



# SAN FRANCISCO PLANNING DEPARTMENT

## REVISED Certificate of Determination Community Plan Evaluation

1650 Mission St.  
Suite 400  
San Francisco,  
CA 94103-2479

Reception:  
**415.558.6378**

Fax:  
**415.558.6409**

Planning  
Information:  
**415.558.6377**

Case No.: 2014.0999ENV  
Project Address: 2750 19<sup>th</sup> Street  
Zoning: UMU (Urban Mixed Use) Zoning District  
68-X Height and Bulk District  
Block/Lot: 4023/004A  
Lot Size: 15,000 square feet  
Plan Area: Eastern Neighborhoods Area Plan, Mission Subarea  
Project Sponsor: Steve Perry, Perry Architects 415-806-1203  
Staff Contact: Justin Horner, [Justin.horner@sfgov.org](mailto:Justin.horner@sfgov.org) 415-575-9023

**THIS COMMUNITY PLAN EVALUATION (CPE) SUPERSEDES THE CPE THAT WAS PUBLISHED ON NOVEMBER 21, 2017. FOLLOWING PUBLICATION OF THE PREVIOUS CPE, THE PROPOSED PROJECT WAS REVISED.**

### PROJECT DESCRIPTION

The 15,000-square-foot (sf) project site is on the northeast corner of the intersection of Bryant Street and 19th Street in the Mission neighborhood. The project site is currently occupied by three, one-story, 22-foot-tall industrial buildings built between 1880 and 1914, totaling 10,935 sf of Production, Distribution and Repair (PDR) uses. The project site is located in the UMU (Urban Mixed Use) Zoning District and a 68-X Height and Bulk District.

(Continued on next page.)

### CEQA DETERMINATION

The project is eligible for streamlined environmental review per Section 15183 of the California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA) Guidelines and California Public Resources Code Section 21083.3

### DETERMINATION

I do hereby certify that the above determination has been made pursuant to state and local requirements.

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Lisa Gibson  
Environmental Review Officer

5/30/18  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Date

cc: Steve Perry, Project Sponsor; Supervisor Malia Cohen, District 10; Ella Samonsky, Current Planning Division; Virna Byrd, M.D.F.; Exemption/Exclusion File

This determination evaluates the potential project-specific environmental effects of the 2750 19<sup>th</sup> Street project described above, and incorporates by reference information contained in the Programmatic EIR for the Eastern Neighborhoods Rezoning and Area Plans (PEIR).<sup>2</sup> Project-specific studies were prepared for the proposed project to determine if the project would result in any significant environmental impacts that were not identified in the Eastern Neighborhoods PEIR.

After several years of analysis, community outreach, and public review, the Eastern Neighborhoods PEIR was adopted in December 2008. The Eastern Neighborhoods PEIR was adopted in part to support housing development in some areas previously zoned to allow industrial uses, while preserving an adequate supply of space for existing and future production, distribution, and repair (PDR) employment and businesses. The Eastern Neighborhoods PEIR also included changes to existing height and bulk districts in some areas, including the project site at 2750 19<sup>th</sup> Street.

The Planning Commission held public hearings to consider the various aspects of the proposed Eastern Neighborhoods Rezoning and Area Plans and related Planning Code and Zoning Map amendments. On August 7, 2008, the Planning Commission certified the Eastern Neighborhoods PEIR by Motion 17659 and adopted the Preferred Project for final recommendation to the Board of Supervisors.<sup>3,4</sup>

In December 2008, after further public hearings, the Board of Supervisors approved and the Mayor signed the Eastern Neighborhoods Rezoning and Planning Code amendments. New zoning districts include districts that would permit PDR uses in combination with commercial uses; districts mixing residential and commercial uses and residential and PDR uses; and new residential-only districts. The districts replaced existing industrial, commercial, residential single-use, and mixed-use districts.

