOA, as well as the CPC in some cases. These bodies have their own authority under the Charter to interpret the Standards upon appeal and can not be bound by the HPC's interpretation.

Planning Department
BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

Page 30 8/11/2011

- (1) The distinguishing original qualities or character of the building may not be damaged or destroyed. Any distinctive architectural feature which affects the overall appearance of the building shall not be removed or altered unless it is the only feasible means to protect the public safety.
- (2) The integrity of distinctive stylistic features or examples of skilled craftsmanship that characterize a building shall be preserved.
- (3) Distinctive architectural features which are to be retained pursuant to Paragraph (1) but which are deteriorated shall be repaired rather than replaced, whenever possible. In the event replacement is necessary, the new material shall match the material being replaced in composition, design, color, texture and other visual qualities. Repair or replacement of missing architectural features shall be based on accurate duplication of features, substantiated by historic, physical or pictorial evidence, if available, rather than on conjectural designs or the availability of different architectural elements from other buildings or structures. Replacement of nonvisible structural elements need not match or duplicate the material being replaced.
- (4) Contemporary design of alterations is permitted, provided that such alterations do not destroy significant exterior architectural material and that such design is compatible with the size, scale, color, material and character of the building and its surroundings.
- (5) The degree to which distinctive features need be retained may be less when the alteration is to exterior elements not constituting a part of a principal facade or when it is an alteration of the ground-floor frontage in order to adapt the space for ground-floor uses.
- (6) In the case of Significant Buildings Category I, any additions to height of the building (including addition of mechanical equipment) shall be limited to one story above the height of the existing roof, shall be compatible with the scale and character of the building, and shall in no event cover more than 75 percent of the roof area.

Planning Department

BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

Page 31

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24

25

(7)	In the case of Significant Buildings - Category II, a new structure or addition,
including on	e of greater height than the existing building, may be permitted on that portion of
the lot not re	estricted in Appendix B even if such structure or addition will be visible when
viewing the p	principal facades at ground level, provided that the structure or addition does not
affect the ap	pearance of the retained portion as a separate structure when so viewing the
principal fac	ades and is compatible in form and design with the retained portion. Alteration of
the retained	portion of the building is permitted as provided in Paragraphs (1) through (6) of
this Subsect	ion $\frac{\partial}{\partial x}(c)$.

(e)(d) Within Conservation Districts, all major exterior alterations, of Category V Buildings, shall be compatible in scale and design with the District as set forth in Sections 6 and 7 of the Appendix which describes the District.

(e) If TDR have been transferred from any Contributory Building, the building is subject to the same restrictions on alterations as a Significant Building. These restrictions may not be removed by the transfer of TDR back to the building.

SEC. 1111.7. PERMITS FOR SIGNS.

(a) Installation of a new general advertising sign is prohibited in any Historic District or Conservation District or on any historic property regulated by this Article 11.

(b) Wherever a permit for a sign is required pursuant to Article 6 of this Code, an application for such permit shall be governed by the provisions of this Section in addition to those of Article 6.

(c) Apart from and in addition to any grounds for approval or disapproval of the application under Article 6, an application involving a permit for a business sign, or general advertising sign, identifying sign, or nameplate to be located on a Significant or Contributory Building or any building in a Conservation District may be disapproved, or approved subject to conditions if the

Planning Department
BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

Page 32 8/11/2011

1	
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
14 15	
15	
15 16	
15 16 17	
15 16 17 18	

22

23

24 25

proposea	tocanon,	materiais,	means oj u	uminanon (or metnoa o	r repiacemer	н ој анасптен і	-woula
adversely	affect the	special ar	chitectural ,	, historical c	or aesthetic	significance	of the building	or the
Conserva	tion Distr	ict. No app	lication sh	all be denied	d on the bas	is of the cont	ent of the sign.	

(d) The Director of Planning shall make the determination required pursuant to Subsection (b). Any permit applicant may appeal the determination of the Director of Planning to the City Planning Commission by filing a notice of appeal with the Secretary of the Commission within 10 days of the determination. The City Planning Commission shall hear the appeal and make its determination within 30 days of the filing of the notice of appeal. STANDARDS AND REQUIREMENTS FOR REVIEW OF APPLICATIONS FOR DEMOLITION.

The Historic Preservation Commission, Planning Commission, Board of Appeals, and the Board of Supervisors (referred to as "Decisionmakers" for the purposes of this Section) shall apply the following standards in their review of applications for a Permit to Demolish a Significant or Contributory Building or building within a Conservation District. No demolition permit may be approved unless:

Comment [MB51]: This highlighted section was edited for clarity. Also, the term "Decisionmaker" was added and defined to clarify who/what is making the determinations in the following list.

- (a) For Significant Buildings (Category I and II), Contributory Buildings (Category III), and Contributory Buildings in a Conservation District (Category IV) from which TDR have been transferred:
- (i) The Decisionmaker determines and makes written findings based on substantial evidence in the record that the property retains no substantial remaining market value or reasonable use, taking into account the value of any TDR that have been transferred or which may be available to transfer from the property and the cost of rehabilitation to meet the requirements of the Building Code or City, State and federal laws. Costs necessitated by alterations or demolition made in violation of Article 10 or 11, or by failure to maintain the property in violation of Section 1119.7, may not be included in the calculation of rehabilitation costs; or

Planning Department
BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

Page 33

1	(ii) The Director of the Department of Building Inspection or the Chief of the Bureau of Fire
•	Prevention and Public Safety determines after consultation, to the extent feasible with the Historic
2	Preservation Commission and the Planning Department, that an imminent safety hazard exists and that
3	demolition of the structure is the only feasible means to secure the public safety.
4	(b) For Contributory Buildings in a Conservation District (Category IV) from which no
5	TDR has been transferred:
6	(i) The Decisionmaker determine and makes written findings based on substantial evidence
7	in the record that the property retains no substantial remaining market value or reasonable use, taking
8	into account the value of any TDR that may be available to transfer from the property and costs of
9	
10	rehabilitation to meet the requirements of the Building Code or City, State and federal laws. Costs
11	necessitated by alterations or demolition made in violation of Article 10 or 11, or by failure to maintain
12	the property in violation of Section 1119.7, may not be included in the calculation of rehabilitation
	costs;
13	(ii) The Director of the Department of Building Inspection or the Chief of the Bureau of Fire
14	Prevention and Public Safety determines, after consultation to the extent feasible with the Historic
15	Preservation Commission and the Planning Department, that an imminent safety hazard exists and that
16	demolition of the structure is the only feasible means to secure the public safety; or
17	(iii) The Decisionmaker determines based on substantial evidence in the record that:
18	(A) Because of physical conditions specific to the Contributory Building or site, the
19	rehabilitation and reuse of the building will not meet most of the goals and objectives of the proposed
20	replacement project;
21	(B) The proposed replacement project is compatible with the Conservation District in which
22	
23	the property is located; and

Comment [MB52]: Deleted the phrase "It is found" because it was redundant to the "decisionmaker determining", which is in the lead in section.

