



SAN FRANCISCO PLANNING DEPARTMENT

Certificate of Appropriateness Case Report Consent Calendar

HEARING DATE: JUNE 2, 2010

1650 Mission St.
Suite 400
San Francisco,
CA 94103-2479

Reception:
415.558.6378

Fax:
415.558.6409

Planning
Information:
415.558.6377

Hearing Date: June 2, 2010
Filing Date: May 25, 2010
Case No.: **2010.0395A**
Project Address: **1 South Park Avenue, Unit 407**
Historic District: South End Historic District
Zoning: SSO (Service/Secondary Office)
65-X Height and Bulk District
Block/Lot: 3775/007
Applicant: Elizabeth Meier
Alta Pacific Ventures, LLC
3620 19th Street, #23
San Francisco, CA 94110
Staff Contact Pilar LaValley - (415) 575-9084
pilar.lavalley@sfgov.org
Reviewed By Tim Frye - (415) 575-6822
tim.frye@sfgov.org

PROPERTY DESCRIPTION

1 SOUTH PARK AVENUE, southwest corner of South Park Avenue and 2nd Street, Assessor's Block 3775, Lot 007. The former Tobacco Company of California Building is a three-story, masonry structure designed by architect William H. Crim, Jr. and constructed in 1913. The building's primary architectural elements include double-height, arched openings at ground floor, a simple intermediate cornice, projecting cornice, and large opening for former RR spur. The subject property is designated as a contributing resource to the South End Historic District and is located within an SSO (Service/Secondary Office) District with a 65-X Height and Bulk limit. The property obtained a Certificate of Appropriateness in 2004 for work associated with conversion to residential use. This previous approval included the penthouse addition.

PROJECT DESCRIPTION

The proposed project involves removal of non-historic windows on the west elevation of the penthouse level and replacement with new glazing without mullions. Proposed work is described in architectural plans prepared by Levy Design Partners, dated 5/18/2010.

COMPLIANCE WITH THE PLANNING CODE PROVISIONS

The proposed project is in compliance with all other provisions of the Planning Code.

OTHER ACTIONS REQUIRED

The project will require a Building Permit.

APPLICABLE PRESERVATION STANDARDS

ARTICLE 10

A Certificate of Appropriateness is required for any construction, alteration, removal, or demolition of a designated Landmark for which a City permit is required. In appraising a proposal for a Certificate of Appropriateness, the Historic Preservation Commission should consider the factors of architectural style, design, arrangement, texture, materials, color, and other pertinent factors. Section 1006.7 of the Planning Code provides in relevant part as follows:

The proposed work shall be appropriate for and consistent with the effectuation of the purposes of Article 10.

The proposed work shall be compatible with the historic structure in terms of design, materials, form, scale, and location. The proposed project will not detract from the site's architectural character as described in the designating ordinance. For all of the exterior and interior work proposed, reasonable efforts have been made to preserve, enhance or restore, and not to damage or destroy, the exterior architectural features of the subject property which contribute to its significance.

Appendix I, South End Historic District

In reviewing an application for a Certificate of Appropriateness, the Historic Preservation Commission must consider whether the proposed work would be compatible with the character of the South End Historic District as described in Appendix I of Article 10 of the Planning Code.

THE SECRETARY OF THE INTERIOR'S STANDARDS

Rehabilitation is the act or process of making possible a compatible use for a property through repair, alterations, and additions while preserving those portions or features that convey its historical, cultural, or architectural values. The Rehabilitation Standards provide, in relevant part(s):

Standard 2: The historic character of a property shall be retained and preserved. The removal of historic materials or alteration of features and spaces that characterize a property shall be avoided.

For the proposed project, no historic materials will be removed and there will be no alteration of features or spaces that characterize the property.

Standard 3: Each property will be recognized as a physical record of its time, place, and use. Changes that create a false sense of historical development, such as adding conjectural features or elements from other historic properties, will not be undertaken.

The new windows, which are minimally visible, will be compatible with the subject building but will be clearly contemporary to avoid creating a false sense of historical development.