The Eastern Neighborhoods PEIR is a comprehensive programmatic document that presents an analysis of the environmental effects of implementation of the Eastern Neighborhoods Rezoning and Area Plans, as well as the potential impacts under several proposed alternative scenarios. The Eastern Neighborhoods Draft EIR evaluated three rezoning alternatives, two community-proposed alternatives which focused largely on the Mission District, and a "No Project" alternative. The alternative selected, or the Preferred Project, represents a combination of Options B and C. The Planning Commission adopted the Preferred Project after fully considering the environmental effects of the Preferred Project and the various scenarios discussed in the PEIR. The Eastern Neighborhoods PEIR estimated that implementation of the Eastern Neighborhoods Plan could result in approximately 7,400 to 9,900 net dwelling units and 3,200,000 to 6,600,000 square feet of net non-residential space (excluding PDR loss) built in the Plan Area throughout the lifetime of the Plan (year 2025). The Eastern Neighborhoods PEIR projected that this level of development would result in a total population increase of approximately 23,900 to 33,000 people throughout the lifetime of the plan.<sup>5</sup>

A major issue of discussion in the Eastern Neighborhoods rezoning process was the degree to which existing industrially-zoned land would be rezoned to primarily residential and mixed-use districts, thus reducing the availability of land traditionally used for PDR employment and businesses. Among other

---

<sup>2</sup> Planning Department Case No. 2004.0160E and State Clearinghouse No. 2005032048

<sup>3</sup> San Francisco Planning Department. Eastern Neighborhoods Rezoning and Area Plans Final Environmental Impact Report (FEIR), Planning Department Case No. 2004.0160E, certified August 7, 2008. Available online at: <http://www.sf-planning.org/index.aspx?page=1893>, accessed August 17, 2012.

<sup>4</sup> San Francisco Planning Department. San Francisco Planning Commission Motion 17659, August 7, 2008. Available online at: <http://www.sf-planning.org/Modules/ShowDocument.aspx?documentid=1268>, accessed August 17, 2012.

<sup>5</sup> Table 2 Forecast Growth by Rezoning Option Chapter IV of the Eastern Neighborhoods Draft EIR shows projected net growth based on proposed rezoning scenarios. A baseline for existing conditions in the year 2000 was included to provide context for the scenario figures for parcels affected by the rezoning.

topics, the Eastern Neighborhoods PEIR assesses the significance of the cumulative land use effects of the rezoning by analyzing its effects on the City's ability to meet its future PDR space needs as well as its ability to meet its housing needs as expressed in the City's General Plan.

As a result of the Eastern Neighborhoods rezoning process, the project site has been rezoned to UMU (Urban Mixed Use) District. The UMU District is intended to promote a vibrant mix of uses while maintaining the characteristics of this formerly industrially-zoned area. It is also intended to serve as a buffer between residential districts and PDR districts in the Eastern Neighborhoods. The proposed project and its relation to PDR land supply and cumulative land use effects is discussed further in the Community Plan Evaluation (CPE) Checklist, under Land Use. The 2750 19<sup>th</sup> Street site, which is located in the Mission District of the Eastern Neighborhoods, was designated as a site with building up to 68 feet in height.

Individual projects that could occur in the future under the Eastern Neighborhoods Rezoning and Area Plans will undergo project-level environmental evaluation to determine if they would result in further impacts specific to the development proposal, the site, and the time of development and to assess whether additional environmental review would be required. This determination concludes that the proposed project at 2750 19<sup>th</sup> Street is consistent with and was encompassed within the analysis in the Eastern Neighborhoods PEIR, including the Eastern Neighborhoods PEIR development projections. This determination also finds that the Eastern Neighborhoods PEIR adequately anticipated and described the impacts of the proposed 2750 19<sup>th</sup> Street project and identified the mitigation measures applicable to the 2750 19<sup>th</sup> Street project. The proposed project is also consistent with the zoning controls and the provisions of the Planning Code applicable to the project site.<sup>6,7</sup> Therefore, no further CEQA evaluation for the 2750 19<sup>th</sup> Street project is required. In sum, the Eastern Neighborhoods PEIR and this Certificate of Determination and accompanying project-specific initial study comprise the full and complete CEQA evaluation necessary for the proposed project.

## PROJECT SETTING

The 15,000-square-foot (sf) project site is on the northeast corner of the intersection of Bryant Street and 19th Street in the Mission neighborhood. The project site is currently occupied by three, one-story, 22-foot-tall industrial buildings built in 1907, totaling 10,935 sf of Production, Distribution and Repair uses. The project site is located in the UMU (Urban Mixed Use) Zoning District and a 68-X Height and Bulk District.