Planning Department BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

2425

Page 34

(C) Specific economic, social, or other benefits of the proposed replacement project	
significantly outweigh the benefit conferred from the historic preservation of the particular structure or	
<u>feature.</u>	
(c) For Category V Buildings (Not Rated) in Conservation Districts:	
(i) The Decisionmaker determines that:	
(A) Based on new documentation presented, the building has not gained additional	
historical or architectural significance that may make it eligible for classification as a Category I, II,	
or IV building:	
(B) The proposed Replacement Building is compatible with the Conservation District in	
which the property is located; and	
(ii) Notwithstanding the above, if the building has completed a Compatible Rehabilitation	Comment [MB53]: The phra "notwithstanding the above," a for clarity.
pursuant to Section 1109(c), and has transferred development rights from the property, then the	ior clarity.
building shall be treated as a Significant Building (Category I and II).	
(d) The cumulative effects on the integrity of the Conservation District associated with	
demolition of the Contributory Building shall be considered and may be grounds for denial of the	Comment [MB54]: Change "may" to indicate that the decisionmaker may use this a
Permit to Demolish.	grounds to deny even if other requirements are met, but the
(e) If a building located within a Conservation District (Category II, IV, and V) or a	have to if there are other considerations.
Category III Building located outside of a Conservation District is found to have gained significance	
pursuant $(c)(1)$ above, then the Permit to Demolish will be reviewed under Subsection (a) or (b) above,	
and not under Subsection (c).	Comment [MB55]: This high language was edited for clarity
(f) Except for demolitions pursuant to Subsection 1111.7(a), (b), or (c) above, no	content.
demolition permit shall be issued by the Department of Building Inspection or any other agency for any	
building located in a Conservation District until an application for the new or replacement building	
has been approved in accordance with the standards for new construction in a Conservation Districts	
Planning Department	
BOARD OF SUPERVISORS Page 35 8/11/2011	
e/degume 1/smiddleh/leads 1/smp/petees/ef24/article 11 2011 eleanus /02 10 11 mgb markus to be a utilized des	

as provided in this Article, and the building or site permit conforming to such approval has been 1 lawfully issued. 2 3 SEC. 1112. INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK. DEMOLITION OF SIGNIFICANT AND 4 CONTRIBUTORY BUILDINGS AND BUILDINGS IN CONSERVATION DISTRICTS. 5 No person shall demolish or cause to be demolished all or any part of a Significant or 6 Contributory Building or any building in a Conservation District without obtaining a demolition or 7 alteration permit pursuant to the provisions of this Article. Applications for permits to demolish 8 Category V Buildings located outside a Conservation District may be processed without reference to 9 this Article. 10 11 SEC. 1112.1. APPLICATIONS FOR A PERMIT TO DEMOLISH. 12 Applications for a permit to demolish any Significant or Contributory Building or any building 13 in a Conservation District shall comply with the provisions of Section 1006.1 of Article 10 of this Code. 14 In addition to the contents specified for applications in Section 1006.1 of Article 10, any 15 application for a permit to demolish a Significant Building, or a Contributory Building from which 16 TDR have been transferred, on the grounds stated in Section 1112.7(a)(1), shall contain the following 17 information: 18 (a) For all property: 19 The amount paid for the property; 20 The date of purchase, the party from whom purchased, and a description of the business 21 or family relationship, if any, between the owner and the person from whom the property was 22 purchased; 23 The cost of any improvements since purchase by the applicant and date incurred; 24 25

Planning Department
BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

Page 36

	(4) The accessed with a field and immension and the access are to the most many
	(4) The assessed value of the land, and improvements thereon, according to the most recer
assessi	nents;
	(5) Real estate taxes for the previous two years;
	(6) Annual debt service, if any, for the previous two years;
	(7) All appraisals obtained within the previous five years by the owner or applicant in
connec	tion with his or her purchase, financing or ownership of the property;
	(8) Any listing of the property for sale or rent, price asked and offers received, if any;
	(9) Any consideration by the owner for profitable and adaptive uses for the property,
includi	ing renovation studies, plans, and bids, if any; and
	(b) For income producing property:
	(1) Annual gross income from the property for the previous four years;
	(2) Itemized operating and maintenance expenses for the previous four years;
	(3) Annual cash flow for the previous four years.
	Applications for the demolition of any Significant or Contributory Building shall also contain
descrip	otion of any Transferable Development Rights or the right to such rights which have been
transfe	rred from the property, a statement of the quantity of such rights and untransferred rights
	ring, the amount received for rights transferred, the transferee, and a copy of each document
	ag a transfer of such rights.
	SEC. 1112.2. DISPOSITION OF APPLICATIONS TO DEMOLISH CONTRIBUTORY
BUILE	DINGS AND UNRATED BUILDINGS IN CONSERVATION DISTRICTS.
	(a) The Zoning Administrator shall determine, within five days of acceptance of a complete
applice	tion, the designation of the building and, with respect to Contributory Buildings, whether any
	ave been transferred from the lots of such buildings.
	and a second

Planning Department BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

Page 37

1	
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	

23

24 25

If the Zoning Administrator determines that TDR have been transferred from the lot of a Contributory Building, the application for demolition of that building shall be reviewed and acted upon as if it applied to a Significant Building.

(c) The Zoning Administrator shall approve any application for demolition of a Contributory Building in a Conservation District from which no TDR have been transferred, or an Unrated Building located in a Conservation District, if a building or site permit has been lawfully issued for a replacement structure on the site, in compliance with Section 1113. The Zoning Administrator shall approve an application for demolition of a Significant Building Category II if a building or site permit has been lawfully issued for an alteration or replacement structure on the portion of the site which would be affected by the demolition, in compliance with Section 1111.6(b)(7).

The Zoning Administrator shall disapprove any application for a demolition permit where the foregoing requirement has not been met; provided, however, that the Zoning Administrator shall approve any otherwise satisfactory application for such a permit notwithstanding the fact that no permit has been obtained for a replacement structure if the standards of Section 1112.7 for allowing demolition of a Significant Building are met.

The Zoning Administrator shall approve applications to permit demolition of a Contributory Building—Category III from which no TDR have been transferred only if a building or site permit for a replacement building on the same site has been approved, and it has been found, pursuant to review under the procedural provisions of Section 309, that the proposed replacement will not adversely affect the character, scale or design qualities of the general area in which it is located, either by reason of the quality of the proposed design or by virtue of the relation of the replacement structure or structures to their setting. Notwithstanding the preceding sentence, the Zoning Administrator shall approve any such demolition permit application if the standards of Section 1112.7 for allowing demolition of a Significant Building are met.

