# **Certificate of Appropriateness Case Report**

HEARING DATE: JULY 20, 2011

Filing Date: May 18, 2011 Case No.: **2011.0524A** 

Project Address: 1460 MONTGOMERY STREET
Historic Landmark: Telegraph Hill Historic District

Zoning: RH-3 (Residential, House, Three-Family) District

40-X Height and Bulk District

Block/Lot: 0085/026A
Applicant: Justin Yonker
Master Builders

139 Mitchell Avenue, #110

South San Francisco, CA 94080

Staff Contact Pilar LaValley - (415) 575-9084

pilar.lavalley@sfgov.org

*Reviewed By* Tim Frye – (415) 575-6822

tim.frye@sfgov.org

## PROPERTY DESCRIPTION

**1460 MONTGOMERY STREET**, east side between Greenwich and Filbert Steps, Assessor's Block 0085, Lot 026A. The subject building, constructed in 1936, is designated as a contributory resource to the Telegraph Hill Historic District, and is located within a RH-3 (Residential, House, Three-Family) District with a 40-X Height and Bulk limit.

The subject building, which is one of two structures on the L-shaped parcel, is a three-story, residential, stucco-clad building with a flat roof behind clay-tile clad pent roofs and a center cupola designed by the architecture firm Fabre & Hildebrand. A projecting bay extends the height of the center bay and is topped by a cupola-shaped feature with clay-tile roof. Windows on front façade consist of multi-light, wood, double casement sash. There is a recessed garage with arched opening at the ground floor. Due to site topography, there is an occupied basement floor that opens to the rear yard and below-street-grade sidewalk. On the portion of parcel fronting the Greenwich Steps, is a c. 1886 two-story, residential building with gable-roof and wood clapboard siding. This building is also a contributing structure.

## PROJECT DESCRIPTION

The proposed project involves a 3 ½-story horizontal rear addition topped with roof deck and projecting 3-story rear deck. The addition will be approximately 13 feet deep and 18 feet wide and will be setback 5 feet from south side property line and 7 feet from north side property line. At the ground (or basement) floor and L-shaped deck will extend along the north and east sides of new addition. At the second and third floors, the deck will extend to the side property line and match depth of building addition. All decks will have open, cable railings. The addition will be clad with horizontal wood siding to match

1650 Mission St. Suite 400 San Francisco, CA 94103-2479

Reception: 415.558.6378

Fax: 415.558.6409

Planning Information: 415.558.6377 original building. The proposed project is described in architectural plans prepared by architect Jeanne Liem, dated June July 1, 2011.

## OTHER ACTIONS REQUIRED

Proposed work requires a Building Permit.

### COMPLIANCE WITH THE PLANNING CODE PROVISIONS

The proposed project is in compliance with all other provisions of the Planning Code.

### APPLICABLE PRESERVATION STANDARDS

### **ARTICLE 10**

A Certificate of Appropriateness is required for any construction, alteration, removal, or demolition of a designated Landmark for which a City permit is required. In appraising a proposal for a Certificate of Appropriateness, the Historic Preservation Commission should consider the factors of architectural style, design, arrangement, texture, materials, color, and other pertinent factors. Section 1006.7 of the Planning Code provides in relevant part as follows:

The proposed work shall be appropriate for and consistent with the effectuation of the purposes of Article 10.

The proposed work shall be compatible with the historic structure in terms of design, materials, form, scale, and location. The proposed project will not detract from the site's architectural character as described in the designating ordinance. For all of the exterior and interior work proposed, reasonable efforts have been made to preserve, enhance or restore, and not to damage or destroy, the exterior architectural features of the subject property which contribute to its significance.

## ARTICLE 10 - Appendix G - Telegraph Hill Historic District

In reviewing an application for a Certificate of Appropriateness, the Historic Preservation Commission must consider whether the proposed work would be compatible with the character of the Telegraph Hill Historic District as described in Appendix G of Article 10 of the Planning Code and the character-defining features specifically outlined in the designating ordinance. In pertinent part, Appendix G states:

**Height.** Buildings should relate to the height of structures immediately adjacent and in the general area with the intent that the building should be contained within an envelope that slopes upward or downward with the slope of the property.