The project vicinity is a mix of residential, industrial and commercial uses. The industrial and commercial businesses in the project vicinity are mostly housed in one- and two-story structures. The residential buildings range from two to five stories in height.

Immediately adjacent to the north of the project site is a two-story, approximately 25-foot-tall commercial building constructed in 1964. Immediately adjacent to the project site to the east is a one-story, approximately 20-foot-tall commercial building constructed in 1908. At the northwest intersection of

---

<sup>6</sup> Steve Wertheim, San Francisco Planning Department, Community Plan Evaluation Eligibility Determination, Citywide Planning and Policy Analysis, 2750 19<sup>th</sup> Street, March 23, 2017. This document (and all other documents cited in this report, unless otherwise noted), is available for review at the San Francisco Planning Department, 1650 Mission Street, Suite 400, as part of Case File No. 2014.0999ENV.

<sup>7</sup> Jeff Joslin, San Francisco Planning Department, Community Plan Evaluation Eligibility Determination, Current Planning Analysis, 2750 19<sup>th</sup> Street, February 22, 2016.

Bryant and 19 streets, which is across the street to the west of the project site, are three residential properties: a two-story, approximately 25-foot-tall building built in 1907, a three-story, approximately 40-foot-tall building built in 1900, and a two-story, approximately 22-foot-tall building built in 1907. A portion of a two-story, approximately 30-foot-tall industrial building built in 1934 is located across Bryant Street from the project site. Across 19<sup>th</sup> Street, to the south of the project site, is a four-story, approximately 60-foot-tall mixed-use residential building constructed in 1919.

The project site is served by transit lines (Muni lines 8, 9, 9R, 14X, 27, and 33) and bicycle facilities (there are bike lanes on 17<sup>th</sup>, 23<sup>rd</sup>, Folsom and Harrison streets). Zoning districts in the vicinity of the project site are UMU, PDR-1-G (Production, Distribution and Repair-1-General) and RH-2 (Residential-Housing-Two Family). Height and bulk districts in the project vicinity include 40-X, 58-X, 65-X, and 68-X.

## POTENTIAL ENVIRONMENTAL EFFECTS

The Eastern Neighborhoods PEIR included analyses of environmental issues including: land use; plans and policies; visual quality and urban design; population, housing, business activity, and employment (growth inducement); transportation; noise; air quality; parks, recreation and open space; shadow; archeological resources; historic architectural resources; hazards; and other issues not addressed in the previously issued initial study for the Eastern Neighborhoods Rezoning and Area Plans. The proposed 2750 19<sup>th</sup> Street project is in conformance with the height, use and density for the site described in the Eastern Neighborhoods PEIR and would represent a small part of the growth that was forecast for the Eastern Neighborhoods plan areas. Thus, the plan analyzed in the Eastern Neighborhoods PEIR considered the incremental impacts of the proposed 2750 19<sup>th</sup> Street project. As a result, the proposed project would not result in any new or substantially more severe impacts than were identified in the Eastern Neighborhoods PEIR.

Significant and unavoidable impacts were identified in the Eastern Neighborhoods PEIR for the following topics: land use, historic architectural resources, transportation and circulation, and shadow. The proposed project would include displacement of approximately 11,000 of existing PDR use. The proposed project, which includes 10,000 square feet of PDR uses, would result in a net loss of 1,000 square feet of PDR uses. However, the net loss of approximately 1,000 square feet of PDR building space would not constitute a cumulatively considerable contribution to the significant and unavoidable land use impact identified in the Eastern Neighborhoods PEIR. Additionally, as discussed in the CPE initial study, the proposed project would not impact a historical resource, and therefore would not contribute to the significant and unavoidable historic architectural resources impact identified in the PEIR. The proposed project would not generate cumulatively considerable new transit trips, and would therefore not contribute to the significant and unavoidable transportation impacts identified in the PEIR. As the shadow analysis contained in the CPE initial study describes, the proposed project would not cast substantial new shadow that would negatively affect the use and enjoyment of a recreational resource, and would therefore not contribute to the significant and unavoidable shadow impacts described in the Eastern Neighborhoods PEIR.