Planning Department **BOARD OF SUPERVISORS**

Page 38

1	
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	

23

24

25

SEC. 1112.3. APPLICATIONS TO DEMOLISH SIGNIFICANT BUILDINGS OR

CONTRIBUTORY BUILDINGS FROM WHICH TDR HAVE BEEN TRANSFERRED; ACCEPTANCE

AND NOTICE.

Upon acceptance as complete of applications for a permit to demolish any Significant Building or to demolish any Contributory Building from which TDR have been transferred, the application shall be placed on the agenda of the Planning Commission for hearing.

SEC. 1112.4. REFERRAL TO THE LANDMARKS PRESERVATION ADVISORY BOARD PRIOR TO HEARING: REVIEW BY THE DIRECTOR OF PLANNING.

The application for a permit to demolish a building covered by Section 1112.3 shall be referred to the Landmarks Preservation Advisory Board and considered by said Board pursuant to the provisions of Section 1006.4 of this Code. The Director of Planning shall prepare a report and recommendation for the Planning Commission. If the Landmarks Board does not act within 30 days of referral to it, the Planning Commission may proceed without a report and recommendation from the Landmarks Board.

SEC. 1112.5. PLANNING COMMISSION HEARING AND DECISION.

The application shall be heard by the Planning Commission. Notice of the hearing shall be given in the manner set forth in Section 309(c). In such proceedings, the applicant has the burden of establishing that the criteria governing the approval of applications set forth in Section 1112.7 have been met.

SEC. 1112.6. DECISION OF THE PLANNING COMMISSION.

The Planning Commission may approve, disapprove or approve with conditions, the application, and shall make findings relating its decision to the standards set forth in Section 1112.7.

Planning Department
BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

Page 39 8/11/2011

c:\docume~1\smiddleb\locals~1\temp\notese1ef34\article 11 2011 cleanup (08-10-11 mgb markup to hpc public).doc

The decision of the Planning Commission shall be rendered within 30 days from the date of conclusion of the hearing.

3

1

2

4 5

6 7 8

9 10

12 13

11

14 15

16

17 18

19

20 21

22 23

24 25

SEC. 1112.7. STANDARDS AND REVIEW OF APPLICATIONS TO DEMOLISH.

The Board of Permit Appeals, the City Planning Commission, the Director of Planning, and the Landmarks Board shall follow the standards in this Section in their review of applications for a permit to demolish any Significant or Contributory Building from which TDR have been transferred.

No demolition permit may be approved unless: (1) it is determined that under the designation, taking into account the value of Transferable Development Rights and costs of rehabilitation to meet the requirements of the Building Code or other City, State or federal laws, the property retains no substantial remaining market value or reasonable use; or (2) the Superintendent of the Bureau of Building Inspection or the Chief of the Bureau of Fire Prevention and Public Safety determines, after consultation, to the extent feasible, with the Department of City Planning, that an imminent safety hazard exists and that demolition of the structure is the only feasible means to secure the public safety. Costs of rehabilitation necessitated by alterations made in violation of Section 1110, by demolition in violation of Section 1112, or by failure to maintain the property in violation of Section 1117, may not be included in the calculation of rehabilitation costs under Subsection (1).

SEC. 1113. STANDARDS OF REVIEW FOR NEW AND REPLACEMENT CONSTRUCTION IN CONSERVATION DISTRICTS.

The Historic Preservation Commission, Planning Commission, Board of Appeals, and Board of Supervisors shall find in their review of applications for No person shall construct or cause to be constructed any new or replacement structure or for an addition to any existing structure in a Conservation District unless it is found that such construction is compatible in scale and design with the District as set forth in Sections 6 and 7 of the Appendix which describes the District.

Comment [MB56]: Rather than say they have to follow the following standards (there is only one), this was edited to clearly indicate what the standard is. This seems cleaner and is still directive on these decisionmakers.

Planning Department **BOARD OF SUPERVISORS**

Page 40 8/11/2011

	1	
	2	
	3	
	4	
	5	
	6	
	7	
	8	
	9	
1	0	
1	1	
1	2	
1	3	
1	4	
1	5	
1	6	
1	7	
1	8	
1	9	
2	0	
2	1	

22

23

24

25

(b) Applications for a building or site permit to construct or add to a structure in any Conservation District shall be reviewed and approved or approved with modifications by the Historic Preservation Commission before any other Planning approval action that may be required, including review by the Planning Commission pursuant to the procedures set forth in Section 309 and shall only be approved pursuant to Section 309 if they meet the standards set forth herein. For projects that require Section 309 review, the Planning Commission may modify the decision of the Historic Preservation Commission pursuant to Section 1114, provided that the project does not concern a designated Significant (Categories I and II) or a Contributory (Category III) building.

SEC. 1114. MODIFICATION OF A DECISION OF THE HISTORIC PRESERVATION

COMMISSION.

For projects that require multiple planning approvals, the Historic Preservation Commission shall review and act on any Permit to Alter or Permit to Demolish before any other Planning approval action.

(a) For projects that require a Conditional Use Authorization or Permit Review under

Section 309 and do not concern a Significant Building (Categories I & II) or a Contributory Building

Planning Department
BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

Comment [MB57]: The section heading was shortened for clarity.

Comment [MB58]: The word "must" was changed to "shall" here, which is a legal term of art. "Must" has no legal effect, whereas "shall" has specific legal meaning indicating that the requirement is mandatory (which is what I assume is the intent here, per the Charter requirements).

Comment [MB59]: Changed the formatting of this section for clarity.

Page 41

8/11/2011

c:\docume~1\smiddleb\locals~1\temp\notese1ef34\article 11 2011 cleanup (08-10-11 mgb markup to hpc public).doc

(Category III only), the Planning Commission may modify any decision on a Permit to Alter or Permit to Demolish by a two-thirds vote, provided that the Planning Commission shall apply all applicable historic resources provisions of this Code.

(b) For properties located on vacant lots, the Planning Commission may modify any decision on a Permit to Alter by a two-thirds vote, provided that the Planning Commission shall apply all applicable historic resources provisions of this Code.

SEC. 1115. APPEAL.

The Historic Preservation Commission's or the Planning Commission's decision on a Permit to Alter or a Permit to Demolish shall be final unless appealed to the Board of Appeals, which may modify the decision by a four-fifths vote; provided however, that if the project requires Board of Supervisors approval or is appealed to the Board of Supervisors as a Conditional Use Authorization, the decision shall not be appealed to the Board of Appeals but rather to the Board of Supervisors, which may modify the decision by a majority vote. Any appeal must be made within 30 days after the date of the final action by the Historic Preservation Commission or Planning Commission.

SEC. 1116. UNLAWFUL ALTERATION OR DEMOLITION.