Standard 9: New additions, exterior alterations, or related new construction will not destroy historic materials, features, and spatial relationships that characterize the property. The new work will be differentiated from the old and will be compatible with the historic materials, features, size, scale and proportion, and massing to protect the integrity of the property and its environment.

The existing windows are not historic and are located at the penthouse, which was recently added to the building. The proposed replacement of the penthouse windows are not anticipated to destroy historic materials, features, or spatial relationships that characterize the property. The proposed new windows will be clearly differentiated from the old and will be compatible in materials, size, scale, and proportion with the property.

PUBLIC/NEIGHBORHOOD INPUT

The Department has received no public input on the project at the date of this report.

ISSUES & OTHER CONSIDERATIONS

None.

STAFF ANALYSIS

Based on the requirements of Article 10 and the Secretary of Interior's Standards, staff has determined that the proposed work will not adversely affect the subject building or the historic district.

Staff finds that the proposed replacement of non-historic windows at the west elevation of the penthouse will not detract from the historic character of the property, will not cause any significant removal or alteration of historic material, spaces or features which characterize the property, will be sufficiently distinguished from the historic building, and will be compatible with the character of the historic building and district in terms of scale, placement, detailing, and materials. Furthermore, staff finds that the essential form and integrity of the historic property and its environment would be unimpaired if the proposed replacement windows were removed at a future date.

ENVIRONMENTAL REVIEW STATUS

The Planning Department has determined that the proposed project is exempt/excluded from environmental review, pursuant to CEQA Guideline Section 15301 (Class One-Minor Alteration of Existing facility) because the project is a minor alteration of an existing structure and meets the *Secretary of the Interior's Standards*.

PLANNING DEPARTMENT RECOMMENDATION

Planning Department staff recommends approval of the proposed project as it appears to meet the *Secretary of the Interior's Standards for Rehabilitation* and requirements of Article 10 – Appendix I.

BASIS FOR RECOMMENDATION

The Department recommends approval of this project for the following reasons:

- That the proposed window replacement of non-historic window sashes will not impact historic fabric or the character of the subject building or district.
- That the proposed replacement windows are reversible, are minimally visible from the street, and are compatible with the subject property and district in terms of scale, placement, detailing, and materials.
- That the essential form and integrity of the historic property and its environment would be unimpaired if the proposed windows were removed at a future date.
- That the proposal respects character-defining features within the South End Historic District.
- The proposed project meets the *Secretary of the Interior's Standards for Rehabilitation* and requirements of Article 10.

ATTACHMENTS

Draft Motion
Map
Plans
Photographs

PL G:\DOCUMENTS\1 South Park\Certificate of Appropriateness Case Report.doc



SAN FRANCISCO PLANNING DEPARTMENT

Historic Preservation Commission Draft Motion

HEARING DATE: JUNE 2, 2010

1650 Mission St.
Suite 400
San Francisco,
CA 94103-2479

Reception:
415.558.6378

Fax:
415.558.6409

Planning
Information:
415.558.6377

Hearing Date: June 2, 2010
Filing Date: May 25, 2010
Case No.: **2010.0395A**
Project Address: **1 South Park Avenue, Unit 407**
Historic District: South End Historic District
Zoning: SSO (Service/Secondary Office)
65-X Height and Bulk District
Block/Lot: 3775/007
Applicant: Elizabeth Meier
Alta Pacific Ventures, LLC
3620 19th Street, #23
San Francisco, CA 94110
Staff Contact Pilar LaValley - (415) 575-9084
pilar.lavalley@sfgov.org
Reviewed By Tim Frye – (415) 575-6822
tim.frye@sfgov.org

ADOPTING FINDINGS FOR A CERTIFICATE OF APPROPRIATENESS FOR PROPOSED WORK DETERMINED TO BE APPROPRIATE FOR AND CONSISTENT WITH THE PURPOSES OF ARTICLE 10, TO MEET THE STANDARDS OF ARTICLE 10 AND TO MEET THE SECRETARY OF INTERIOR'S STANDARDS FOR REHABILITATION, FOR THE PROPERTY LOCATED ON LOT 007 IN ASSESSOR'S BLOCK 3775, WITHIN AN SSO (SERVICE/SECONDARY OFFICE) ZONING DISTRICT, THE SOUTH END HISTORIC DISTRICT, AND A 65-X HEIGHT AND BULK DISTRICT.