Alterations and New Construction. Alterations and new construction shall be compatible with the nearby contributory buildings within the Historic District, and shall conform to the following provisions:

(1) **Style.** New construction in a contemporary idiom is encouraged, with specific regulation as follows:

- (a) Bay windows and porticos are not characteristic of the District, and are discouraged.
- (b) Porches and balconies are characteristic design features of the District, and are encouraged.
- (c) Gable roof forms are encouraged.
- (d) The mass of new buildings should relate to the topographical contour of the site, and be compatible with adjacent buildings.
- (e) Horizontal rustic wood siding is the traditional building material in the District, and its use is encouraged over other surfacing materials, including wood shingles. Masonry surfaces may be appropriate in subareas with a concentration of Art Moderne or International Style building.
- (f) Fenestration should be proportionate and in scale with traditional patterns within the District. Wooden sash is encouraged over aluminum or other metal sash.
- (g) Detailing should relate to the simple, straightforward traditional vernacular forms found in the District.

#### THE SECRETARY OF THE INTERIOR'S STANDARDS

Rehabilitation is the act or process of making possible a compatible use for a property through repair, alterations, and additions while preserving those portions or features that convey its historical, cultural, or architectural values. The Rehabilitation Standards provide, in relevant part(s):

**Standard 1:** A property will be used as it was historically or be given a new use that requires minimal change to its distinctive materials, features, spaces, and spatial relationships.

The property will continue in its residential use. No alterations are proposed to the front façade or any distinctive materials, features, or spaces of the property.

**Standard 2:** The historic character of a property shall be retained and preserved. The removal of historic materials or alteration of features and spaces that characterize a property shall be avoided.

The proposed work will occur on the rear of the building and will not impact any historic materials or alter features or spaces that characterize the property.

Standard 3: Each property will be recognized as a physical record of its time, place, and use. Changes that create a false sense of historical development, such as adding conjectural features or elements from other historic properties, will not be undertaken.

The new addition will be clearly differentiated so as to avoid creating a false sense of history.

Standard 9: New additions, exterior alterations, or related new construction will not destroy historic materials, features, and spatial relationships that characterize the property. The new work will be differentiated from the old and will be compatible with the historic

SAN FRANCISCO
PLANNING DEPARTMENT

materials, features, size, scale and proportion, and massing to protect the integrity of the property and its environment.

The proposed work is not anticipated to destroy historic materials, features, or spatial relationships that characterize the property. The proposed work will occur on the rear (secondary) façade and will not alter the building's appearance from Montgomery Street. Due to topography, the rear addition will be partially visible from limited viewpoints within the district, however, the addition has been scaled to respect the height and massing of the existing building and surrounding contributing properties. The addition and projecting decks will be clearly differentiated but compatible in materials, finishes, size, scale, and proportion with the existing building and surrounding district.

### Standard 10:

New additions and adjacent or related new construction shall be undertaken in such a manner that if removed in the future, the essential form and integrity of the historic property and its environment would be unimpaired.

The essential form and integrity of the subject building and Telegraph Hill Historic District would be unimpaired if the proposed rear additions were removed at a future date.

### PUBLIC/NEIGHBORHOOD INPUT

Information about the proposed project was forwarded as required for properties with a recorded Block Book Notation (BBN). The BBN holder responded that they have concerns including outstanding complaints to Department of Building Inspection regarding maintenance and Building Code compliance. No additional information has been provided to date. The Department has also received one call from neighbor to south indicating concerns regarding views and privacy.

## **ISSUES & OTHER CONSIDERATIONS**

None.

# STAFF ANAYLSIS

Based on the requirements of Article 10 and the *Secretary of Interior's Standards*, staff has determined that the proposed work will not adversely affect the surrounding Telegraph Hill Historic District.

Staff finds that the proposed horizontal rear addition and rear decks will occur on a secondary elevation and will not alter the appearance of the front façade of the building. The proposed work will not destroy historic materials, features, or spatial relationships that characterize the property. Due to topography, the addition will be somewhat visible from public rights-of-way at rear or sides of building but the addition will not detract from the overall character of the subject property or surrounding historic district. To address the issues related to topography and configuration of surrounding properties, the original proposal was modified at staff recommendation to the reduced footprint before you today. In particular, the overall size and extent of the proposed deck was eliminated from the east elevation of addition to provide an unobstructed rear yard.