(a) In addition to any other penalties provided in Section 11191120 or elsewhere, alteration or demolition of a Significant or Contributory Building or any building within a Conservation District in violation of the provisions of this Article shall eliminate the eligibility of the building's lot as a Preservation Lot, and such Such a lot, if it is the site of an unlawfully demolished Significant Building, or Contributory Building from which TDR have been transferred, may not be developed in excess of the floor area ratio of the demolished building for a period of 20 years from the unlawful demolition, if it is the site of an unlawfully demolished Significant Building (Category I or II), or Contributory Building (Category III) or the site of an unlawfully

Planning Department
BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

action on a Permit to Alter or a Permit to Demolish so appealed from shall not become effective unless and until approved by the Board of Appeals or the Board of Supervisors in accordance with this Section." was deleted here as unnecessary. Under legal requirements and governmental law, decisions on permits are not final as long as an appeal period is running and/or as long as an appeal is pending. Thus this phrase was unnecessary because this is the law anyway.

Page 42

Comment [MB60]: The phrase " An

8/11/2011 c:\docume~1\smiddleb\locals~1\temp\notese1ef34\article 11 2011 cleanup (08-10-11 mgb markup to hpc public).doc

22

23

24

25

demolished Contributory Building (Category IV) from which TDR have been transferred, No department shall approve or issue a permit that would authorize construction of a structure contrary to the provisions of this Section.

Comment [MB61]: This subsection
(a) was edited for clarity and to
shorten. Substantive changes were
not made.

A property owner may be relieved of the penalties provided in Subsection (a) if: (1) as to an unlawful alteration or demolition, the owner can demonstrate to the Zoning Administrator Historic Preservation Commission that the violation would have constituted a Minor Alteration and has applied for a Permit for Minor Alteration to legalize the violation <mark>did not constitute</mark> a major alteration as defined in Section 1111.1; or (2) as to an unlawful alteration, the owner restores the original distinguishing qualities and character of the building destroyed or altered, including exterior character-defining spaces, materials, features, finishes, exterior walls and exterior ornamentation. A property owner who wishes to effect a restoration pursuant to Subsection (b)(2) shall, in connection with the filing of a building or site permit application, seek approval of the proposed restoration by reference to the provisions of this Section. If the Historic Preservation Commission approves the application is approved and it is determined determines that the proposed work will effect adequate restoration, the City Planning Historic Preservation Commission shall so find. Upon such approval, and the completion of such work, the lot shall again become an eligible Preservation Lot and the limitation on floor area ratio set forth in Subsection (a) shall not thereafter apply. The Eity Planning Historic Preservation Commission may not approve the restoration unless it first finds that the restoration can be

Comment [MB62]: Slight language change for clarity and to shorten. Substantive changes were not made...

Comment [MB63]: Edited to remove passive voice.

SEC. 11151117. CONFORMITY WITH OTHER CITY PERMIT PROCESSES.

done with a substantial degree of success. The determination under this Subsection (b)(2) is a

Except where explicitly so stated, nothing in this Article shall be construed as relieving any person from other applicable permit requirements. The following requirements are

Planning Department
BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

final administrative decision.

Page 43 8/11/2011

c:\docume~1\smiddleb\locals~1\temp\notese1ef34\article 11 2011 cleanup (08-10-11 mgb markup to hpc public).doc

intended to insure conformity between existing City permit processes and the provisions of this Article:

- (a) Upon the designation of a building as a Significant or Contributory Building, or upon the designation of the Conservation District, the *Zoning Administrator Planning Department* shall inform the Central Permit Bureau of said designation or, in the case of a Conservation District, of the boundaries of said District and a complete list of all the buildings within said District and their designations. The Central Permit Bureau shall maintain a current record of such Buildings and Conservation Districts.
- (b) Upon receipt of any application for a building permit, demolition permit, site permit, alteration permit, or any other permit relating to a Significant or Contributory Building or a building within a designated Conservation District, the Central Permit Bureau shall forward such application to the <u>Planning</u> Department of City Planning, except as provided in Section 1111. If the Zoning Administrator Planning Department determines that the application is subject to provisions of this Article, processing shall proceed under the provisions of this Article. The Central Permit Bureau shall not issue any permit for construction, alteration, removal or demolition of any structure, or for any work involving a Significant or Contributory Building or a building within a Conservation District unless either the Zoning Administrator Planning Department has determined that such application is exempt from the provisions of this Article, or processing under this Article is complete and necessary approvals under this Article have been obtained. The issuance of any permit by a City department or agency that is inconsistent with any provision of this Article may be revoked by the Superintendent of the Bureau Director of the Department of Building Inspection pursuant to Section 303(e)106A.4.5 of the San Francisco Building Code.
- (c) No abatement proceedings or enforcement proceedings shall be undertaken by any department of the City for a Significant or Contributory building or a building within a

Planning Department
BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Page 44

Conservation District without, to the extent feasible, prior notification of the *Department of City* Planning *Department and the Historic Preservation Commission*. Such proceedings shall comply with the provisions of this Article where feasible.

SEC. 11161118. UNSAFE OR DANGEROUS CONDITIONS.

Where the <u>Superintendent of the BureauDirector of the Department</u> of Building Inspection or the Chief of the Bureau of Fire Prevention and Public Safety determines that a condition on or within a Significant or Contributory Building is unsafe or dangerous and determines further that repair or other work rather than demolition will not threaten the public safety, said official shall, after consulting with the <u>Planning Department of City Planning and the Historic Preservation Commission</u>, to the extent feasible, determine the measures of repair or other work necessary to correct the condition in a manner which, insofar as it does not conflict with State or local requirements, is consistent with the purposes and standards set forth in this Article.

SEC. 11171119. MAINTENANCE REQUIREMENTS AND ENFORCEMENT THEREOF.

- (a) Maintenance. The owner, lessee, or other person in actual charge of a Significant or Contributory Building shall comply with all applicable codes, laws and regulations governing the maintenance of property. It is the intent of this Section to preserve from deliberate or inadvertent neglect the exterior features of buildings designated Significant or Contributory, and the interior portions thereof when such maintenance is necessary to prevent deterioration and decay of the exterior. All such buildings shall be preserved against such decay and deterioration and free from structural defects through prompt corrections of any of the following defects:
 - (1) Facades which may fall and injure members of the public or property;

Planning Department

BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

Page 45 8/11/2011

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25

- (2) Deteriorated or inadequate foundation, defective or deteriorated flooring or floor supports, deteriorated walls or other vertical structural supports;
- (3) Members of ceilings, roofs, ceiling and roof supports or other horizontal members which sag, split or buckle due to defective material or deterioration;
- (4) Deteriorated or ineffective waterproofing of exterior walls, roofs, foundations or floors, including broken windows or doors;
- (5) Defective or insufficient weather protection for exterior wall covering, including lack of paint or weathering due to lack of paint or other protective covering;
- (6) Any fault or defect in the building which renders it not properly watertight or structurally unsafe.
- (b) Enforcement Procedures. The procedures set forth in Building Code Section 203 governing unsafe buildings or property shall be applicable to any violations of this Section.