PREAMBLE

WHEREAS, on May 25, 2010, Elizabeth Meier on behalf of the property owner (hereinafter "Project Sponsor") filed an application with the San Francisco Planning Department (hereinafter "Department") for a Certificate of Appropriateness for replacement of non-historic windows on the west elevation of the penthouse at the subject building located on Lot 007 in Assessor's Block 3775 within the South End Historic District.

WHEREAS, the Project was determined by the Department to be categorically exempt from environmental review. The Historic Preservation Commission (hereinafter "Commission") has reviewed and concurs with said determination.

WHEREAS, on June 2, 2010 the Commission conducted a duly noticed public hearing on the current project, Case No. 2010.0395A ("Project") for its appropriateness.

WHEREAS, in reviewing the Application, the Commission has had available for its review and consideration case reports, plans, and other materials pertaining to the Project contained in the Department's case files, has reviewed and heard testimony and received materials from interested parties during the public hearing on the Project.

MOVED, that the Commission hereby grants the Certificate of Appropriateness, in conformance with the architectural plans labeled Exhibit A on file in the docket for Case No. 2010.0395A based on the following findings:

FINDINGS

Having reviewed all the materials identified in the recitals above and having heard oral testimony and arguments, this Commission finds, concludes, and determines as follows:

1. The above recitals are accurate and also constitute findings of the Commission.
2. Findings pursuant to Article 10:

The Historical Preservation Commission has determined that the proposed work is compatible with the character of the South End Historic District as described in Appendix I of Article 10 of the Planning Code.

- That the proposed window replacement of non-historic window sashes will not impact historic fabric or the character of the subject building or district.
- That the proposed replacement windows are reversible, are minimally visible from the street, and are compatible with the subject property and district in terms of scale, placement, detailing, and materials.
- That the essential form and integrity of the historic property and its environment would be unimpaired if the proposed windows were removed at a future date.
- That the proposal respects character-defining features within the South End Historic District.
- The proposed project meets the following *Secretary of the Interior's Standards for Rehabilitation*:

Standard 2.

The historic character of a property shall be retained and preserved. The removal of historic materials or alteration of features and spaces that characterize a property shall be avoided.

Standard 3.

Each property will be recognized as a physical record of its time, place, and use. Changes that create a false sense of historical development, such as adding conjectural features or elements from other historic properties, will not be undertaken.

Standard 9.

New additions, exterior alterations, or related new construction will not destroy historic materials, features, and spatial relationships that characterize the property. The new work will be differentiated

from the old and will be compatible with the historic materials, features, size, scale and proportion, and massing to protect the integrity of the property and its environment.

3. **General Plan Compliance.** The proposed Certificate of Appropriateness is, on balance, consistent with the following Objectives and Policies of the General Plan:

I. URBAN DESIGN ELEMENT

THE URBAN DESIGN ELEMENT CONCERNS THE PHYSICAL CHARACTER AND ORDER OF THE CITY, AND THE RELATIONSHIP BETWEEN PEOPLE AND THEIR ENVIRONMENT.

GOALS

The Urban Design Element is concerned both with development and with preservation. It is a concerted effort to recognize the positive attributes of the city, to enhance and conserve those attributes, and to improve the living environment where it is less than satisfactory. The Plan is a definition of quality, a definition based upon human needs.

OBJECTIVE 2

CONSERVATION OF RESOURCES WHICH PROVIDE A SENSE OF NATURE, CONTINUITY WITH THE PAST, AND FREEDOM FROM OVERCROWDING.

POLICY 2.4

Preserve notable landmarks and areas of historic, architectural or aesthetic value, and promote the preservation of other buildings and features that provide continuity with past development.

POLICY 2.5

Use care in remodeling of older buildings, in order to enhance rather than weaken the original character of such buildings.

POLICY 2.7

Recognize and protect outstanding and unique areas that contribute in an extraordinary degree to San Francisco's visual form and character.