The Eastern Neighborhoods PEIR identified feasible mitigation measures to address significant impacts related to noise, air quality, archeological resources, historical resources, hazardous materials, and transportation. **Table 1** below lists the mitigation measures identified in the Eastern Neighborhoods PEIR and states whether each measure would apply to the proposed project.

**Table 1 – Eastern Neighborhoods PEIR Mitigation Measures**

Mitigation Measure	Applicability to Project	Compliance
<b>F. Noise</b>		
F-1: Construction Noise (Pile Driving)	Not Applicable: pile driving not proposed	N/A
F-2: Construction Noise	Applicable: temporary construction noise from use of heavy equipment	The project sponsor has agreed to Project Mitigation Measure 2: Construction Noise.
F-3: Interior Noise Levels	Not Applicable: The proposed project would be required to meet the Interior Noise Standards of Title 24 of the California Building Code.	N/A
F-4: Siting of Noise-Sensitive Uses	Not Applicable: The proposed project would be required to meet the Interior Noise Standards of Title 24 of the California Building Code	N/A
F-5: Siting of Noise-Generating Uses	Applicable for Project: includes PDR, a use that would generate noise at a level that could increase the ambient noise level in the project vicinity.	Project sponsor prepared an acoustic study consistent with Mitigation Measure F-5. Acoustic study found that the project would not exceed applicable standards in the Noise Ordinance.
F-6: Open Space in Noisy Environments	Not Applicable: CEQA no longer requires the consideration of the effects of the existing environment on a proposed project's future users or residents where that project would not exacerbate existing noise levels	N/A
<b>G. Air Quality</b>		
G-1: Construction Air Quality	Not Applicable: proposed project does not meet BAAQMD screening levels and is not located in Air Pollution Exposure Zone (APEZ).	N/A
G-2: Air Quality for Sensitive Land	Not Applicable: superseded by applicable Article 38	N/A

Mitigation Measure	Applicability to Project	Compliance
Uses	requirements	
G-3: Siting of Uses that Emit DPM	Not Applicable: the proposed uses are not expected to emit substantial levels of DPM	N/A
G-4: Siting of Uses that Emit other TACs	Not Applicable: proposed project would not include a backup diesel generator or other use that emits TACs	N/A
<b>J. Archeological Resources</b>		
J-1: Properties with Previous Studies	Not Applicable: The project site is not located in an area with a previous archeological study.	N/A
J-2: Properties with no Previous Studies	Applicable: The project site is located in an area with no previous archeological study.	Project Mitigation Measure 1: Archeological Resources agreed to by project sponsor.
J-3: Mission Dolores Archeological District	Not Applicable: The project site is not located in the Mission Dolores Archeological District	N/A
<b>K. Historical Resources</b>		
K-1: Interim Procedures for Permit Review in the Eastern Neighborhoods Plan area	Not Applicable: plan-level mitigation completed by Planning Department	N/A
K-2: Amendments to Article 10 of the Planning Code Pertaining to Vertical Additions in the South End Historic District (East SoMa)	Not Applicable: plan-level mitigation completed by Planning Commission	N/A
K-3: Amendments to Article 10 of the Planning Code Pertaining to Alterations and Infill Development in the Dogpatch Historic District (Central Waterfront)	Not Applicable: plan-level mitigation completed by Planning Commission	N/A
<b>L. Hazardous Materials</b>		
L-1: Hazardous Building Materials	Applicable: Proposed project includes demolition of an existing building.	Project Mitigation Measure 3: Hazardous Building Materials agreed to by project sponsor.
<b>E. Transportation</b>		

Mitigation Measure	Applicability to Project	Compliance
E-1: Traffic Signal Installation	Not Applicable: automobile delay removed from CEQA analysis	N/A
E-2: Intelligent Traffic Management	Not Applicable: automobile delay removed from CEQA analysis	N/A
E-3: Enhanced Funding	Not Applicable: automobile delay removed from CEQA analysis	N/A
E-4: Intelligent Traffic Management	Not Applicable: automobile delay removed from CEQA analysis	N/A
E-5: Enhanced Transit Funding	Not Applicable: plan level mitigation by SFMTA	N/A
E-6: Transit Corridor Improvements	Not Applicable: plan level mitigation by SFMTA	N/A
E-7: Transit Accessibility	Not Applicable: plan level mitigation by SFMTA	N/A
E-8: Muni Storage and Maintenance	Not Applicable: plan level mitigation by SFMTA	N/A
E-9: Rider Improvements	Not Applicable: plan level mitigation by SFMTA	N/A
E-10: Transit Enhancement	Not Applicable: plan level mitigation by SFMTA	N/A
E-11: Transportation Demand Management	Not Applicable: plan level mitigation by SFMTA	N/A