Comment [MB64]: To Planning Staff: Please confirm that this is still the correct Building Code reference.

SEC. 11191120. ENFORCEMENT AND PENALTIES.

Enforcement and Penalties shall be as provided in Sections 176 and 176.1 of this Code.

SEC. 11201121. RELATIONSHIP TO ARTICLE 10.

Buildings or areas within the C-3 District designated pursuant to the provisions of both Article 10 and Article 11 shall be regulated pursuant to the procedures of both Articles. In case of conflict, the more restrictive provision shall control.

Notwithstanding the rating of a building in a C-3 District pursuant to the provisions of Article 11, buildings may be designated as landmarks according to the provisions of Article 10.

Planning Department BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

Page 46

Where an appeal is taken from a decision regarding alteration of a building which is both a landmark under Article 10 and a Significant or Contributory Building under Article 11, the appeal shall be taken to the Board of Supervisors pursuant to the provisions of Article 10.

SEC. 11211122. NOTICE OF AMENDMENT.

Notice of anythe hearing before the City Planning Historic Preservation Commission, or, if no hearing, notice of and the first hearing before the Board of Supervisors, of a proposed

SEC. 11221123. NOTICE PROCEDURE.

When any provision of this Article requires notice by mail to a property owner, the officer or body providing the notice shall use for this purpose the names and addresses as shown on the latest citywide Assessment Roll in the Assessor's Office.

amendment to this Article which materially alters the limitations and requirements applicable

to any building or class of buildings shall be given to the owners of such buildings by mail.

SEC. 11231124. TIME PROVISIONS.

Unless otherwise indicated, all time provisions governing the taking of action by City officials are directory and not mandatory.

SEC. 11241125. SEVERABILITY.

If any part of this Article 11 is held to be unconstitutional or invalid, such decision shall not affect the validity of the remaining portions of this Article 11 or any part thereof. The Board of Supervisors hereby declares that it would have passed all portions of this Article <u>and any amendments thereto</u> irrespective of the fact that any one or more portions be declared unconstitutional or invalid.

Planning Department
BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

Page 47 8/11/2011

1	Section Effective Date. This ordinance shall become effective 30 days from the
2	date of passage.
3	date of passage.
4	APPROVED AS TO FORM:
5	DENNIS J. HERRERA, City Attorney
6	Ву:
7	MARLENA G. BYRNE Deputy City Attorney
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	Planning December and

Planning Department BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

Page 48

Historic Preservation Commission Draft Resolution

Planning Code Text Changes: Article 10

HEARING DATE: SEPTEMBER 7, 2011

Project Name: Proposed Amendments to Article 10

Case Number: 2011.0167T

Initiated by: John Rahaim, Director of Planning

Initiated: July 8, 2010

Staff Contact: Sophie Hayward, Legislative Affairs

sophie.hayward@sfgov.org, 415-558-6257

Reviewed by: Tim Frye, Acting Preservation Coordinator

tim.frye@sfgov.org, 415-575-6822

Recommendation: Approve Article 10 Amendments with Modifications

Planning Information: 415.558.6377

415.558.6409

1650 Mission St. Suite 400

San Francisco, CA 94103-2479

Reception: 415.558.6378

Fax:

RECOMMENDING THAT THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS <u>ADOPT WITH MODIFICATIONS</u> AN ORDINANCE INITIATED BY THE PLANNING COMMISSION THAT WOULD AMEND THE PLANNING CODE ARTICLE 10 – PRESERVATION OF HISTORICAL ARCHITECTURAL AND AESTHETIC LANDMARKS; ADOPTING FINDINGS, INCLUDING ENVIRONMENTAL FINDINGS AND PLANNING CODE SECTION 101.1 FINDINGS.

PREAMBLE

Whereas, on February 3, 2010, the Planning Director requested that amendments be made to the Planning Code under Case Number 2010.0080T; and

Whereas, the proposed Planning Code text changes would amend several sections of the Code and in particular, to Articles 10 and 11; and

WHEREAS, the Planning Commission conducted a duly noticed public hearing to consider the initiation of the proposed Ordinance on July 8, 2010; and

WHEREAS the Planning Commission adopted Resolution No. 18133 initiating amendments to the Planning Code on July 8, 2010; and

WHEREAS, pursuant to Charter Section 4.135, any proposed ordinance concerning historic preservation issues must be submitted to the Historic Preservation Commission ("HPC") for review and recommendation to the Board of Supervisors; and

Draft HPC Resolution Hearing Date: September 7, 2011 CASE NO. 2011.0167T Article 10 Amendments

WHEREAS, the Planning Commission conducted a duly noticed public hearing to consider the proposed Ordinance on August 5, 2010; and

WHEREAS the Planning Commission adopted Resolution No. 18157 recommending approval with modifications of the proposed ordinance to the Board of Supervisors on August 5, 2010; and

WHEREAS, the proposed Ordinance has been determined to be categorically exempt from environmental review under the California Environmental Quality Act Section 15060(c); and

WHEREAS, the Historic Preservation Commission conducted a duly noticed public hearings to consider the proposed amendments to Articles 10 & 11 on July 21st, August 4th, 18th, September 1st, 15th, 29th, October 6th and 15th, November 3rd and 17th, and December 1 2010 and August 17, 2011 and September 7, 2011; and

WHEREAS, the Historic Preservation Commission will transmit the September 7, 2011 draft Ordinance to the Planning Commission for their re-review; and

WHEREAS, the HPC has heard and considered the testimony presented to it at the public hearing and has further considered written materials and oral testimony presented on behalf of Department staff and other interested parties; and

WHEREAS, the all pertinent documents may be found in the files of the Department, as the custodian of records, at 1650 Mission Street, Suite 400, San Francisco; and

WHEREAS, the HPC has reviewed the proposed Ordinance; and

MOVED, that the HPC hereby recommends that the Board of Supervisors *approve with modifications* the proposed ordinance detailed in the draft dated September 17, 2011.

FINDINGS

Having reviewed the materials identified in the preamble above, and having heard all testimony and arguments, this Commission finds, concludes, and determines as follows:

- 1. This Historic Preservation Commission was created in the fall of 2008 when the voters passed amendments to the San Francisco Charter establishing Section 4.135.
- Article 10 (Preservation of Historical and Architectural and Aesthetic Landmarks) and Article 11 (Preservation of Buildings and Districts of Architectural, Historical, and Aesthetic Importance in the C-3 Districts) are the Planning Code chapters that outline the designation and permit review processes for historic buildings.
- 3. These Articles have not been updated and do not conform to Charter Section 4.135. The proposed revisions will simply make them consistent with Charter Section 4.135.