The goal of a Certificate of Appropriateness is to provide additional oversight for buildings and districts that are architecturally or culturally significant to the City in order to protect the qualities that are associated with that significance. The proposed project qualifies for a Certificate of Appropriateness, and, therefore, furthers these policies and objectives by maintaining and preserving the character-defining features of the subject property, which is a contributing resource in the South End Historic District.

4. The proposed project is generally consistent with the eight General Plan priority policies set forth in Section 101.1 in that:
 - A) The existing neighborhood-serving retail uses will be preserved and enhanced and future opportunities for resident employment in and ownership of such businesses will be enhanced:

The project will not have any impact on neighborhood serving retail uses.

- B) The existing housing and neighborhood character will be conserved and protected in order to preserve the cultural and economic diversity of our neighborhoods:

The proposed project will strengthen neighborhood character by respecting the character-defining features of the contributing building and historic district in conformance with the Secretary of the Interior's Standards for Rehabilitation.

- C) The City's supply of affordable housing will be preserved and enhanced:

The project will have no impact to housing supply.

- D) The commuter traffic will not impede MUNI transit service or overburden our streets or neighborhood parking:

The proposed project will not result in commuter traffic impeding MUNI transit service or overburdening the streets or neighborhood parking.

- E) A diverse economic base will be maintained by protecting our industrial and service sectors from displacement due to commercial office development. And future opportunities for resident employment and ownership in these sectors will be enhanced:

The proposed will not have any impact on industrial and service sector jobs.

- F) The City will achieve the greatest possible preparedness to protect against injury and loss of life in an earthquake.

Preparedness against injury and loss of life in an earthquake is unaffected by the proposed work. Any construction or alteration associated with the project will be executed in compliance with all applicable construction and safety measures.

- G) That landmark and historic buildings will be preserved:

The project as proposed is in conformance with Article 10 of the Planning Code and the Secretary of the Interior's Standards for Rehabilitation.

- H) Parks and open space and their access to sunlight and vistas will be protected from development:

The proposed project will not impact the access to sunlight or vistas for parks and open space.

5. For these reasons, the proposal is appropriate for and consistent with the purposes of Article 10, meets the standards of Article 10 and the *Secretary of Interior's Standards for Rehabilitation*, General Plan and Prop M findings in the Planning Code.

DECISION

That based upon the Record, the submissions by the Applicant, the staff of the Department and other interested parties, the oral testimony presented to this Commission at the public hearings, and all other written materials submitted by all parties, the Commission hereby **GRANTS a Certificate of Appropriateness** for the property located at Lot 007 in Assessor's Block 3775 for proposed work in conformance with the architectural plans labeled Exhibit A on file in the docket for Case No. 2010.0395A.

APPEAL AND EFFECTIVE DATE OF MOTION: APPEAL: Any aggrieved person may appeal this Motion to the Board of Appeals within fifteen (15) days after the date of this Motion No. XXXXX. The effective date of this Motion shall be the date of this Motion. For further information, please contact the Board of Appeals in person at 1650 Mission Street, (Room 304) or call 575-6880.

Duration of this Certificate of Appropriateness: This Certificate of Appropriateness is issued pursuant to Article 10 of the Planning Code and is valid for a period of three (3) years from the effective date of approval by the Historic Preservation Commission. The authorization and right vested by virtue of this action shall be deemed void and canceled if, within 3 years of the date of this Motion, a site permit or building permit for the Project has not been secured by Project Sponsor.

THIS IS NOT A PERMIT TO COMMENCE ANY WORK OR CHANGE OF OCCUPANCY UNLESS NO BUILDING PERMIT IS REQUIRED. PERMITS FROM THE DEPARTMENT OF BUILDING INSPECTION (and any other appropriate agencies) MUST BE SECURED BEFORE WORK IS STARTED OR OCCUPANCY IS CHANGED.

I hereby certify that the Historical Preservation Commission ADOPTED the foregoing Motion on June 2, 2010.