Please see the attached Mitigation Monitoring and Reporting Program (MMRP) for the complete text of the applicable mitigation measures. With implementation of these mitigation measures the proposed project would not result in significant impacts beyond those analyzed in the Eastern Neighborhoods PEIR.

## PUBLIC NOTICE AND COMMENT

A "Notification of Project Receiving Environmental Review" was mailed on December 3, 2015 to adjacent occupants and owners of properties within 300 feet of the project site. Overall, concerns and issues raised by the public in response to the notice were taken into consideration and incorporated in the environmental review as appropriate for CEQA analysis. Commenters expressed concerns about

potential shadow impacts, traffic impacts, and air quality impacts from vehicle emissions, and potential wind effects. The Community Plan Evaluation checklist for the proposed project includes analysis of these potential impacts and found that the proposed project would not result in any new, or more severe, impacts in these resource areas that were not disclosed in the Eastern Neighborhoods EIR. There were also comments that were not related to CEQA, including concerns about the physical size of the project, the proposed project's impacts on nearby property values, and the project's compliance with Mission Area Plan policies and objectives. The proposed project would not result in significant adverse environmental impacts associated with the issues identified by the public beyond those identified in the Eastern Neighborhoods PEIR.

## CONCLUSION

As summarized above and further discussed in the CPE Checklist<sup>8</sup>:

1. The proposed project is consistent with the development density established for the project site in the Eastern Neighborhoods Rezoning and Area Plans;
2. The proposed project would not result in effects on the environment that are peculiar to the project, or the project site that were not identified as significant effects in the Eastern Neighborhoods PEIR;
3. The proposed project would not result in potentially significant off-site or cumulative impacts that were not identified in the Eastern Neighborhoods PEIR;
4. The proposed project would not result in significant effects, which, as a result of substantial new information that was not known at the time the Eastern Neighborhoods PEIR was certified, would be more severe than were already analyzed and disclosed in the PEIR; and
5. The project sponsor will undertake feasible mitigation measures specified in the Eastern Neighborhoods PEIR to mitigate project-related significant impacts.

Therefore, no further environmental review shall be required for the proposed project pursuant to Public Resources Code Section 21083.3 and CEQA Guidelines Section 15183.

---

<sup>8</sup> The CPE Checklist is available for review at the Planning Department, 1650 Mission Street, Suite 400, San Francisco, in Case File No. 2014.0999ENV.



**EXHIBIT 1:  
 MITIGATION MONITORING AND REPORTING PROGRAM  
 (Including the Text of the Mitigation Measures Adopted as Conditions of Approval and Proposed Improvement Measures)**