The addition will be clad with horizontal, painted wood siding similar to the existing side and rear elevations of the building and in a manner consistent with the character of the historic district. The new addition includes decks, which are characteristic features of the district, particularly on façades facing down slope. The proposal appears to be compatible with the character of the historic district, as outlined in Appendix G, in terms of scale, placement, detailing, and materials as it uses wood siding, incorporates side setbacks, and is scaled to respect massing of surrounding properties. Furthermore, staff finds that the essential form and integrity of the subject building and historic district would be unimpaired if the proposed addition was removed at a future date.

## **ENVIRONMENTAL REVIEW STATUS**

The Planning Department has determined that the proposed project is exempt/excluded from environmental review, pursuant to CEQA Guideline Section 15301 (Class One-Minor Alteration of Existing facility) because the project is a minor alteration of an existing structure and meets the *Secretary of the Interior's Standards for Rehabilitation*.

### PLANNING DEPARTMENT RECOMMENDATION

Planning Department staff recommends APPROVAL of the proposed project as it appears to meet the Secretary of the Interior Standards for Rehabilitation.

### **ATTACHMENTS**

Draft Motion
Parcel Map
Sanborn Map
Aerial Photographs
Historical Resource Review Form
Plans
Photographs

PL: G:\DOCUMENTS\1460 Montgomery\Certificate of Appropriateness Case Report.doc

# **Historic Preservation Commission Draft Motion**

**HEARING DATE: JULY 20, 2011** 

1650 Mission St. Suite 400 San Francisco, CA 94103-2479

Reception:

415.558.6378

Fax:

415.558.6409

Planning Information: 415.558.6377

Hearing Date: July 20, 2011

Filing Date: May 18, 2011 Case No.: **2011.0524A** 

Project Address: 1460 MONTGOMERY STREET
Historic Landmark: Telegraph Hill Historic District

Zoning: RH-3 (Residential, House, Three-Family) District

40-X Height and Bulk District

Block/Lot: 0085/026A Applicant: Justin Yonker

Staff Contact

Master Builders

139 Mitchell Avenue, #110

South San Francisco, CA 94080 Pilar LaValley - (415) 575-9084

pilar.lavalley@sfgov.org

*Reviewed By* Tim Frye – (415) 575-6822

tim.frye@sfgov.org

ADOPTING FINDINGS FOR A CERTIFICATE OF APPROPRIATENESS FOR PROPOSED WORK DETERMINED TO BE APPROPRIATE FOR AND CONSISTENT WITH THE PURPOSES OF ARTICLE 10, TO MEET THE STANDARDS OF ARTICLE 10 AND TO MEET THE SECRETARY OF INTERIOR'S STANDARDS FOR REHABILITATION, FOR THE PROPERTY LOCATED ON LOT 026A IN ASSESSOR'S BLOCK 0085, WITHIN A RH-3 (RESIDENTIAL, HOUSE, THREE-FAMILY) ZONING DISTRICT, A 40-X HEIGHT AND BULK DISTRICT, AND THE TELEGRAPH HILL HISTORIC DISTRICT.

### **PREAMBLE**

WHEREAS, on May 18, 2011, Justin Yonker of Master Builders on behalf of the property owner ("Project Sponsor") filed an application with the San Francisco Planning Department ("Department") for a Certificate of Appropriateness to construct a 3 ½-story horizontal rear addition topped with roof deck and 3-story projecting rear deck, at the subject building located on Lot 026A in Assessor's Block 0085 within the Telegraph Hill Historic District.

WHEREAS, the Project was determined by the Department to be categorically exempt from environmental review. The Historic Preservation Commission ("Commission") has reviewed and concurs with said determination.

WHEREAS, on July 20, 2011, the Commission conducted a duly noticed public hearing on the current project, Case No. 2011.0524A ("Project") for its appropriateness.

CASE NO 2011.0524A 1460 Montgomery Street

Motion No. XXXX Hearing Date: July 20, 2011

WHEREAS, in reviewing the Application, the Commission has had available for its review and consideration case reports, plans, and other materials pertaining to the Project contained in the Department's case files, has reviewed and heard testimony and received materials from interested parties during the public hearing on the Project.