Linda D. Avery
Commission Secretary


AYES:

NAYS:

ABSENT:

ADOPTED: June 2, 2010

SOUTH END HISTORIC DISTRICT - Article 10 Appendix I




ARC
LEVY DESIGN PARTNERS
90 South Park
San Francisco
CA 94107

Professional Seal of Toby Stella Levy, Licensed Architect, State of California, License Number C-10527, Renewal Date 6-30-11.


DATE	SET ISSUE
00-00-2010	PERMIT SET

A0.0

-PROJECT
LOCATION



1 LOWER LEVEL FLOOR PLAN - DEMO
1/4"=1'-0"



2 LOWER LEVEL FLOOR PLAN - NEW
1/4"=1'-0"

LEGEND	
	NOT IN SCOPE OF WORK
	(N) WALL
	(E) WALL TO BE REMOVED
	(E) WALL TO REMAIN
	PROPERTY LINE
	(N) DOOR SYMBOL
	(N) WINDOW SYMBOL
	F.F. ELEVATION


- SHEET NOTES**
- SEE A0.0 FOR GENERAL EXITING REQUIREMENTS.
 - SEE A0.1 FOR GENERAL DEMOLITION NOTES.
 - SEE A2.3-A2.4 FOR REFLECTED CEILING PLAN AND LIGHTING SCHEDULES.
 - SEE A3.1-A3.4 FOR ELEVATIONS, ENLARGED PLANS, AND ADDITIONAL NOTES.
 - SEE A4.1 FOR DOOR, WINDOW, AND FINISH SCHEDULES.

- DEMOLITION NOTES**
- REFER TO PLAN FOR EXTENT OF DEMOLITION.
 - REMOVE RELEVANT ELECTRICAL, MECHANICAL & PLUMBING ITEMS ASSOCIATED WITH THE MAIN SUBJECT ITEM TO BE REMOVED.
 - ELECTRICAL, MECHANICAL & PLUMBING TO BE DESIGN BUILD.
 - CONTRACTOR TO TEST ALL SEWER LINES PRIOR TO START OF CONSTRUCTION; NOTIFY OWNER IF SEWER LINE REPAIR IS NECESSARY.
 - WALL FRAMING: ALL DIMENSIONS ARE TO FACE OF STUD, U.N.O.

- NEW PLAN NOTES**
- REFER TO PLAN FOR EXTENT OF NEW WORK.
 - NEW GYP. BD. TO RECEIVE SMOOTH FINISH.
 - CONTRACTOR TO COORDINATE WITH ALL SUBCONTRACTORS TO LIMIT DAMAGE TO (E) WALLS, COVES, AND CEILINGS THAT ARE TO REMAIN.
 - CONTRACTOR TO REVIEW WITH ARCHITECT, DESIGN BUILD M.E.P. PRIOR TO ROUGH IN.
 - REPAINT INTERIOR WALLS AND CEILING THROUGHOUT, COLORS T.B.D. BY ARCHITECT; TYP.
 - REPLACE EXISTING SPRINKLER HEADS WITH RECESSED HEADS INTO CEILING & LOCATE ACCORDINGLY THROUGHOUT.
 - WALL FRAMING: ALL DIMENSIONS ARE TO FACE OF STUD, U.N.O.
 - ALL EXPOSED FIRE SPRINKLER LINES TO BE PAINTED, COLOR T.B.D. BY ARCHITECT.
 - CONC. TO BE GRINDED DOWN AT THRESHOLDS TO ALLOW FOR (N) FLOOR.
 - ALL CONDUIT TO BE CONCEALED IN WALL OR FLOOR, DEMO AS REQUIRED.