1. MITIGATION MEASURES ADOPTED AS CONDITIONS OF APPROVAL	Responsibility for Implementation	Mitigation Schedule	Monitoring/Report Responsibility	Status/Date Completed
<p><b>J. Archeological Resources</b></p> <p><i>Mitigation Measure 1 Archeological Monitoring</i></p> <p>Based on the reasonable potential that archeological resources may be present within the project site, the following measures shall be undertaken to avoid any potentially significant adverse effect from the proposed project on buried or submerged historical resources. The project sponsor shall retain the services of a qualified archeological consultant having expertise in California prehistoric and urban historical archeology. The archeological consultant shall undertake an archeological monitoring program. All plans and reports prepared by the consultant as specified herein shall be submitted first and directly to the ERO for review and comment, and shall be considered draft reports subject to revision until final approval by the ERO. Archeological monitoring and/or data recovery programs required by this measure could suspend construction of the project for up to a maximum of four weeks. At the direction of the ERO, the suspension of construction can be extended beyond four weeks only if such a suspension is the only feasible means to reduce to a less than significant level potential effects on a significant archeological resource as defined in CEQA Guidelines Sect. 15064.5 (a)(c).</p>	<p>Project sponsor.</p>	<p>Prior to issuance of site permits.</p>	<p>Project sponsor shall retain archeological consultant to undertake archeological monitoring program in consultation with ERO.</p>	<p>Complete when Project sponsor retains qualified archeological consultant.</p>
<p><i>Consultation with Descendant Communities:</i> On discovery of an archeological investigations of the site and to offer recommendations to the ERO regarding appropriate archeological treatment of the site, of recovered data from the site, and, if applicable, any interpretative treatment of the associated archeological site. A copy of the Final Archeological Resources Report shall be provided to the representative of the descendant group.</p> <p><i>Archeological monitoring program (AMP):</i> The archeological monitoring program shall minimally include the following provisions:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>▪ The archeological consultant, project sponsor, and ERO shall meet and consult on the scope of the AMP reasonably prior to any project-related soils disturbing activities commencing. The ERO in consultation with the project archeologist shall determine what project activities shall be archeologically monitored. In most cases, any soils disturbing activities, such as demolition, foundation removal, excavation, grading, utilities installation, foundation work, driving of piles (foundation, shoring, etc.), site remediation, etc., shall require archeological monitoring because of the potential risk these activities pose to archeological resources and to their depositional context;</li> <li>▪ The archeological consultant shall advise all project contractors to be on the alert for evidence of the presence of the expected resource(s),</li> </ul>	<p>Project Sponsor</p>	<p>Prior to the start of renovation/conservation activities.</p>	<p>Planning Department, in consultation with DPH.</p>	<p>Considered complete upon submittal to Planning confirming compliance with this measure.</p>

**EXHIBIT 1:  
 MITIGATION MONITORING AND REPORTING PROGRAM  
 (Including the Text of the Mitigation Measures Adopted as Conditions of Approval and Proposed Improvement Measures)**

1. MITIGATION MEASURES ADOPTED AS CONDITIONS OF APPROVAL	Responsibility for Implementation	Mitigation Schedule	Monitoring/Report Responsibility	Status/Date Completed
<p>of how to identify the evidence of the expected resource(s), and of the appropriate protocol in the event of apparent discovery of an archeological resource;</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>▪ The archeological monitor(s) shall be present on the project site according to a schedule agreed upon by the archeological consultant and the ERO until the ERO has, in consultation with the archeological consultant, determined that project construction activities could have no effects on significant archeological deposits;</li> <li>▪ The archeological monitor shall record and be authorized to collect soil samples and artifactual/ecofactual material as warranted for analysis</li> </ul> <p>If an intact archeological deposit is encountered, all soils disturbing activities in the vicinity of the deposit shall cease. The archeological monitor shall be empowered to temporarily redirect demolition/excavation/pile driving/construction crews and heavy equipment until the deposit is evaluated. If in the case of pile driving activity (foundation, shoring, etc.), the archeological monitor has cause to believe that the pile driving activity may affect an archeological resource, the pile driving activity shall be terminated until an appropriate evaluation of the resource has been made in consultation with the ERO. The archeological consultant shall immediately notify the ERO of the encountered archeological deposit. The archeological consultant shall, after making a reasonable effort to assess the identity, integrity, and significance of the encountered archeological deposit, present the findings of this assessment to the ERO.</p>	<p>The archeological consultant, Project Sponsor and project contractor.</p>	<p>Monitoring of soils disturbing activities.</p>	<p>Archeological consultant to monitor soils disturbing activities specified in AMP and immediately notify the ERO of any encountered archeological resource.</p>	<p>Considered complete upon completion of AMP.</p>
<p>If the ERO in consultation with the archeological consultant determines that a significant archeological resource is present and that the resource could be adversely affected by the proposed project, at the discretion of the project sponsor either:</p> <p>A) The proposed project shall be re-designed so as to avoid any adverse effect on the significant archeological resource; or</p> <p>B) An archeological data recovery program shall be implemented, unless the ERO determines that the archeological resource is of greater interpretive than research significance and that interpretive use of the resource is feasible.</p>	<p>ERO, archeological consultant, and Project Sponsor.</p>	<p>Following discovery of significant archeological resource that could be adversely affected by project.</p>	<p>Redesign of project to avoid adverse effect or undertaking of archeological data recovery program.</p>	<p>Considered complete upon avoidance of adverse effect</p>
<p>If an archeological data recovery program is required by the ERO, the archeological data recovery program shall be conducted in accord with an archeological data recovery plan (ADRP). The project archeological</p>	<p>Archeological consultant in consultation with</p>	<p>After determination by ERO that an</p>	<p>Archeological consultant to prepare an ADRP in</p>	<p>Considered complete upon approval of ADRP by ERO.</p>