**MOVED**, that the Commission hereby grants the Certificate of Appropriateness, in conformance with the architectural plans dated July 1, 2011 and labeled Exhibit A on file in the docket for Case No. 2011.0524A based on the following findings:

### **FINDINGS**

Having reviewed all the materials identified in the recitals above and having heard oral testimony and arguments, this Commission finds, concludes, and determines as follows:

- 1. The above recitals are accurate and also constitute findings of the Commission.
- 2. Findings pursuant to Article 10:

The Historical Preservation Commission has determined that the proposed work is compatible with the character of the Telegraph Hill Historic District as described in the designation report dated August, 1985.

- That the proposal is compatible with, and respects, the character-defining features within the Telegraph Hill Historic District; and
- The proposed project meets the following Secretary of the Interior's Standards for Rehabilitation:

#### Standard 1.

A property shall be used for its historic purpose or be placed in a new use that requires minimal change to the defining characteristics of the building and its site and environment.

#### Standard 2.

The historic character of a property shall be retained and preserved. The removal of historic materials or alteration of features and spaces that characterize a property shall be avoided.

#### Standard 3.

Each property will be recognized as a physical record of its time, place, and use. Changes that create a false sense of historical development, such as adding conjectural features or elements from other historic properties, will not be undertaken.

## Standard 9.

New additions, exterior alterations, or related new construction will not destroy historic materials, features, and spatial relationships that characterize the property. The new work will be differentiated from the old and will be compatible with the historic materials, features, size, scale and proportion, and massing to protect the integrity of the property and its environment.

SAN FRANCISCO
PLANNING DEPARTMENT

#### Standard 10.

New additions and adjacent or related new construction shall be undertaken in such a manner that if removed in the future, the essential form and integrity of the historic property and its environment would be unimpaired.

3. **General Plan Compliance.** The proposed Certificate of Appropriateness is, on balance, consistent with the following Objectives and Policies of the General Plan:

### I. URBAN DESIGN ELEMENT

THE URBAN DESIGN ELEMENT CONCERNS THE PHYSICAL CHARACTER AND ORDER OF THE CITY, AND THE RELATIONSHIP BETWEEN PEOPLE AND THEIR ENVIRONMENT.

### **GOALS**

The Urban Design Element is concerned both with development and with preservation. It is a concerted effort to recognize the positive attributes of the city, to enhance and conserve those attributes, and to improve the living environment where it is less than satisfactory. The Plan is a definition of quality, a definition based upon human needs.

## **OBJECTIVE 1**

EMPHASIS OF THE CHARACTERISTIC PATTERN WHICH GIVES TO THE CITY AND ITS NEIGHBORHOODS AN IMAGE, A SENSE OF PURPOSE, AND A MEANS OF ORIENTATION.

#### POLICY 1.3

Recognize that buildings, when seen together, produce a total effect that characterizes the city and its districts.

#### **OBJECTIVE 2**

CONSERVATION OF RESOURCES WHICH PROVIDE A SENSE OF NATURE, CONTINUITY WITH THE PAST, AND FREEDOM FROM OVERCROWDING.

### POLICY 2.4

Preserve notable landmarks and areas of historic, architectural or aesthetic value, and promote the preservation of other buildings and features that provide continuity with past development.

#### POLICY 2.5

Use care in remodeling of older buildings, in order to enhance rather than weaken the original character of such buildings.

#### POLICY 2.7

Recognize and protect outstanding and unique areas that contribute in an extraordinary degree to San Francisco's visual form and character.

The goal of a Certificate of Appropriateness is to provide additional oversight for buildings and districts that are architecturally or culturally significant to the City in order to protect the qualities that are associated with that significance.

Motion No. XXXX Hearing Date: July 20, 2011 CASE NO 2011.0524A 1460 Montgomery Street

The proposed project qualifies for a Certificate of Appropriateness and therefore furthers these policies and objectives by maintaining and preserving the character-defining features of the Telegraph Hill Historic District for the future enjoyment and education of San Francisco residents and visitors.