1 SOUTH PARK UNIT 407
SAN FRANCISCO, CA
NEW INTERIOR STAIR



1 SOUTH PARK
BLOCK/PARCEL/LOT:
#3775-007
SAN FRANCISCO, CA
PROJECT NO. 2010-03

DATE SET/ISSUE
00-00-2010 PERMIT SET

CONTACT: TOBY LEVY

(415) 777-0561 P
(415) 777-5117 F


SCALE: AS NOTED

UNIT 416
LOWER LEVEL
PLANS

A2.1



1 SOUTH PARK UNIT 407
SAN FRANCISCO, CA
NEW INTERIOR STAIR



1 SOUTH PARK
BLOCK/PARCEL/LOT:
#3775-007
SAN FRANCISCO, CA
PROJECT NO. 2010-03

DATE SET/ISSUE
00-00-2010 PERMIT SET

CONTACT: TOBY LEVY

(415) 777-0561 P
(415) 777-5117 F

SCALE: AS NOTED

UNIT 416
UPPER LEVEL
PLANS

A2.2

LEGEND

	NOT IN SCOPE OF WORK
	(N) WALL
	(E) WALL TO BE REMOVED
	(E) WALL TO REMAIN
	PROPERTY LINE
	(N) DOOR SYMBOL
	(N) WINDOW SYMBOL
	F.F. ELEVATION

SHEET NOTES


- SEE A0.0 FOR GENERAL EXITING REQUIREMENTS.
- SEE A0.1 FOR GENERAL DEMOLITION NOTES.
- SEE A2.3–A2.4 FOR REFLECTED CEILING PLAN AND LIGHTING SCHEDULES.
- SEE A3.1–A3.4 FOR ELEVATIONS, ENLARGED PLANS, AND ADDITIONAL NOTES.
- SEE A4.1 FOR DOOR, WINDOW, AND FINISH SCHEDULES.

DEMOLITION NOTES


- REFER TO PLAN FOR EXTENT OF DEMOLITION.
- REMOVE RELEVANT ELECTRICAL, MECHANICAL & PLUMBING ITEMS ASSOCIATED WITH THE MAIN SUBJECT ITEM TO BE REMOVED.
- ELECTRICAL, MECHANICAL & PLUMBING TO BE DESIGN BUILD.
- CONTRACTOR TO TEST ALL SEWER LINES PRIOR TO START OF CONSTRUCTION; NOTIFY OWNER IF SEWER LINE REPAIR IS NECESSARY.
- WALL FRAMING: ALL DIMENSIONS ARE TO FACE OF STUD, U.N.O.

NEW PLAN NOTES

- REFER TO PLAN FOR EXTENT OF NEW WORK.
- NEW GYP. BD. TO RECEIVE SMOOTH FINISH.
- CONTRACTOR TO COORDINATE WITH ALL SUBCONTRACTORS TO LIMIT DAMAGE TO (E) WALLS, COVES, AND CEILINGS THAT ARE TO REMAIN.
- CONTRACTOR TO REVIEW WITH ARCHITECT, DESIGN BUILD M.E.P. PRIOR TO ROUGH IN.
- REPAINT INTERIOR WALLS AND CEILING THROUGHOUT, COLORS T.B.D. BY ARCHITECT; TYP.
- REPLACE EXISTING SPRINKLER HEADS WITH RECESSED HEADS INTO CEILING & LOCATE ACCORDINGLY THROUGHOUT.
- WALL FRAMING: ALL DIMENSIONS ARE TO FACE OF STUD, U.N.O.
- ALL EXPOSED FIRE SPRINKLER LINES TO BE PAINTED, COLOR T.B.D. BY ARCHITECT.
- CONC. TO BE GRIEDED DOWN AT THRESHOLDS TO ALLOW FOR (N) FLOOR.
- ALL CONDUIT TO BE CONCEALED IN WALL OR FLOOR, DEMO AS REQUIRED.