**EXHIBIT 1:  
 MITIGATION MONITORING AND REPORTING PROGRAM  
 (Including the Text of the Mitigation Measures Adopted as Conditions of Approval and Proposed Improvement Measures)**

1. MITIGATION MEASURES ADOPTED AS CONDITIONS OF APPROVAL	Responsibility for Implementation	Mitigation Schedule	Monitoring/Report Responsibility	Status/Date Completed
<p>consultant, project sponsor, and ERO shall meet and consult on the scope of the ADRP. The archeological consultant shall prepare a draft ADRP that shall be submitted to the ERO for review and approval. The ADRP shall identify how the proposed data recovery program will preserve the significant information the archeological resource is expected to contain. That is, the ADRP will identify what scientific/historical research questions are applicable to the expected resource, what data classes the resource is expected to possess, and how the expected data classes would address the applicable research questions. Data recovery, in general, should be limited to the portions of the historical property that could be adversely affected by the proposed project. Destructive data recovery methods shall not be applied to portions of the archeological resources if nondestructive methods are practical.</p> <p>The scope of the ADRP shall include the following elements</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>▪ Field Methods and Procedures. Descriptions of proposed field strategies, procedures, and operations.</li> <li>▪ Cataloguing and Laboratory Analysis. Description of selected cataloguing system and artifact analysis procedures.</li> <li>▪ Discard and Deaccession Policy. Description of and rationale for field and post-field discard and deaccession policies.</li> <li>▪ Interpretive Program. Consideration of an on-site/off-site public interpretive program during the course of the archeological data recovery program.</li> <li>▪ Security Measures. Recommended security measures to protect the archeological resource from vandalism, looting, and non-intentionally damaging activities.</li> <li>▪ Final Report. Description of proposed report format and distribution of results.</li> <li>▪ Curation. Description of the procedures and recommendations for the curation of any recovered data having potential research value, identification of appropriate curation facilities, and a summary of the accession policies of the curation facilities.</li> </ul> <p>Human Remains and Associated or Unassociated Funerary Objects. The treatment of human remains and of associated or unassociated funerary objects discovered during any soils disturbing activity shall comply with applicable State and Federal laws. This shall include immediate notification</p>	<p>ERO</p> <p>Archaeological consultant or medical examiner</p>	<p>archaeological data recovery program is required</p> <p>Discovery of human remains</p>	<p>consultation with ERO</p> <p>Notification of County/City Coroner and, as warranted,</p>	<p>Considered complete on finding by ERO that all State laws regarding</p>

**EXHIBIT 1:  
 MITIGATION MONITORING AND REPORTING PROGRAM  
 (Including the Text of the Mitigation Measures Adopted as Conditions of Approval and Proposed Improvement Measures)**