- 4. The proposed project is generally consistent with the eight General Plan priority policies set forth in Section 101.1 in that:
  - A) The existing neighborhood-serving retail uses will be preserved and enhanced and future opportunities for resident employment in and ownership of such businesses will be enhanced:

The proposed project will not have any impact on neighborhood serving retail uses.

B) The existing housing and neighborhood character will be conserved and protected in order to preserve the cultural and economic diversity of our neighborhoods:

The proposed project will strengthen neighborhood character by respecting the character-defining features of the historic district in conformance with the Secretary of the Interior's Standards for Rehabilitation.

C) The City's supply of affordable housing will be preserved and enhanced:

The project will not reduce the affordable housing supply as the existing ten units at the property are uninhabitable.

D) The commuter traffic will not impede MUNI transit service or overburden our streets or neighborhood parking:

The proposed project will not result in commuter traffic impeding MUNI transit service or overburdening the streets or neighborhood parking. It will provide sufficient off-street parking for the proposed units.

E) A diverse economic base will be maintained by protecting our industrial and service sectors from displacement due to commercial office development. And future opportunities for resident employment and ownership in these sectors will be enhanced:

The proposed will not have any impact on industrial and service sector jobs.

F) The City will achieve the greatest possible preparedness to protect against injury and loss of life in an earthquake:

Preparedness against injury and loss of life in an earthquake is improved by the proposed work. The work will eliminate unsafe conditions at the site and all construction will be executed in compliance with all applicable construction and safety measures.

SAN FRANCISCO
PLANNING DEPARTMENT

CASE NO 2011.0524A 1460 Montgomery Street

Motion No. XXXX Hearing Date: July 20, 2011

G) That landmark and historic buildings will be preserved:

The proposed project is in conformance with Article 10 of the Planning Code and the Secretary of the Interior's Standards for Rehabilitation.

H) Parks and open space and their access to sunlight and vistas will be protected from development:

The proposed project will not impact the access to sunlight or vistas for the parks and open space.

5. For these reasons, the proposal overall, is appropriate for and consistent with the purposes of Article 10, meets the standards of Article 10, and the *Secretary of Interior's Standards for Rehabilitation*, General Plan and Prop M findings of the Planning Code.

# **DECISION**

That based upon the Record, the submissions by the Applicant, the staff of the Department and other interested parties, the oral testimony presented to this Commission at the public hearings, and all other written materials submitted by all parties, the Commission hereby **GRANTS a Certificate of Appropriateness** for the property located at Lot 026A in Assessor's Block 0085 for proposed work in conformance with the architectural plans dated July 1, 2011 and labeled Exhibit A on file in the docket for Case No. 2011.0524A.

APPEAL AND EFFECTIVE DATE OF MOTION: The Commission's decision on a Certificate of Appropriateness shall be final unless appealed within thirty (30) days. Any appeal shall be made to the Board of Appeals, unless the proposed project requires Board of Supervisors approval or is appealed to the Board of Supervisors as a conditional use, in which case any appeal shall be made to the Board of Supervisors (see Charter Section 4.135).

**Duration of this Certificate of Appropriateness:** This Certificate of Appropriateness is issued pursuant to Article 10 of the Planning Code and is valid for a period of three (3) years from the effective date of approval by the Historic Preservation Commission. The authorization and right vested by virtue of this action shall be deemed void and canceled if, within 3 years of the date of this Motion, a site permit or building permit for the Project has not been secured by Project Sponsor.

THIS IS NOT A PERMIT TO COMMENCE ANY WORK OR CHANGE OF OCCUPANCY UNLESS NO BUILDING PERMIT IS REQUIRED. PERMITS FROM THE DEPARTMENT OF BUILDING INSPECTION (and any other appropriate agencies) MUST BE SECURED BEFORE WORK IS STARTED OR OCCUPANCY IS CHANGED.

I hereby certify that the Historical Preservation Commission ADOPTED the foregoing Motion on July 20, 2011.

Linda D. Avery Commission Secretary


AYES: X

NAYS: X

ABSENT: X


ADOPTED: July 20, 2011

# **Parcel Map**





# Sanborn Map\*



<sup>\*</sup>The Sanborn Maps in San Francisco have not been updated since 1998, and this map may not accurately reflect existing conditions.