1 UPPER LEVEL FLOOR PLAN - DEMO
1/4"=1'-0"




2 UPPER LEVEL FLOOR PLAN - NEW
1/4"=1'-0"







1 SECTION THRU LIVING ROOM AND STAIRS LOOKING SOUTH-EAST
1/4"=1'-0"




2 DINING ROOM ELEVATION LOOKING SOUTH-WEST
1/4"=1'-0"



3 LIVING ROOM ELEVATION LOOKING NORTH-WEST
1/4"=1'-0"



4 LIVING ROOM ELEVATION LOOKING NORTH-EAST
1/4"=1'-0"




5 HALL ELEVATION
1/4"=1'-0"

LEGEND
GENERAL



1 SOUTH PARK UNIT 407
SAN FRANCISCO, CA
NEW INTERIOR STAIR



1 SOUTH PARK
BLOCK/PARCEL/LOT:
#3775-007
SAN FRANCISCO, CA
PROJECT NO. 2010-03

DATE	SET/ISSUE
00-00-2010	PERMIT SET


CONTACT: TOBY LEVY

(415) 777-0561 P
(415) 777-5117 F

SCALE: AS NOTED

INTERIOR
ELEVATIONS


DOOR SCHEDULE															
DOOR #	DOOR TYPE	LOCATION	DOOR SIZE WxH	FRAME TYPE	THICKNESS	DOOR MATERIAL	FRAME MATERIAL	FINISH	FIRE RATING	HARDWARE GRP	GLAZING	DETAILS			REMARKS
												HEAD	JAMB	SILL/ THRESHOLD	
UC4	1	CLOSET	3'-0" X 8'-0"	B	1-3/4"	HD WD	WD	PT	-	25	-				NO THRESHOLD, FLOORING CONTINUE INTO CLOSET



WINDOW SCHEDULE												
WINDOW NUMBER	WINDOW TYPE	OVERALL SIZE WxH	FRAME TYPE	GLASS TYPE	FIRE RATING	STC RATING (MIN)	DETAILS			EGRESS	OPERABLE	REMARKS
							HEAD	SILL	JAMB			
A	FIXED	6'-9" x 7'-4"	ALUM.	DBL. LOW-E*	-	35				N	N	
B	FIXED	9'-1" x 7'-4"	ALUM.	DBL. LOW-E*	-	35				N	N	
C	FIXED	8'-10" X 7'-4"	ALUM.	DBL. LOW-E*	-	35				N	N	
D	FIXED	8'-1" X 7'-4"	ALUM.	DBL. LOW-E*	-	35				N	N	
E	FIXED	6'-1" X 7'-4"	ALUM.	DBL. LOW-E*	-	35				N	N	
F	FIXED	5'-1" X 7'-4"	ALUM.	DBL. LOW-E*	-	35				N	N	

GENERAL WINDOW NOTES


(T) INDICATES THE GLAZING IS TEMPERED
(M) INDICATES TYPE IS MIRRORED FROM THAT SHOWN IN WINDOW TYPES
1) PROVIDE TEMPERED GLAZING PER SECTION CBC 2406.4 FOR WINDOWS LOCATED:
A. WITHIN A 24" ARC OF A DOORS VERTICAL EDGE
B. GLAZING IN WALLS ENCLOSING STAIRWAY LANDINGS OR WITHIN 5'-0" OF THE BOTTOM AND TOP OF THE STAIRWAY WHERE THE BOTTOM EDGE OF GLASS IS LESS THAN 60" ABOVE THE WALKING SURFACE.
C. WHERE GLAZING IS LESS THAN 18" FROM FLOOR
2) NONPOROUS GASKETING / WEATHER STRIPPING TO BE USED AT ALL WINDOWS.



1 SOUTH PARK UNIT 407

SAN FRANCISCO, CA

NEW INTERIOR STAIR



1 SOUTH PARK
BLOCK/PARCEL/LOT:
#3775-007
SAN FRANCISCO, CA
PROJECT NO. 2010-03

DATE SET ISSUE
00-00-2010 PERMIT SET

CONTACT: TOBY LEVY

(415) 777-0561 P
(415) 777-5117 F

SCALE: AS NOTED

SCHEDULES



REF. SET

ONE SOUTH PARK

LEVY DESIGN PARTNERS 90 SOUTH PARK / SAN FRANCISCO / CA 94107 / T/ 415.777.0561 F / 415.777.5117

1 SOUTH PARK
BLOCK/LOT: #3775/ 007
SAN FRANCISCO, CA
PROJECT NO. 2003-07


SAN FRANCISCO | ARCHITECTURE | OAKLAND

DATE	ISSUE
10.09.03	PLANNING APP.
11.20.03	PLANNING APP. REV
03.25.04	PLANNING APP. REV

CONTACT: TOBY LEVY

SCALE: AS NOTED

SHEET: **A0.0**
COVERSHEET



LEVY DESIGN PARTNERS 90 SOUTH PARK / SAN FRANCISCO / CA 94107 / T/ 415.777.0561 F/ 415.777.5117

ONE SOUTH PARK

1 SOUTH PARK
BLOCK/LOT: R3778/ 007
SAN FRANCISCO, CA
PROJECT NO. 2203-07



SAN FRANCISCO ARCHITECTURE | OAKLAND

DATE	ISSUE
10.09.03	PLANNING APP.
11.20.03	PLANNING APP. REV.
03.25.04	PLANNING APP. REV.