Draft HPC Resolution Hearing Date: September 7, 2011 CASE NO. 2011.0167T Article 10 Amendments

- 4. Therefore, the HPC recommends approval of Article 10 with modifications in the draft dated September 7, 2011 of the proposed Ordinance, which includes edits recommended by the City Attorney in order to approve the proposed Ordinance as-to-form.
- 5. **General Plan Compliance.** The proposed Ordinance is, on balance, consistent with the following Objectives and Policies of the General Plan:

I. COMMERCE & INDUSTRY ELEMENT

THE COMMERCE & INDUSTRY ELEMENT SETS FORTH OBJECTIVES AND POLICES THAT ADDRESS THE BROAD RANGE OF ECONOMIC ACTIVITIES, FACILITIES AND SUPPORT SYSTEMS THAT CONSTITUTE SAN FRANCISCO'S EMPLOYMENT AND SERVICE BASE. THE PLAN SERVES AS A COMPREHENSIVE GUIDE FOR BOTH THE PUBLIC AND PRIVATE SECTORS WHEN MAKING DECISIONS RELATED TO ECONOMIC GROWTH AND CHANGE.

GOALS

The objectives and policies are based on the premise that economic development activities in San Francisco must be designed to achieve three overall goals: 1) Economic Vitality - the first goal is to maintain and expand a healthy, vital and diverse economy which will provide jobs essential to personal well-being and revenues to pay for the services essential to the quality of life in the city; 2) Social Equity - the second goal is to assure that all segments of the San Francisco labor force benefit from economic growth. This will require that particular attention be given to reducing the level of unemployment, particularly among the chronically unemployed and those excluded from full participation by race, language or lack of formal occupational training; and 3) Environmental Quality - the third goal is to maintain and enhance the environment. San Francisco's unique and attractive environment is one of the principal reasons San Francisco is a desirable place for residents to live, businesses to locate, and tourists to visit. The pursuit of employment opportunities and economic expansion must not be at the expense of the environment appreciated by all.

OBJECTIVE 1

MANAGE ECONOMIC GROWTH AND CHANGE TO ENSURE ENHANCEMENT OF THE TOTAL CITY LIVING AND WORKING ENVIRONMENT.

POLICY 1.3

Locate commercial and industrial activities according to a generalized commercial and industrial land use plan.

OBJECTIVE 6

MAINTAIN AND STRENGTHEN VIABLE NEIGHBORHOOD COMMERCIAL AREAS EASILY ACCESSIBLE TO CITY RESIDENTS.

POLICY 6.1

Ensure and encourage the retention and provision of neighborhood-serving goods and services in the city's neighborhood commercial districts, while recognizing and encouraging diversity among the districts.

POLICY 6.3

Preserve and promote the mixed commercial-residential character in neighborhood commercial districts. Strike a balance between the preservation of existing affordable housing and needed expansion of commercial activity.

POLICY 6.8

Preserve historically and/or architecturally important buildings or groups of buildings in neighborhood commercial districts.

II. URBAN DESIGN ELEMENT

THE URBAN DESIGN ELEMENT CONCERNS THE PHYSICAL CHARACTER AND ORDER OF THE CITY, AND THE RELATIONSHIP BETWEEN PEOPLE AND THEIR ENVIRONMENT.

GOALS

The Urban Design Element is concerned both with development and with preservation. It is a concerted effort to recognize the positive attributes of the city, to enhance and conserve those attributes, and to improve the living environment where it is less than satisfactory. The Plan is a definition of quality, a definition based upon human needs.

OBJECTIVE 1

EMPHASIS OF THE CHARACTERISTIC PATTERN WHICH GIVES TO THE CITY AND ITS NEIGHBORHOODS AN IMAGE, A SENSE OF PURPOSE, AND A MEANS OF ORIENTATION.

POLICY 1.3

Recognize that buildings, when seen together, produce a total effect that characterizes the city and its districts.

OBJECTIVE 2

CONSERVATION OF RESOURCES WHICH PROVIDE A SENSE OF NATURE, CONTINUITY WITH THE PAST, AND FREEDOM FROM OVERCROWDING.

POLICY 2.4

Preserve notable landmarks and areas of historic, architectural or aesthetic value, and promote the preservation of other buildings and features that provide continuity with past development.

POLICY 2.5

Use care in remodeling of older buildings, in order to enhance rather than weaken the original character of such buildings.

POLICY 2.7

Recognize and protect outstanding and unique areas that contribute in an extraordinary degree to San Francisco's visual form and character.

III. DOWNTOWN ELEMENT

THE DOWNTOWN PLAN GROWS OUT OF AN AWARENESS OF THE PUBLIC CONCERN IN RECENT YEARS OVER THE DEGREE OF CHANGE OCCURRING DOWNTOWN — AND OF

Draft HPC Resolution Hearing Date: September 7, 2011 CASE NO. 2011.0167T Article 10 Amendments

THE OFTEN CONFLICTING CIVIC OBJECTIVES BETWEEN FOSTERING A VITAL ECONOMY AND RETAINING THE URBAN PATTERNS AND STRUCTURES WHICH COLLECTIVELY FOR THE PHYSICAL ESSENCE OF SAN FRANCISCO.

OBJECTIVE 1

MANAGE ECONOMIC GROWTH AND CHANGE TO ENSURE ENHANCEMENT OF THE TOTAL CITY LIVING AND WORKING ENVIRONMENT.

OBJECTIVE 12

CONSERVE RESOURCES THAT PROVIDE CONTINUITY WITH SAN FRANCISCO'S PAST.

Policy 12.1

Preserve notable landmarks and areas of historic, architectural, or aesthetic value, and promote the preservation of other buildings and features that provide continuity with past development.

The goal of the proposed Ordinance is to make typographical and clerical errors to the Planning Code, as well as to update Articles 10 and 11 to make it conform to Charter Section 4.135.

- 6. The proposed replacement project is generally consistent with the eight General Plan priority policies set forth in Section 101.1 in that:
 - A) The existing neighborhood-serving retail uses will be preserved and enhanced and future opportunities for resident employment in and ownership of such businesses will be enhanced:
 - The proposed Ordinance would not significantly impact existing neighborhood-serving retail uses or opportunities for employment in or ownership of such businesses.
 - B) The existing housing and neighborhood character will be conserved and protected in order to preserve the cultural and economic diversity of our neighborhoods:
 - The proposed Ordinance will not impact existing housing and neighborhood character.
 - C) The City's supply of affordable housing will be preserved and enhanced:
 - The proposed Ordinance will not impact the supply of affordable housing.
 - D) The commuter traffic will not impede MUNI transit service or overburden our streets or neighborhood parking:

The proposed Ordinance will not result in commuter traffic impeding MUNI transit service or overburdening the streets or neighborhood parking.