# **Aerial Photo**




SUBJECT PROPERTY



# **Aerial Photo**

SUBJECT PROPERTY





# SAN FRANCISCO

# PLANNING DEPARTMENT

# **Historical Resource Review Form**

1650 Mission St. Suite 400 San Francisco, CA 94103-2479

Reception: 415.558.6378

415.558.6409

Fax:


Planning Information: **415.558.6377** 


Address of	Project: 1460 Montga	nen It.	
Cross Stree	ets: Greenwich + Filbert	nen St. Steps Block/Lot: 0085/026A	
	<b></b>	Permit No	
;ease-services control of the contro			
STEP 1: E	EXEMPTION CLASS		
If neither	class applies, an <i>Environmental Exem</i>	ption Application is required.	
minor topogr	1 - Existing Facilities: Operation, repair, maintenance, permitting, leasing, licensing, or alteration of existing public or private structures, facilities, mechanical equipment, or graphical features, involving negligible or no expansion of use beyond that existing at the of this determination.		
limiteo faciliti	d numbers of new, small facilities or	n of Small Structures: Construction and location of structures; installation of small new equipment and rersion of existing small structures from one use to made in the exterior of the structure.	
STEP 2: H	HISTORICAL RESOURCE STATUS (R	Refer to Preservation Bulletin 16.)	
Catego	ory A: Known Historical Resource	Proceed to Step 3. Preservation Technical Specialist Review	
Catego	ory B: Potential Historical Resource	Proceed to Step 3.	
☐ Catego	ory C: Not a Historical Resource	Proceed to Step 4.  No Further Historical Resource Review Required.	
STEP 3: A	APPROVED WORK CHECKLIST	Per plans dated: 7/1/11	
• - ,	t falls within the scope of work describ	bed below. <b>Proceed to Step 4.</b> No Further Historical	
	t does not fall within the scope of wor rical Resource Review Required.	k described below. <b>Proceed to Step 4.</b> Further	
☐ If 4 or	more boxes are initialed, Preservation	Technical Specialist review is required.	
Planner's Initials	Work Description		
mpl	1 Interior alterations Publicly-accessibly spaces (i.e. lobby auditorium or sanctuary		
	2. Regular maintenance or restor	rative work that is based upon documentation of the ce (i.e., photographs, physical evidence, historic	
		at visible facades. (The size, configuration, operation,	


material, and exterior profiles of the historic windows must be matched.)


	4. Window replacement or installation of new openings at non-visible facades.
	5. Construction of deck or terrace that is not visible from any immediately adjacent public right-of-way.
	6. Installation of mechanical equipment at the roof which is not visible from any immediately adjacent public right-of-way.
٠.	7. Installation of dormers that meet the requirements for exemption from public notification under Zoning Administrator Bulletin: Dormer Windows, No. 96.2.
	8. Installation of garage opening that meets the requirements of the Guidelines for Adding Garages and Curb Cuts
	9. Horizontal addition that is not visible from the adjacent public right-of-way for 150' in each direction; does not extend vertically beyond the floor level of the top story of the structure; and does not have a footprint that is more than 50% larger than that of the original building.
	10. Vertical addition that is not visible from the adjacent public right-of-way for 150' in each direction; is only a single story in height; and does not cause the removal of architectural significant roofing features such as ornate dormers, towers, or slate shingles.
Preservation	on Technical Specialist Review Required for work listed below:
	11. Window replacement at visible facades that is not in-kind but meets the Secretary of the Interior Standards for the Treatment of Historic Properties.
	12. Sign installation at Category A properties.
	<ul><li>13. Façade alterations that do not cause the removal or alteration of any significant architectural features (i.e. storefront replacement, new openings, or new elements).</li><li>14. Raising the building.</li></ul>
MPL	<ul> <li>15. Horizontal or vertical additions, including mechanical equipment, that are minimally visible from a public right-of-way and that meet the Secretary of the Interior Standards for the Treatment of Historic Properties.</li> <li>16. Misc.</li> </ul>
	TO. WIISC.
<u></u>	ECOMMENDATION
No Furi	ther Historical Resource Review Required.
☐ Further	Historical Resource Review Required: File Environmental Exemption Application.
Notes:	
Planner Na	
Signature: _	Date:
Preservation	n Technical Specialist Name: M. PILM LA VALLAY
Signature: _	Technical Specialist Name: M. PLAR LA VALLAY  Date: 7/13/11
	Iding Permit Applications or InCases].