1. MITIGATION MEASURES ADOPTED AS CONDITIONS OF APPROVAL	Responsibility for Implementation	Mitigation Schedule	Monitoring/Report Responsibility	Status/Date Completed
<p>of the Coroner of the City and County of San Francisco and in the event of the Coroner's determination that the human remains are Native American remains, notification of the California State Native American Heritage Commission (NAHC) who shall appoint a Most Likely Descendant (MLD) (Pub. Res. Code Sec. 5097.98). The archeological consultant, project sponsor, ERO, and MLD shall have up to but not beyond six days after the discovery to make all reasonable efforts to develop an agreement for the treatment of human remains and associated or unassociated funerary objects with appropriate dignity (CEQA Guidelines, Sec. 15064.5(d)). The agreement should take into consideration the appropriate excavation, removal, recordation, analysis, custodianship, curation, and final disposition of the human remains and associated or unassociated funerary objects. Nothing in existing State regulations or in this mitigation measure compels the project sponsor and the ERO to accept recommendations of an MLD. The archeological consultant shall retain possession of any Native American human remains and associated or unassociated burial objects until completion of any scientific analyses of the human remains or objects as specified in the treatment agreement if such as agreement has been made or, otherwise, as determined by the archeological consultant and the ERO.</p>			notification of NAHC.	human remains/burial objects have been adhered to, consultation with MLD is completed as warranted, and that sufficient opportunity has been provided to the archeological consultant for scientific/historical analysis of remains/funerary objects.
<p>Final Archeological Resources Report. The archeological consultant shall submit a Draft Final Archeological Resources Report (FARR) to the ERO that evaluates the historical of any discovered archeological resource and describes the archeological and historical research methods employed in the archeological testing/monitoring/data recovery program(s) undertaken. Information that may put at risk any archeological resource shall be provided in a separate removable insert within the draft final report.</p>	Archaeological consultant	Following completion of cataloguing, analysis, and interpretation of recovered archeological data.	Preparation of FARR	FARR is complete on review and approval of ERO
<p>Copies of the Draft FARR shall be sent to the ERO for review and approval. Once approved by the ERO copies of the FARR shall be distributed as follows: California Archeological Site Survey Northwest Information Center (NWIC) shall receive one (1) copy and the ERO shall receive a copy of the transmittal of the FARR to the NWIC. The Major Environmental Analysis division of the Planning Department shall receive three copies of the FARR along with copies of any formal site recordation forms (CA DPR 523 series) and/or documentation for nomination to the National Register of Historic</p>	Archaeological consultant	Following completion and approval of FARR by ERO	Distribution of FARR after consultation with ERO	Complete on certification to ERO that copies of FARR have been distributed

**EXHIBIT 1:  
 MITIGATION MONITORING AND REPORTING PROGRAM  
 (Including the Text of the Mitigation Measures Adopted as Conditions of Approval and Proposed Improvement Measures)**

1. MITIGATION MEASURES ADOPTED AS CONDITIONS OF APPROVAL	Responsibility for Implementation	Mitigation Schedule	Monitoring/Report Responsibility	Status/Date Completed
<p>Places/California Register of Historical Resources. In instances of high public interest or interpretive value, the ERO may require a different final report content, format, and distribution than that presented above.</p>				
<p><b>F. Noise</b>                      Mitigation Measure 2: Construction Noise                      The project sponsor shall develop a set of site-specific noise attenuation measures under the supervision of a qualified acoustical consultant. Prior to commencing construction, a plan for such measures shall be submitted to the Department of Building Inspection to ensure that maximum feasible noise attenuation will be achieved. These attenuation measures shall include as many of the following control strategies as feasible:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Erect temporary plywood noise barriers around a construction site, particularly where a site adjoins noise-sensitive uses;</li> <li>• Utilize noise control blankets on a building structure as the building is erected to reduce noise emission from the site;</li> <li>• Evaluate the feasibility of noise control at the receivers by temporarily improving the noise reduction capability of adjacent buildings housing sensitive uses;</li> <li>• Monitor the effectiveness of noise attenuation measures by taking noise measurements; and</li> <li>• Post signs on-site pertaining to permitted construction days and hours and complaint procedures and who to notify in the event of a problem, with telephone numbers listed.</li> </ul>	<p>Project Sponsor along with Project Contractor.</p>	<p>During construction</p>	<p>Project sponsor to provide Planning Department with monthly reports during construction period.</p>	<p>Considered complete upon receipt of final monitoring report at completion of construction.</p>
<p><b>L. Hazardous Materials</b>                      Mitigation Measure 3: Hazardous Building Materials                      The project sponsor shall ensure that any equipment containing polychlorinated biphenyl (PCBs) or Di(2-ethylhexyl) phthalate (DEPH), such as fluorescent light ballasts, are removed and properly disposed of according to applicable federal, state, and local laws prior to the start of renovation, and that any fluorescent light tubes, which could contain mercury, are similarly removed and properly disposed of. Any other hazardous materials identified, either before or during work, shall be abated according to applicable federal, state, and local laws.</p>	<p>Project Sponsor</p>	<p>Prior to the start of renovation/const ruction activities.</p>	<p>Planning Department, in consultation with DPH.</p>	<p>Considered complete upon submittal to Planning confirming compliance with this measure.</p>