Draft HPC Resolution Hearing Date: September 7, 2011 CASE NO. 2011.0167T Article 10 Amendments

E) A diverse economic base will be maintained by protecting our industrial and service sectors from displacement due to commercial office development. And future opportunities for resident employment and ownership in these sectors will be enhanced:

The proposed Ordinance would not adversely affect the industrial or service sectors or future opportunities for resident employment or ownership in these sectors.

F) The City will achieve the greatest possible preparedness to protect against injury and loss of life in an earthquake.

Preparedness against injury and loss of life in an earthquake is unaffected by the proposed amendments.

G) That landmark and historic buildings will be preserved:

The proposed Ordinance will update the Planning Code to reflect Charter Section 4.135 to incorporate the Historic Preservation Commission.

H) Parks and open space and their access to sunlight and vistas will be protected from development:

The proposed Ordinance will not impact the City's parks and open space.

I hereby certify that the foregoing Resolution was ADOPTED by the San Francisco Historic Preservation Commission on September 7, 2011.

Linda D. Avery Commission Secretary

AYES:

NOES:

ABSENT:

ADOPTED: September 7, 2011

Exhibit A: Draft Ordinance with August 17, 2011 amendments to Article 10

SAN FRANCISCO
PLANNING DEPARTMENT

Historic Preservation Commission Draft Resolution

Planning Code Text Changes: Article 11

HEARING DATE: SEPTEMBER 7, 2011

Project Name: Proposed Amendments to Article 11

Case Number: 2011.0167T

Initiated by: John Rahaim, Director of Planning

Initiated: July 8, 2010

Staff Contact: Sophie Hayward, Legislative Affairs

sophie.hayward@sfgov.org, 415-558-6257

Reviewed by: Tim Frye, Acting Preservation Coordinator

tim.frye@sfgov.org, 415-575-6822

Recommendation: Approve Article 11 Amendments with Modifications

1650 Mission St. Suite 400 San Francisco, CA 94103-2479

Reception: 415.558.6378

Fax: 415.558.6409

Planning Information: 415.558.6377

RECOMMENDING THAT THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS <u>ADOPT WITH MODIFICATIONS</u> AN ORDINANCE INITIATED BY THE PLANNING COMMISSION THAT WOULD AMEND THE PLANNING CODE ARTICLE 11 – PRESERVATION OF BUILDINGS AND DISTRICTS OF ARCHITECTURAL, HISTORIC, AND AESTHETIC IMPORTANCE IN C-3 DISTRICTS PER HPC DRAFT DATED SEPTEMBER 7, 2011; ADOPTING FINDINGS, INCLUDING ENVIRONMENTAL FINDINGS AND PLANNING CODE SECTION 101.1 FINDINGS.

PREAMBLE

WHEREAS, on February 3, 2010, the Planning Director requested that amendments be made to the Planning Code under Case Number 2010.0080T; and

WHEREAS, the proposed Planning Code text changes would amend several sections of the Code and in particular, to Articles 10 and 11; and

WHEREAS, the Planning Commission conducted a duly noticed public hearing to consider the initiation of the proposed Ordinance on July 8, 2010; and

WHEREAS the Planning Commission adopted Resolution No. 18133 initiating amendments to the Planning Code on July 8, 2010; and

WHEREAS, the Planning Commission conducted a duly noticed public hearing to consider the proposed Ordinance on August 5, 2010; and

DRAFT HPC Resolution Hearing Date: September 7, 2011 CASE NO. 2011.0167T Article 11 Amendments

WHEREAS the Planning Commission adopted Resolution No. 18157 recommending approval with modifications of the proposed ordinance to the Board of Supervisors on August 5, 2010; and

WHEREAS, pursuant to Charter Section 4.135, any proposed ordinance concerning historic preservation issues must be submitted to the Historic Preservation Commission ("HPC") for review and recommendation to the Board of Supervisors; and

WHEREAS, the Historic Preservation Commission conducted a duly noticed public hearings to consider the proposed amendments to Articles 10 & 11 on July 21st, August 4th, 18th, September 1st, 15th, 29th, October 6th, 15th November 3rd and 17th and December 1st 2010 and August 17, 2011 and September 7, 2011; and

WHEREAS, the Historic Preservation Commission will transmit the Septebmer 7, 2011 draft Ordinance to the Planning Commission for its re-review; and

WHEREAS, the proposed Ordinance has been determined to be categorically exempt from environmental review under the California Environmental Quality Act Section 15060(c); and

WHEREAS, the HPC has heard and considered the testimony presented to it at the public hearing and has further considered written materials and oral testimony presented on behalf of Department staff and other interested parties; and

WHEREAS, the all pertinent documents may be found in the files of the Department, as the custodian of records, at 1650 Mission Street, Suite 400, San Francisco; and

WHEREAS, the HPC has reviewed the proposed Ordinance; and

MOVED, that the HPC hereby recommends that the Board of Supervisors *approve with modifications* the proposed ordinance for Article 11 as detailed in the draft dated September 7, 2011.

FINDINGS

Having reviewed the materials identified in the preamble above, and having heard all testimony and arguments, this Commission finds, concludes, and determines as follows:

- 1. This Historic Preservation Commission was created in the fall of 2008 when the voters passed amendments to the San Francisco Charter establishing Section 4.135.
- 2. Article 10 (Preservation of Historical and Architectural and Aesthetic Landmarks) and Article 11 (Preservation of Buildings and Districts of Architectural, Historical, and Aesthetic Importance in the C-3 Districts) are the Planning Code chapters that outline the designation and permit review processes for historic buildings.
- 3. These Articles have not been updated and do not conform to Charter Section 4.135. The proposed revisions will simply make them consistent with Charter Section 4.135.

SAN FRANCISCO
PLANNING DEPARTMENT

- 4. The additional changes proposed to Articles 10 and 11 will update preservation practices and policies and help streamline the designation and permit review processes.
- 5. Therefore, the HPC recommends approval of Article 11 with modifications in the draft dated September 7, 2011 of the proposed Ordinance, which include edits recommended by the City Attorney in order to approve the proposed Ordinance as-to-form.
- 6. **General Plan Compliance.** The proposed Ordinance is, on balance, consistent with the following Objectives and Policies of the General Plan:

I. COMMERCE & INDUSTRY ELEMENT

THE COMMERCE & INDUSTRY ELEMENT SETS FORTH OBJECTIVES AND POLICES THAT ADDRESS THE BROAD RANGE OF ECONOMIC ACTIVITIES, FACILITIES AND SUPPORT SYSTEMS THAT CONSTITUTE SAN FRANCISCO'S EMPLOYMENT AND SERVICE BASE. THE PLAN SERVES AS A COMPREHENSIVE GUIDE FOR BOTH THE PUBLIC AND PRIVATE SECTORS WHEN MAKING DECISIONS RELATED TO ECONOMIC GROWTH AND CHANGE.