If "Category A," save to [I:\MEA\Historical Resources\Category A Admin Catex].












## PRIMED HOUSE RED SIDINGS




The patterns featured here are those most commonly used in the Bay The patterns featured here are those most commonly used in the Bay Area over the past 150 years. Evond on everything from elegant. Victorians to the sidewalls of reflined buildings of the 1920's and 30's in such areas as Pledmont, Pacific Heights, and St. Francis Woods, they provide the ideal means of cladings a building in harmony with the neighborhood's existing architectural style.

The bulk of the details are stocked in premium quality fingerjointed The bulk of the details are stocked in premium quality imagenized vesterin red codars or ordenod. The sidings contain a large percentage of vertical grain stock for maximum dimensional stability and better overall performance. Unless otherwise notice, each board is finished on all sides with two coals of a premium stain-blocking primer that improves apposat performance. The combination of precedimentally vertical grain and the two coals of primer greatly milininizes the chance of grain raising and contractions stabilities.



PRIMED HOUSE RED SIDINGS



**PRODUCT** SPECIFICATIONS

REVISIONS

SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94133 (BLOCK-LOT: 0085-026A)

Master Builders

139 Mitchell Ave. #110 South San Francisco, CA 94080 (415) 567-8886 www.MasterBuildersSF.com

DATE: 07-08-11 SCALE: 1/4"=1'-0" DRAWN: J.L.

JOB: MONTGOMERY SHEET:

A-14 OF . SHEETS



#### Window Materials

### Fiberglass

 $\label{eq:period_peri$ material can take most anything, including extreme weather, impacts and sea air.



When it comes to durability, fiberglass is king. And, fiberglass windows are resistant to even the most extreme heat and cold — and even damaging UV rays and seacoast environments. Similar to vinyl, fiberglass windows are easy to care for. They arrive with a long-lasting powder-coat finish that doesn't need painting and stays looking great for years.

Fiberglass windows closely resemble the look of painted wood — they don't have a shiny appearance. Available in White, Tan or Brown. White is a great choice for homes with today's popular white painted woodwork. Or choose Tan or Brown to complement stained wood trim better. With their outstanding heat resistance, fiberglass windows can be manufactured in darker colors, giving you more design

#### Energy efficiency

Offering the insulating qualities of wood, fiberglass windows are extremely energy-efficient and a great choice for all areas of the country.

Cost-wise, fiberglass is a mid-range choice for homes in all price ranges.


Pella product line: Pella® Impervia®

WINDOW (www.pella.com)




# Pella<sup>®</sup> Impervia<sup>®</sup> fiberglass composite windows

# HORIZONTAL WOOD SIDING (www.beronio.com)



feeneyarchitectural



feeneyarchitectural

STEEL CABLE RAILING (www.cablerail.com)





















VIEW TOWARD LOT 026C & ITS ACCESS FROM DARRELL PLACE (STEEP SLOPE)

ADJACENT BLD. (LOT 026B)

SUBJECT PROPERTY

ADJACENT BLD. (LOT 040/045)



VIEW TOWARD THE OTHER BLD. STRUCTURE IN THE LOT (STRUCTURE IS MUCH LOWER THAN SUBJECT PROPERTY DUE TO STEEP SLOPE)



REAR VIEW OF LOT 026B



REAR VIEW OF SUBJECT PROPERTY



VIEW FROM BRIDGE TOWARD STAIR BELOW



VIEW FROM THE STAIR TOWARD LOT 026B & BRIDGE









VIEW TOWARD LOT 040/045 (DIFFERENT ANGLES)



DATE: 07-08-11 SCALE: 1/4"=1'-0"

Master Builders

139 Mitchell Ave. #110 South San Francisco, CA 94080 (415) 567-8886 www.MasterBuildersSF.com

JOB: MONTGOMER

A-2

OF . SHEETS