CONTACT: TOBY LEVY

SCALE: 1/8" = 1'-0"

SHEET: **A3.4**
FIFTH FLOOR /
DECK PLAN



LEVY DESIGN PARTNERS 90 SOUTH PARK / SAN FRANCISCO / CA 94107 / T/ 415.777.0561 F/ 415.777.5117

ONE SOUTH PARK

1 SOUTH PARK

BLOCKLOT: #3776/007
SAN FRANCISCO, CA
PROJECT NO. 2003-07


SAN FRANCISCO | ARCHITECTURE | OAKLAND

DATE	ISSUE
10.09.03	PLANNING APP.
11.20.03	PLANNING APP. REV
03.25.04	PLANNING APP. REV


CONTACT: TOBY LEVY

SCALE: 1/8" = 1'-0"

SHEET: **A4.0**
EAST EXTERIOR
ELEVATIONS



1 EXISTING NORTH ELEVATION
1/8"=1'-0"



2 PROPOSED NORTH ELEVATION
1/8"=1'-0"

LEVY DESIGN PARTNERS 90 SOUTH PARK / SAN FRANCISCO / CA 94107 / T / 415.777.5561 F / 415.777.5117

ONE SOUTH PARK

1 SOUTH PARK

BLOCKLOT: #3776/007
SAN FRANCISCO, CA
PROJECT NO. 2000-07

SAN FRANCISCO | ARCHITECTURE | OAKLAND


DATE	ISSUE
10.08.03	PLANNING APP.
11.20.03	PLANNING APP. REV
03.25.04	PLANNING APP. REV

CONTACT: TOBY LEVY

SCALE: 1/8" = 1'-0"


SHEET: **A4.1**
NORTH EXTERIOR
ELEVATIONS

- ◆ PARAPET (EXISTING)
EL. +51'-2"
- ◆ HIGH POINT OF ROOF (EXISTING)
EL. +48'-10"
- ◆ THIRD FLOOR (EXISTING)
EL. +38'-4"
- ◆ SECOND FLOOR (EXISTING)
EL. +23'-8"
- ◆ FIRST FLOOR (EXISTING)
EL. +4'-3"



1 EXISTING WEST ELEVATION
1/8"=1'-0"

- ◆ TOP OF ROOF (NEW)
EL. +60'-2"
- ◆ PARAPET (EXISTING)
EL. +51'-2"
- ◆ FIFTH FLOOR (REBUILD)
EL. +48'-10"
- ◆ FOURTH FLOOR (EXISTING)
EL. +38'-4"
- ◆ THIRD FLOOR (EXISTING)
EL. +23'-8"
- ◆ SECOND FLOOR (ADDITION)
EL. +11'-2"
- ◆ FIRST FLOOR DATUM
EL. 0'-0"



2 PROPOSED WEST ELEVATION
1/8"=1'-0"

LEVY DESIGN PARTNERS 90 SOUTH PARK / SAN FRANCISCO / CA 94107 / T/ 415.777.0561 F / 415.771.5117

ONE SOUTH PARK

1 SOUTH PARK
BLOCK/LOT: #3775/007
SAN FRANCISCO, CA
PROJECT NO. 2003-07

SAN FRANCISCO ARCHITECTURE | OAKLAND

DATE	ISSUE
10.09.03	PLANNING APP.
11.20.03	PLANNING APP. REV
03.25.04	PLANNING APP. REV

CONTACT: TOBY LEVY

SCALE: 1/8" = 1'-0"

SHEET: **A4.2**
WEST EXTERIOR
ELEVATIONS