GOALS

The objectives and policies are based on the premise that economic development activities in San Francisco must be designed to achieve three overall goals: 1) Economic Vitality - the first goal is to maintain and expand a healthy, vital and diverse economy which will provide jobs essential to personal well-being and revenues to pay for the services essential to the quality of life in the city; 2) Social Equity - the second goal is to assure that all segments of the San Francisco labor force benefit from economic growth. This will require that particular attention be given to reducing the level of unemployment, particularly among the chronically unemployed and those excluded from full participation by race, language or lack of formal occupational training; and 3) Environmental Quality - the third goal is to maintain and enhance the environment. San Francisco's unique and attractive environment is one of the principal reasons San Francisco is a desirable place for residents to live, businesses to locate, and tourists to visit. The pursuit of employment opportunities and economic expansion must not be at the expense of the environment appreciated by all.

OBJECTIVE 1

MANAGE ECONOMIC GROWTH AND CHANGE TO ENSURE ENHANCEMENT OF THE TOTAL CITY LIVING AND WORKING ENVIRONMENT.

POLICY 1.3

Locate commercial and industrial activities according to a generalized commercial and industrial land use plan.

OBJECTIVE 6

MAINTAIN AND STRENGTHEN VIABLE NEIGHBORHOOD COMMERCIAL AREAS EASILY ACCESSIBLE TO CITY RESIDENTS.

POLICY 6.1

Ensure and encourage the retention and provision of neighborhood-serving goods and services in the city's neighborhood commercial districts, while recognizing and encouraging diversity among the districts.

POLICY 6.3

Preserve and promote the mixed commercial-residential character in neighborhood commercial districts. Strike a balance between the preservation of existing affordable housing and needed expansion of commercial activity.

POLICY 6.8

Preserve historically and/or architecturally important buildings or groups of buildings in neighborhood commercial districts.

II. URBAN DESIGN ELEMENT

THE URBAN DESIGN ELEMENT CONCERNS THE PHYSICAL CHARACTER AND ORDER OF THE CITY, AND THE RELATIONSHIP BETWEEN PEOPLE AND THEIR ENVIRONMENT.

GOALS

The Urban Design Element is concerned both with development and with preservation. It is a concerted effort to recognize the positive attributes of the city, to enhance and conserve those attributes, and to improve the living environment where it is less than satisfactory. The Plan is a definition of quality, a definition based upon human needs.

OBJECTIVE 1

EMPHASIS OF THE CHARACTERISTIC PATTERN WHICH GIVES TO THE CITY AND ITS NEIGHBORHOODS AN IMAGE, A SENSE OF PURPOSE, AND A MEANS OF ORIENTATION.

POLICY 1.3

Recognize that buildings, when seen together, produce a total effect that characterizes the city and its districts.

OBJECTIVE 2

CONSERVATION OF RESOURCES WHICH PROVIDE A SENSE OF NATURE, CONTINUITY WITH THE PAST, AND FREEDOM FROM OVERCROWDING.

POLICY 2.4

Preserve notable landmarks and areas of historic, architectural or aesthetic value, and promote the preservation of other buildings and features that provide continuity with past development.

POLICY 2.5

Use care in remodeling of older buildings, in order to enhance rather than weaken the original character of such buildings.

POLICY 2.7

Recognize and protect outstanding and unique areas that contribute in an extraordinary degree to San Francisco's visual form and character.

DRAFT HPC Resolution Hearing Date: September 7, 2011 CASE NO. 2011.0167T Article 11 Amendments

III. DOWNTOWN ELEMENT

THE DOWNTOWN PLAN GROWS OUT OF AN AWARENESS OF THE PUBLIC CONCERN IN RECENT YEARS OVER THE DEGREE OF CHANGE OCCURRING DOWNTOWN — AND OF THE OFTEN CONFLICTING CIVIC OBJECTIVES BETWEEN FOSTERING A VITAL ECONOMY AND RETAINING THE URBAN PATTERNS AND STRUCTURES WHICH COLLECTIVELY FOR THE PHYSICAL ESSENCE OF SAN FRANCISCO.

OBJECTIVE 1

MANAGE ECONOMIC GROWTH AND CHANGE TO ENSURE ENHANCEMENT OF THE TOTAL CITY LIVING AND WORKING ENVIRONMENT.

OBJECTIVE 12

CONSERVE RESOURCES THAT PROVIDE CONTINUITY WITH SAN FRANCISCO'S PAST.

Policy 12.1

Preserve notable landmarks and areas of historic, architectural, or aesthetic value, and promote the preservation of other buildings and features that provide continuity with past development.

The goal of the proposed Ordinance is to update Articles 10 and 11 to make it conform to Charter Section 4.135.

- 7. The proposed replacement project is generally consistent with the eight General Plan priority policies set forth in Section 101.1 in that:
 - A) The existing neighborhood-serving retail uses will be preserved and enhanced and future opportunities for resident employment in and ownership of such businesses will be enhanced:
 - The proposed Ordinance would not significantly impact existing neighborhood-serving retail uses or opportunities for employment in or ownership of such businesses.
 - B) The existing housing and neighborhood character will be conserved and protected in order to preserve the cultural and economic diversity of our neighborhoods:
 - *The proposed Ordinance will not impact existing housing and neighborhood character.*
 - C) The City's supply of affordable housing will be preserved and enhanced:
 - The proposed Ordinance will not impact the supply of affordable housing.
 - D) The commuter traffic will not impede MUNI transit service or overburden our streets or neighborhood parking:

The proposed Ordinance will not result in commuter traffic impeding MUNI transit service or overburdening the streets or neighborhood parking.

E) A diverse economic base will be maintained by protecting our industrial and service sectors from displacement due to commercial office development. And future opportunities for resident employment and ownership in these sectors will be enhanced:

The proposed Ordinance would not adversely affect the industrial or service sectors or future opportunities for resident employment or ownership in these sectors.

F) The City will achieve the greatest possible preparedness to protect against injury and loss of life in an earthquake.

Preparedness against injury and loss of life in an earthquake is unaffected by the proposed amendments.

G) That landmark and historic buildings will be preserved:

The proposed Ordinance will update the Planning Code to reflect Charter Section 4.135 to incorporate the Historic Preservation Commission.

H) Parks and open space and their access to sunlight and vistas will be protected from development:

The proposed Ordinance will not impact the City's parks and open space.

I hereby certify that the foregoing Resolution was ADOPTED by the San Francisco Historic Preservation Commission on September 7, 2011.

Linda D. Avery Commission Secretary

NOES:	
ABSENT:	
ADOPTED:	September 7, 2011

AYES:

Exhibit A: Draft Ordinance for Amendments to Article 11 with comments from City Attorney's office